

Problem 12218

(American Mathematical Monthly, Vol.127, December 2020)

Proposed by R. Stong and S. Wagon (USA).

For which positive integers n does there exist an ordering of all permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ so that their composition in that order is the identity?

Solution proposed by Roberto Tauraso, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, Italy.

Solution. We claim that such ordering exists for $n = 1$ and for all positive integers $n \geq 4$.

For $n = 1$ the ordering exists, trivially. For $n > 1$, there are $n!/2$ permutations with signature 1 and $n!/2$ permutations with signature -1 . It follows that the composition of all permutations of S_n has signature $(-1)^{n!/2}$, which is -1 for $n = 2$ and for $n = 3$ and therefore the it cannot be the identity, whose signature is 1.

Now we assume that $n \geq 4$. We may always arrange the terms in such a way that each permutation of order > 2 has its inverse (which is a different permutation of the same order) next to it. Hence it suffices to find an ordering for $I_n(1, 2, \dots, n)$, the set of involutions of S_n , that is, the set of permutations of order ≤ 2 (where we include among involutions the identity permutation). More specifically, here $I_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ means the set of involutions on the symbols a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n . Note that $|I_n| = |I_{n-1}| + (n-1)|I_{n-2}|$ and since $|I_2| = 2$ and $|I_3| = 4$, we deduce that $|I_n|$ is even for all $n \geq 2$.

For $n = 4$, a *good* ordering in $I_4(1, 2, 3, 4)$ is (from left to right):

$$(), (1, 2), (3, 4), (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 4), (2, 3), (1, 4)(2, 3), (1, 3), (2, 4), (1, 3)(2, 4).$$

For $n = 5$, a *good* ordering in $I_5(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} &(), (1, 2), (3, 4), (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 4), (2, 3), (1, 4)(2, 3), (1, 3), (2, 4), (1, 3)(2, 4), \\ &(1, 5), (1, 5)(2, 3), (1, 5)(2, 4), (1, 5)(3, 4), (2, 5), (1, 3)(2, 5), (2, 5)(3, 4), (1, 4)(2, 5), \\ &(3, 5), (1, 2)(3, 5), (2, 4)(3, 5), (1, 4)(3, 5), (4, 5), (1, 2)(4, 5), (2, 3)(4, 5), (1, 3)(4, 5). \end{aligned}$$

For $n > 5$, a *good* ordering in $I_n(1, 2, \dots, n)$ can be recursively defined based on the orderings of I_{n-1} and I_{n-2} in the following way,

$$I_n(1, 2, \dots, n) = (n)I_{n-1}(1, 2, \dots, n-1) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (k, n)I_{n-2}(1, 2, \dots, \widehat{k}, \dots, n-1)$$

where \widehat{k} means that k is omitted. Here, by sum of orderings on disjoint sets we mean juxtaposition.

Thus, starting with the ordered sequence $1, 2, \dots, n$, the first $|I_{n-1}|$ permutations of the induced ordering on I_n keep n fixed, while the other elements are permuted under the action of I_{n-1} , and the final result is the ordered sequence $1, 2, \dots, n$. In other words, the product of the first $|I_{n-1}|$ permutations equals the identity permutation.

Then follow $n-1$ sets of involutions. In the k -th sets, the transposition (k, n) swaps the elements k and n a number $|I_{n-2}|$ of times which is an even number, while the other elements are permuted under the action of I_{n-2} , obtaining again the ordered sequence $1, 2, \dots, n$. This shows that the induced ordering on I_n satisfies the desired property and the proof is complete. \square