

Problem 11922

(American Mathematical Monthly, Vol.123, August-September 2016)

Proposed by Max Alekseyev (USA).

Find every positive integer n such that both n and n^2 are palindromes when written in the binary numeral system (and with no leading zeros).

Solution proposed by Roberto Tauraso, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, Italy.

There are only two positive integers n such that both n and n^2 are palindromes in base 2: 1, and $3 = 11_2$. Note that in any base $b > 2$ there are infinite palindromes whose squares are palindromes too: for any $k \geq 0$, if $n := 10^k 1_b$ then $n^2 = 10^k 20^k 1_b$ where 0^k means a string of k zeros.

We first state and prove the following general fact. If $n \equiv a$ modulo 2^m then $n^2 = (a + q2^m)^2 = a^2 + 2a2^m + 2^{2m} \equiv a^2$ modulo 2^{m+1} . Hence the m least significant bits of the binary representation of n determine exactly the $m + 1$ least significant bits of the binary representation of n^2 .

Let n be a binary palindrome. We divide the proof in several cases with respect to d i. e. the number of 1s in the binary representation of n .

1) If $d = 1$ then $n = 1 = 1_2$ whose square is trivially a binary palindrome.

2) If $d = 2$ then $n = 2^k + 1 = 10^{k-1} 1_2$ with $k \geq 1$. If $k = 1$ then $3 = 11_2$ whose square $3^2 = 1001_2$ is a binary palindrome. If $k \geq 2$ then $2k > k + 1$ and

$$n^2 = 2^{2k} + 2^{k+1} + 1 = 10^{k-2} 10^k 1_2$$

is not a binary palindrome.

3) If $d = 3$ then $n = 2^{2k} + 2^k + 1 = 10^{k-1} 10^{k-1} 1_2$ with $k \geq 1$. If $k = 1$ then $n = 7$ whose square is not a binary palindrome. If $k \geq 2$ then $4k > 3k + 1 > 2k + 1 > 2k > k + 1 > 0$, and

$$n^2 = 2^{4k} + 2^{3k+1} + 2^{2k+1} + 2^{2k} + 2^{k+1} + 1 = 10^{k-2} 10^{k-1} 110^{k-2} 10^k 1_2$$

is not a binary palindrome.

4) Let $d \geq 4$ then $n = 2^j + 2^{j-k} + \dots + 2^k + 1 = 10^{k-1} 1 * 10^{k-1} 1_2$ with $j - k > k \geq 1$.

4.1) If $d \geq 4$ and $k \geq 2$ then $j \geq 5$ and $2^j + 2^{j-k} < n < 2^j + 2^{j-k+1}$ implies

$$2^{2j} + 2^{2j+1-k} < n^2 < 2^{2j} + 2^{2j-k+2} + 2^{2j-2k+2} < 2^{2j+2}.$$

Since $n = *10^{k-1} 1_2$, by the fact stated above, it follows that $n^2 = *10^k 1_2$ and if n^2 is a binary palindrome then $n^2 = 10^k 1 *_2$. Thus $n^2 < 2^{2j} + 2^{2j-k}$ or $n^2 > 2^{2j+1} + 2^{2j-k}$ which contradict the previous inequalities.

4.2) If $d = 4$ and $k = 1$ then $n = 2^j + 2^{j-1} + 2 + 1 = 110^{j-3} 11_2$ with $j \geq 3$. For $j = 3, 4, 5$ we have respectively $n = 15$, $n = 27$ and $n = 51$ whose squares are not binary palindromes. For $j \geq 6$,

$$n^2 = 2^{2j+1} + 2^{2j-2} + 2^{j+3} + 2^j + 9 = 10010^{j-6} 10010^{j-4} 1001$$

which is not a binary palindrome.

4.3) If $d = 5$ and $k = 1$ then $n = 2^{2j} + 2^{2j-1} + 2^j + 2 + 1 = 110^{j-2} 10^{j-2} 11_2$ with $j \geq 2$. For $j = 2, 3$ we have respectively $n = 31$, and $n = 107$ whose squares are not binary palindromes. For $j \geq 4$,

$$n^2 = 2^{4j+1} + 2^{4j-2} + 2^{3j+1} + 2^{3j} + 2^{2j+3} + 2^{2j+1} + 2^{j+2} + 2^{j+1} + 9 = 10010^{j-4} 110^{j-4} 1010^{j-2} 110^{j-3} 1001$$

which is not a binary palindrome.

4.4) Let $d \geq 6$ and $k = 1$ then $n = 2^j + 2^{j-1} + 2^{j-i} + \dots + 2^i + 2 + 1 = 110^{i-2}1 * 10^{i-2}11_2$ with $j - i > i \geq 2$.

4.4.1) If $d \geq 6$ and $i = 2$ then $n = 111 * 111_2$ with $j \geq 5$. Now $7 \cdot 2^{j-2} < n < 2^{j+1}$ implies

$$2^{2j+1} < 49 \cdot 2^{2j-4} < n^2 < 2^{2j+2}.$$

Since $n^2 = *0001_2$, it follows that if n^2 is a binary palindrome then $n^2 = 1000*_2$ and

$$n^2 < 2^{2j+1} + 2^{2j-2} < (1 + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2})^2 2^{2j}$$

which implies $n < 2^j + 2^{j-1} + 2^{j-2}$ that is against the fact that $n > 2^j + 2^{j-1} + 2^{j-2}$.

4.4.2) If $d \geq 6$, $i \geq 3$ then $n = 110^{i-2}1 * 10^{i-2}11_2$ with $j > 2i$. Now $3 \cdot 2^{j-1} < n < 2^{j+1}$ implies

$$2^{2j+1} < 9 \cdot 2^{2j-2} < n^2 < 2^{2j+2}.$$

Since $n^2 = *0^{i-3}1001_2$ it follows that if n^2 is a binary palindrome then $n^2 = 10010^{i-3}*_2$ and

$$n^2 < 2^{2j+1} + 2^{2j-2} + 2^{2j+1-i} = (2 + 2^{-2} + 2^{1-i}) 2^{2j} < (1 + 2^{-1} + 2^{-i})^2 2^{2j}$$

which implies $n < 2^j + 2^{j-1} + 2^{j-i}$ that is against the fact that $n > 2^j + 2^{j-1} + 2^{j-i}$. □