

Problem 12300

(American Mathematical Monthly, Vol.129, February 2022)

Proposed by H. A. ShahAli (Iran).

Let n be an integer such that $n \geq 3$. Prove that there is no permutation π of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $\pi(1), 2\pi(2), \dots, n\pi(n)$ are distinct modulo n .

Solution proposed by Roberto Tauraso, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy.

Solution. We break our proof by contradiction into three steps. Let π be a permutation such that $\pi(1), 2\pi(2), \dots, n\pi(n)$ are distinct modulo n with $n \geq 3$, that is $S := \{k\pi(k) : k = 1, \dots, n\}$ is complete residue system modulo n .

1) For any divisor d of n , d divides k if and only if d divides $\pi(k)$.

Since S is complete residue system modulo n and d divides n , then S has the same number of multiples of d as $\{1, \dots, n\} = \{\pi(k) : k = 1, \dots, n\}$. On the other hand, $k\pi(k)$ is a multiple of d if and only if d divides k or $\pi(k)$. Hence, the asserted property holds if and only if the claim is true, otherwise the number of multiples of d in S would be larger.

2) n is square-free.

If n is not square-free then there is a prime p such that p^2 divides n . Since S is complete residue system modulo n , there is an integer k such that $k\pi(k) \equiv p \pmod{n}$, that is $k\pi(k) = ap^2 + p$ for some integer a , which implies that p divides k or $\pi(k)$. Hence, by 1), p divides both k and $\pi(k)$, and therefore p^2 divides $k\pi(k) = ap^2 + p \equiv p \pmod{p^2}$ which is impossible.

3) Conclusion.

Since $n \geq 3$ is square-free by 2), there is a prime $p \geq 3$ such that $n = pa$ and $\gcd(p, a) = 1$. By 1), $\pi(n) = n$, and there are two permutations σ_1 and σ_2 of $\{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ such that for $j = 1, \dots, p-1$

$$\pi(ja) \equiv \sigma_1(j)a \quad \text{and} \quad ja \cdot \pi(ja) \equiv \sigma_2(j)a \pmod{n}.$$

Therefore, since the above congruences hold also modulo p , it follows that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} ja \cdot \pi(ja) \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \sigma_2(j)a \pmod{p}.$$

By Wilson's theorem and Fermat's little theorem, we find that the two sides are different modulo p which is a contradiction:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} ja \cdot \pi(ja) &\equiv \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} ja \cdot \sigma_1(j)a = ((p-1)!a^{p-1})^2 \equiv (-1)^2 = 1 \pmod{p} \\ \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \sigma_2(j)a &\equiv (p-1)!a^{p-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

□