Mathematical Analysis I: Lecture 45

Lecturer: Yoh Tanimoto

09/12/2020 Start recording...

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

- Tutoring (by Mr. Lorenzo Panebianco): Tuesday 10:00–11:30.
- Office hour: Tuesday 11:30–12:30.
- Basic Mathematics: first few lessons on
 - Tuesday (14:00 16:00 CET): Inequalities, Limits and Derivatives
 - Wednesday (14:00 16:00 CET): Study of function

and then upon request.

- A make up session on 22 December 11:30
- Today: Apostol Vol. 1, Chapter 8

Let $\{a_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence. We have considered a series $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$, which is a new sequence and its convergence or divergence. When all the term are non-negative: $a_n \ge 0$, there are some criteria that can be often used to determine the convergence or divergence.

Theorem

We have the following.

- Let {a_n}, {b_n} be two sequences, a_n ≥ 0, b_n ≥ 0 such that there is c > 0 and a_n ≤ cb_n for n sufficiently large. In this case, if ∑ b_n converges, then so does ∑ a_n. If ∑ a_n diverges, so does ∑ b_n.
- Let $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$ be two sequences, $a_n \ge 0, b_n \ge 0$ such that $a_n/b_n \rightarrow c, c \ne 0, \infty$. Then $\sum a_n$ converges if and only if $\sum b_n$ converges.

- As $a_n \ge 0$, $b_n \ge 0$, the series $\sum_n a_n$, $\sum_n b_n$ are increasing. If $\sum_n b_n$ is convergent and $a_n \le cb_n$, then $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \le \sum_{k=1}^n cb_k \le \sum_{k=1}^\infty cb_k$, hence the former is bounded and increasing, therefore, it must converge. The other case is analogous.
- If a_n/b_n → c ≠ 0, then this implies that ^c/₂b_n ≤ a_n ≤ 2cb_n for sufficiently large n, hence the previous point applies.

We have seen that, for 0 < a < 1, $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a^{k}$ converges to $\frac{1}{1-a}$. We can use this fact to show the convergence of some other series.

Theorem (root test)

Let $a_n > 0$ be a sequence. (a) If $a_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \le \theta < 1$ for n sufficiently large, then $\sum_n a_n$ converges. (b) If $a_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \ge \theta > 1$ for n sufficiently large, then $\sum_n a_n$ diverges. (c) Let $a_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \to a$. If a < 1, $\sum_n a_n$ converges. If a > 1, $\sum_n a_n$ diverges.

The series $\sum_{n} \theta^{n}$ converges if $\theta < 1$ (the geometric series) and diverges if $\theta > 1$ (θ^{n} does not tend to 0). By Theorem 1, and $a_{n}^{\frac{1}{n}} < \theta$ or $a_{n}^{\frac{1}{n}} > \theta$, the first two claims follow. If $a_{n}^{\frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow a < 1$, then we can take θ such that $a_{n}^{\frac{1}{n}} < \theta < 1$ for n sufficiently large. The case $a_{n}^{\frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow a > 1$ is analogous.

- $\sum_{n = \frac{1}{n3^n}}$ is convergent.
- $\sum_{n} \frac{n2^n}{3^n}$ is convergent.
- $\sum_{n} \frac{n}{2^n}$ is convergent.

When $\lim_{n} a_{n}^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$, this criterion does not give information. Indeed, $\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n}$ is divergent, but $\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n^{2}}$ is convergent (compare it with $\sum \frac{1}{n(n-1)}$), while in both cases $\lim(\frac{1}{n})^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim(\frac{1}{n^{2}})^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$.

Theorem (ratio test)

Let $a_n > 0$ be a sequence.

- **()** If $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \le \theta < 1$ for n sufficiently large, then $\sum_n a_n$ converges.
- If $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \ge \theta > 1$ for n sufficiently large, then $\sum_n a_n$ diverges.
- **(1)** Let $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \to a$. If a < 1, $\sum_n a_n$ converges. If a > 1, $\sum_n a_n$ diverges.

Let $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \le \theta < 1$ for $n \ge N$. Then,

$$a_{N+m} \leq a_{N+m-1}\theta \leq a_{N+m-2}\theta^2 \leq \cdots \leq a_N\theta^m.$$

Now $\sum_{n} a_{N}\theta^{m}$ is convergent, hence by Theorem 1, $\sum_{n} a_{n}$ is convergent. If $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_{n}} \ge \theta > 1$, then a_{n} is increasing and does not convergent to 0. If $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_{n}} \to a < 1$ or > 1, then $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_{n}} \le \theta < 1$ or > 1 for *n* sufficiently large, hence the claim follow from (i), (ii).

When $a_{n+1}/a_n \to 1$ or $a_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \to 1$, we need to study the series better.

3 ×

Lemma (integral test)

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers and assume that there is a positive decreasing function f(x) defined on $[1, \infty)$. If $a_n \leq f(x)$ and $\int_1^{\infty} f(x) dx$ converges, then $\sum_n a_n$ converges. If $a_n \geq f(x)$ and $\int_1^{\infty} f(x) dx$ diverges, then $\sum_n a_n$ diverges.

Proof.

For the first case, we have $\sum_{k=2}^{n} a_n \leq \int_1^n f(x) dx$, and the later converges, hence so does the former. For the first case, we have $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_n \geq \int_1^{n+1} f(x) dx$, and the later diverges, hence so does the former.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Let us fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$. We can compare this with $f_s(x) = \frac{1}{n^s}$. We know that $\int_1^{\infty} f_s(x) dx$ converges if and only if s > 1. $\zeta(s)$ is called the Riemann zeta function.

Lemma (condensation principle)

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers. Then $\sum a_n$ converges if and only if $\sum 2^n a_{2^n}$.

< ∃ > <

Since a_n is decreasing and positive, $a_{2^n} \ge a_{2^n+1} \ge \cdots \ge a_{2^{n+1}}$, hence

$$2^{n}a_{2^{n}} \geq \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1}a_{k} \geq 2^{n}a_{2^{n+1}}.$$

By summing this with respect to n,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} 2^{n} a_{2^{n}} \ge \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} a_{k} = \sum_{n=1}^{2^{N+1}-1} a_{k}$$
$$\ge \sum_{n=1}^{N} 2^{n} a_{2^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} 2^{n+1} a_{2^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N+1} 2^{n} a_{2^{n}} - a_{1} \right).$$

Therefore, $\sum_{n} a_n$ converges if and only if $\sum_{n} 2^n a_{2^n}$ converges by Theorem 1.

 $\frac{1}{n(\log n)^{\alpha}}$. By condensation principle, it is enough to study $\sum 2^{n} \frac{1}{2^{n}(\log 2^{n})^{\alpha}} = \sum \frac{1}{(n \log 2)^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\log 2^{\alpha}} \sum \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}$. Hence this converges if and only if $\alpha > 1$.

- Determine whether $\sum_{n} \frac{n}{n^2+1}$ converges.
- Determine whether $\sum_{n} \frac{(n!)^2}{(2n)!}$ converges.
- Determine whether $\sum_{n} \frac{2^{n}+3^{n}}{5^{n}}$ converges.
- Determine whether $\sum_{n} \frac{\log n}{n^2}$ converges.
- Determine whether $\sum_{n} \frac{1}{(\log x)^2}$ converges.