

A generalization of a theorem by Ihara and its ~~relation to~~ ~~the~~ a local Akemann-Bostrand phenomena.

Abstract In this paper we give a generalization of Ihara's theorem for every prime number $p \geq 2$ the group asserting that $\bar{G} = \text{PSL}_2(2, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$

that is embedded into $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$,

by proving that $G \times G^p$ acts properly

discontinuous, by left and right

multiplication on $\bar{G} \times (\bar{G})^p \times (K \backslash D)$,

the direct product

where $\bar{G} = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and K is the standard profinite completion of K .

Using Zimmer amenability and

Löb type construction we deduce that

$\hookrightarrow$ groupoid C^* -algebra of the groupoid $(G, G \times G^{\text{op}}/K, K)$
 and edges $G \times G^{\text{op}}$
 with base space K , (where $G \times G^{\text{op}}$ acts by
 partial transformations), has the property
 that ~~the~~ ^{its} canonical embedding into the
 ~~C^* -algebra~~ $\mathcal{Q}(C^*(G))$ ~~of~~ $C^*(G)$
 has the property that
 factorizes to the reduced C^* -algebra of
 the groupoid $K \cdot G$. This is a local version
 of well known result first recognized by
 Akemann & Strand.

Definitions and outline of the
~~proof~~ proof. ~~§1~~

In this paper p is a fixed number
 $p \geq 2$, Γ is $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $G = PGL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$
 which is a dense subgroup of $\bar{G} = PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$
 and of $\bar{G}^{\mathbb{P}} = PGL_2(\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{P}})$. We let

$\sigma_{p^u} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p^u \end{pmatrix}$, $u \geq 1$ an integer and let

Γ_{p^u} be the finite index subgroups

$$\Gamma_{p^u} = \Gamma \cap \sigma_{p^u} \Gamma (\sigma_{p^u})^{-1}$$

It is easily to see that $\Gamma_{p^u} \supseteq \Gamma_{p^0}^0$,

the minimal normal subgroups, still of finite
 index the image of Γ into $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}_{p^u})$.

Let K be the profinite completion of Γ with respect to the family $(\Gamma_p^0)_{p \geq 1}$.
Then $K = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, where $\mathbb{Z}_p$ are the p -adic integers.

It was proven by Ihara ([Ih]), that with respect to the canonical, diagonal embedding $G \subseteq \overline{G}^{\text{ve}} \times \overline{G}^p$, the group G , although being dense in each of the two factors, it is discrete (a lattice) in the product $\overline{G}^{\text{ve}} \times \overline{G}^p$.

⊙ We prove in this paper that one possible generalization is the following.

Theorem The action of $G \times K$ on the space $Y = (G \times G^p) \times K$ on $\overline{G}^{\text{ve}} \times (\overline{G}^{\text{ve}})^p \times K$, where the action on $\overline{G}^{\text{ve}} \times (\overline{G}^{\text{ve}})^p$ is by left multiplication, and on K by left and right

right multiplication is properly discontinuous
 as a ~~var~~ Corollary, using Lemma 5 in [Kos 01]
 we obtain, using Zimmer's definition of
 G_n
 amenable action (via equivariant conditional
 expectation)

Corollary The action of G the
 groupoid G on $\bar{G}^{\text{re}} \times (\bar{G}^{\text{re}})^{\text{op}} \times (K, \Gamma)$
 is amenable ([Clare Renault])

We will use this to prove that
 the ~~action~~ ~~reps~~ canonical representation of
 $C^*(G)$ into $\mathcal{Q}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ factors to
 $C_{\text{red}}^*(G)$.

Σ6

The representation of $C^*(Y)$ into $\mathcal{Q}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ is constructed as follows.

We let Y act on Γ as a prokaryotic groupoid by partial transformation.

There is a canonical action of $C(W) \subseteq \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ into $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)/C_0(\Gamma)$ and hence this gives a representation of $C^*(Y) \xrightarrow{\pi} B(\ell^2(\Gamma)) \rightarrow B(\ell^2(\Gamma))/K(\ell^2(\Gamma))$.

The main theorem of this paper is that

Theorem The representation $\pi: C^*(Y) \rightarrow B(\ell^2(\Gamma))/K(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ factors to $C_{ind}(Y)$

§7

$\cdot m C^*(Y)$

To analyze the states corresponding to this embedding (π) , we use the Gelfand construction. It is sufficient to consider a non-trivial algebra ω and consider the states of the form $\omega_{\xi, \eta}^{o\pi}$, where $\omega_{\xi, \eta}$ is the functional ω on $B(L^2(\Gamma))$ of the form

$$X \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle X \xi_n, \eta_n \rangle$$

and ξ_n, η_n weakly convergent to zero.

It is then a simple fact that we may reduce to $\xi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A_n}} \chi_{A_n}$, where A_n are subsets of Γ , avoiding eventually any given subset.

Σ8

We consider the canonical boundary of Γ , and consider it from the left and from the right. It corresponds to considering the compactification of Γ by sets of words, starting, or ending with a given word. We denote the corresponding boundaries with $\partial_r \Gamma$ and $\partial_e \Gamma$

We consequently have an embedding

$$C^*(Y) \subseteq C^*(G \times (\partial_r \Gamma \times \partial_e \Gamma \times K)) \subseteq Q(\mathcal{A}(\Gamma))^2$$

The states $\left(\frac{1}{\text{length}(u)} \chi_{Au} \right)_u$ gives rise to a Loeb probability measure that we

89

denote by γ_{ω, A_n} on a Loeb probability

space that we denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(A_n)$. There

is a canonical projection $P_{\omega} : \mathcal{C}_{\omega}(A_n) \rightarrow \partial_e \Gamma \times \partial_v \Gamma \times K$,

simply by considering limit after ω

We consider the pushback $P_{\omega}^*(\gamma_{\omega})$

on $\partial_e \Gamma \times \partial_v \Gamma \times K$, and use Ihere lemma

to conclude that the part of $P_{\omega}^*(\gamma_{\omega})$

that gives no mass to $\Gamma \subseteq K$, gives

rise to a state that factors to $C_{\omega}^*(\gamma)$.

The remaining part is similar to the case

of G by conjugation in $\mathcal{A}$ and we use

exactness of G .

Σ10

A Generalization of a theorem by Ihara

In this section we consider the following generalization of Ihara's theorem.

We recall the notations from introduction

G is $PGL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$. We consider its dense

$\overline{G}^{\text{re}} = PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\overline{G}^p = PGL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. It

is well known that ~~Ihara's~~ let k be

the profinite completion of Γ with respect to

the groups $(\Gamma_{p^n}^0)_{n \geq 1}$. ~~The Ihara's~~

~~theorem asserts that~~ We consider the

groupoid action of $G \times G^p$ on k , by left
right multiplication. Thus (g_1, g_2) will

have as domain $g_1^{-1} k g_2 \cap k$ and will

map this into $k \cap g_1 k g_2^{-1}$

EM

We denote this group by G .

It clearly acts on $Y = \bar{G}^{-ve} \times \bar{G}^{-ve} \times K$,

the action being given by

$$(g_1, g_2) [h_1, h_2, k] = (g_1 h_1, g_2 h_2, g_1 g_2 k)$$

if $h_1, h_2 \in \bar{G}^{-ve}$, k is in the domain of (g_1, g_2)

Thara's theorem asserts that G is a lattice
in $\bar{G}^{-ve} \times \bar{G}^{-ve}$. The essence of the theorem is

that the two topologies are very different,

and a sequence converging in the topology of real numbers

cannot be convergent in the p -adic topology.

In particular $(\bar{G}^{-ve} \times \bar{G}^{-ve})/G$ is a nice space isomorphic to finite
dimension.

We extend the interplay of the two topologies
by proving that G is p -adic

~~discontinuous~~ $Y = \bar{G}^{-ve} \times (\bar{G}^{-ve})^p \times (K \setminus \Gamma)$

acts properly discontinuous, and consequently
the quotient is still Hausdorff and hence
by a theorem of Koszul ([Kos]) it still
has a fundamental domain (as a groupoid).

Note that this means that the space of
orbits of Y/G is separated. Thus necessarily

we have to exclude $\bar{G}^{\text{ve}} \times \bar{G}^{\text{ve}} \times \mathbb{R}^1$

as $\{ (g_1, \overset{-1}{g_2}, \overset{g \in G}{x}) \}$ which acts on the ~~set~~

fiber over x in $\bar{G}^{\text{ve}} \times \bar{G}^{\text{ve}} \times \{x\}$

has as closure all of $\bar{G}^{\text{ve}} \times \bar{G}^{\text{ve}} \times \{x\}$

Theorem ~~Theorem~~ The quotient space Y/G is Hausdorff.

Proof. In the sense mentioned in the paper [Kapilovich, A note on properly discontinuous actions], we have to prove that there are not $\underline{g}^n = (g_1^n, g_2^n)$ in $G \times G^P$ tending to infinity and $\alpha = (g_1^0, g_2^0, h)$, $\beta = (g_1^1, g_2^1, \ell)$ in Y such that, ~~$g^n x$ converges to~~
and $\alpha^n \rightarrow \alpha$, such that $\underline{g}^n \alpha_n \rightarrow \beta$.
(The points α, β are called in [Kap], dynamically correlated).

215

Assume that $\alpha^n = (g_1^n, g_2^n, p_n)$

Then the above conditions imply that

$g_j^n \cdot g_j^0$ converges to g_j^1 , $j=1,2$

and p_n is in the domain of (g_1^n, g_2^n) and

$g_1^n p_n g_2^n \in K$ converges to l

It then follows that (g_1^n, g_2^n) converges

to an element (g_1, g_2) which necessarily

belongs to $[G^{ve} \times (G^{ve})^*] \setminus (G \times G^p)$, since

(g_1^n, g_2^n) converges to infinity and that

p_n belongs to the domain of (g_1^n, g_2^n)

with $(g_1^n, g_2^n)(p_n) = g_1^n p_n (g_2^n)^{-1}$ converging to l .

while p_n is converging to h

Σ16

We have that k, l are not in Γ

~~Otherwise~~ ²⁸ if $k \in \Gamma$, we could take

$$g_2^u = g^{-1} g_1^u g \quad \text{and then } g_1^u \neq g_2^u$$

$$= g_1^u \neq g^{-1} (g_1^u)^{-1} g = g, \quad \text{but } g_1^u \text{ could map}$$

easily to some $g_k \in \overline{G} \setminus G$ and likewise

will g_2^u converge to $g^{-1} g_1 g$.

- Then k is represented by ~~possibly~~ an

intersection $S_u \cap g_1^u$ and necessary then

g_2^u would be of the form $S_u^{-1} g_1^u v_n$, where

$v_n \in \Gamma (g_1^u)^{-1}$ would converge to l .

~~infinite~~ ^{infinite}

But $S_u^{-1} v_n$ converge to infinite p -adic
numbers (i.e. non integers) and they are spread
from the left and right by g_u , and hence ^(i.e. no simplification in the product)
 g_2^u cannot converge in the topology of $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

$t \leq 17$

Essentially we have that R_n
 $(g_1^n, g_2^n) \rightarrow (g_1, g_2)$ and $(g_1^n, g_2^n) \rightarrow l$,

while $R_n \rightarrow R$

Now $g_2^n = v_n g_1^n s_n$ automatically,
where v_n are coset reps of $\Gamma(g_1^n)^{-1}$,
 s_n are coset reps of $\Gamma(g_1^n)$ and this
writing is unique up to $\Gamma(g_1^n)^{-1}$ at left
which jumps to the right in $\Gamma(g_1^n)$

In any case $\Gamma(g_1^n) v_n^{-1}$ converges to the (l)
(i.e. $(\exists) R_n \in \Gamma(g_1^n) v_n^{-1}$, with $R_n \rightarrow R$
and $(\Gamma(g_1^n) s_n)$ converges to l . Since
both $R, l \in K \setminus \Gamma$, v_n, s_n coprime
integers, which viewed in real topology would
converge to ∞

test

Assume $g_1^u = \begin{pmatrix} a^u & b^u \\ c^u & d^u \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$

then I'll have with $v_u = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_u \beta_u \\ \delta_u \gamma_u \end{pmatrix}$

$S_u = \begin{pmatrix} x_u & y_u \\ z_u & t_u \end{pmatrix}$ / that

$$a_u \begin{pmatrix} p_1^u & q_1^u \\ p_2^u & q_2^u \end{pmatrix} + b_u \begin{pmatrix} p_2^u & q_1^u \\ p_1^u & q_2^u \end{pmatrix} + c_u \begin{pmatrix} p_1^u & q_2^u \\ p_2^u & q_1^u \end{pmatrix} + d_u \begin{pmatrix} p_2^u & q_2^u \\ p_1^u & q_1^u \end{pmatrix}$$

converges in $\mathbb{C}$.

where $\{p_1^u, p_2^u\}$ could be either (α_u, β_u) or (δ_u, γ_u)

and $\{q_1^u, q_2^u\}$ could be either (x_u, z_u) or (y_u, t_u)

Now to converge in $\mathbb{C}$ means that

the points $(p_1^u q_1^u, p_2^u q_1^u, p_1^u q_2^u, p_2^u q_2^u)$

stay in a "horizontal" finite band along

band of $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \rightarrow a x_1 + b x_2 + c x_3 + d x_4$

4.15

On the other hand the set of points on which we are evaluating is of the form $(x_1^{(h)}, x_2^{(h)}, x_3^{(h)}, x_4^{(h)}) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$

with $\frac{x_1}{x_2} = \frac{x_3}{x_4}$, but more than that

$$\frac{x_1^{h_1}}{x_2^{h_1}} = \frac{x_3^{h_2}}{x_4^{h_2}} \text{ if } h = \{1, 2\} \text{ or } h = \{3, 4\}$$

(and a symmetric relation). In addition

there is a hidden condition which

says that determinants are equal to 1

The shape of $\{(x_1^{(h)}, x_2^{(h)}, x_3^{(h)}, x_4^{(h)}) \mid x_i^{(h)} \in \mathbb{R}\}$

is so flat it can not be contained at ∞ in any product of two bands.

Σ 20

By using the lemma 5 in Koszul
lecture [Kos, Tate exhibits, group transformations)

we obtain

$$= (6 \times 6^9) \times 4$$

Corollary The action of G on $Y = \overline{6 \times 6 \times (n!)}^{\text{ve-ve}}$

has a fundamental domain

Proof. The fact that G is a groupoid is
irrelevant for the proof, and obviously Y is
paracompact.

Amenability of the action of $G = (6 \times 6^p) \ltimes K$ on $\partial_e \Gamma \times \partial_v \Gamma \times (K \setminus \Gamma)$

In this section we use the result in the previous section to prove the amenability.

Because of the result in the previous section we will prove first the amenability of the action of $G = (6 \times 6^p) \ltimes K$ on

$\bar{6}^{ve} \times (6^{ve})^p \times (K \setminus \Gamma)$. Then we will use the fact that $\partial_v \Gamma$ is ^{essentially} the quotient by an amenable subgroup of $\bar{6}^{ve}$ and use results in [Menni, Moralli], [Kari, Guj, Hajia]

We first prove
lemma G acts amenably on ${}^{(-ve)}G \times ({}^{(-ve)}G)^p \times (K \setminus \Gamma)$
 (amenability is in the sense of [Anou]).

Proof We will use Zimmer [71] original
 definitions, and use the equivalences established
 in this paper (see also [Peterson, par. 1.1]).

We have consequently here to construct a
 G -equivariant continuous expectation

$$\Phi: L^\infty(G \times Y) \rightarrow L^\infty(Y)$$

for any ^{equiv-}equivariant measure γ on

$$Y = {}^{(-ve)}G \times ({}^{(-ve)}G)^p \times (K \setminus \Gamma)$$

Because of the existence of the fundamental
 domain

We use the equivalent G-equiv
completely positive expectation (see [Timmer],
also e.g. Petko)

G-equiv The fundamental domain gives
an embedding of $\Phi: \ell^\infty(G) \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty(\overline{G}^{\text{ve}} \times \overline{G}^{\text{ve}} \times (K \setminus \Gamma))$

~~This is because of $\mathcal{K}$~~ The measure on $\overline{G}^{\text{ve}} \times \overline{G}^{\text{ve}} \times (K \setminus \Gamma)$
is standard Haar measure

Here we look at G rather as a
countable group of partial transformations
and prove equivariance under G .

On the other hand $\overline{G}^{\text{ve}} \times (\overline{G}^{\text{ve}})^{\mathbb{P}}$ acts
amenably on $\overline{G}/\rho \times (\overline{G}/\rho)^{\mathbb{P}}$ if $\mathbb{P}$ is
a closed amenable subgroup of $\overline{G}^{\text{ve}} = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Hence there exists a $\overline{G}^{\text{ve}} \times \overline{G}^{\text{ve}}$ equivariant

map
$$E: \ell^\infty\left(\left[\overline{G}^{\text{ve}} \times \overline{G}^{\text{ve}}\right] \times \overline{G}/\rho \times \overline{G}/\rho\right)$$

2.29 into $L^\infty(\bar{G}/P \times \bar{G}/P)$

We ~~then compose~~ tensor this with Id of

$$L^\infty(k \backslash \Gamma)$$

Then $\Phi \left(\text{Id} \otimes \text{Id}_{L^\infty(k \backslash \Gamma)} \right) \circ \left(\Phi \otimes \text{Id}_{L^\infty(\bar{G}/P) \otimes L^\infty(\bar{G}/P)} \right) \circ \left(\text{Id} \otimes \text{Id}_{L^\infty(k \backslash \Gamma)} \right)$

is a G equivariant map (as G is a subgroup of $\bar{G} \times \bar{G}$ if forget the domains)

is a G equivariant map from

$$L^\infty(G) \otimes \left[L^\infty(\bar{G}/P) \otimes L^\infty(\bar{G}/P) \otimes L^\infty(k \backslash \Gamma) \right]$$

$$\text{in } L^\infty(\bar{G}/P \times \bar{G}/P \times k \backslash \Gamma)$$

Since this respects the domains of G when acting on $k \backslash \Gamma$

it follows that G acts amenably on $\bar{G}/P \times \bar{G}/P \times (k \backslash \Gamma)$. $\square$

§ 3. The boundary action of $G \times \bar{G}^{\text{op}}$.

In this section we describe the boundary action. ~~Recall~~ We consider the compactification $\bar{\Gamma}^e$ of Γ generated by ~~the~~ ^{two} subsets of the form

$$A_w^1 = \{\text{words starting with } w\} \quad \text{with respect to canonical generators } g_i \text{ of } \text{BL}(R)$$

$$A_w^2 = \{\text{words ending with } w\}$$

$$\parallel \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$$

$$\Gamma_g = g \Gamma g^{-1} \cap \Gamma \quad \text{for } g \in G$$

Lemma. With this compactification

the boundary $\partial_e \Gamma = \bar{\Gamma}^e \setminus \Gamma$

becomes $\partial_e \Gamma \times \partial_r \Gamma \times K$, where $\partial_e \Gamma$ is the ^{starlike boundary} ~~visual~~ boundary of Γ .

Proof. It is sufficient to note

that any intersection of such sets is
infinite. Indeed we can have ^{infinitely many} words

as long starting with w_1 , ending with w_2
and belonging to a given coset of some Γ_j

Just take a ~~very~~ very long word starting with w_1 ,
ending with w_2 . Somewhere in the middle

if word is long enough, we correct the word

by one of the word representatives so that

it belongs to any specific coset of Γ_j in Γ .

§28

We describe the boundary action
of $(G \times G^P)$ on $\partial_e \Gamma \times \partial_v \Gamma \times K$.

Lemma. The action of $G \times G^P \times K$
is described as follows. If G and G^P
acts by a geodesic action, (described in Reiner
as an automata) on $\partial_e \Gamma$ respectively $\partial_v \Gamma$,
and (g_1, g_2) on K will act preserving the
domain.

Proof. By [Higson, + the guy in Hawaii],
we know that $\Gamma \times \Gamma^P$ acts only by
the left component (relative by the right)
component on $\partial_e \Gamma \times \partial_v \Gamma$.

$$\Sigma 229 = (g.s^{-1})$$

Every σ_g if $g \in G \setminus \Gamma$, will act

by partial automorphisms. It will

only look at words that belong to Γ^{-1}

The fact that on infinite word σ_g is well defined and yields another infinite word

follows from the paper [Guzachuk, Kaimanov, May 1961].
(and implicitly the fact that the compactness)
The action is described in the paper [Kaimanov, automata
Kaimanov]

In the end the action of (g_1, g_2) on
an infinite word, because also of the above
result by [Higson + ...] (see also [Osewa],
[Brown, Osewa], will be depend only on g_1
on $\partial_e \Gamma$ and only on g_2 on $\partial_r \Gamma$.

The action ^{of $G \cap \partial \Gamma$} is proved ([Spielberg], [Marin Marcollin])
to be a G -equivariant covering (unbounded only
on a countable set of points) of G acting on $P_1(\mathbb{R})$

Corollary. The action of G the groupoid G on $\partial_r \Gamma \times \partial_e \Gamma \times (K, \Gamma]$ is amenable.

Proof. This follows from the result in the previous chapter plus the fact mentioned above that action of G on $\partial_e \Gamma$ is one to one ^{G equivariant} ~~cover~~ of $P^1(\mathbb{R}) \cong G/p$. (except for a countable set of points that have a double preimage. $\square$)

R_e

~~434~~
 To construct the states corresponding
 to this representation we use the Gelfand
 construction for a faithful family of states
 on $Q(\ell^2(\Gamma))$. As proven by [Ca], it
 is sufficient to consider all sequences

$$\{ \xi_n \} \text{ of vectors in } \ell^2(\Gamma),$$

of unit vectors weakly converging to zero.
 One considers ~~an~~ ^{a free} ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\text{let } \rho_{\xi, \omega}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} (x \xi_n, \xi_n)$$

Since ξ_n are vectors in $\ell^2(\Gamma)$, we may assume
 that ξ_n as functions on Γ are positive,
 with finite support, depending on n .

We are then interested in

$$\rho_{\xi, \omega} \circ \pi \text{ as states on } \hat{C}^*(Y \times (\partial_e \Gamma \times \partial_e K \times K))$$

and these states as a faithful family

§ The groupoid C^* -algebra
and its representation into the Gelkin
algebra.

We have an obvious representation, of
the $(\partial_e \Gamma)$ compactification of Γ in the
previous representation into the algebra $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)/c_0(\Gamma)$
the Stone Čech compactification of the Γ .

This is ~~$G \rtimes \mathbb{P}$~~ G -equivariant, where

$G \rtimes \mathbb{P}$
 G is represented by left right multiplication
operators, and $C(k)$ acts by

multiplication operators ~~on~~ Γ the C^* -algebra

Thus we have a representation of $C^*(G) \rtimes$

~~$\pi: \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}(G)) \rightarrow$~~ $C^*(G \rtimes (\partial_e \Gamma \times \partial_r \Gamma \times \mathbb{K}))$
into $\mathcal{Q}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$

~~they~~

The states that have to be analysed

come from $\tau_{\xi, \omega} \circ \pi(gf)$, where $gf \in G \times G$

evaluating terms of the form

$\tau_{\xi, \omega}$ is not necessarily a homogeneous state

We note the following example.

Lemma

Let $A_n = \{\omega \mid |\omega| \leq n\}$, the set of words of length n . Consider $\xi_n = \frac{1}{|A_n|} \sum_{\omega \in A_n} \tau_{\omega}$

Then the ~~the~~ local measure $\tau_{\xi, \omega}$ has the property that the pushbacks $m_{\psi, \tau}$ ($m_{\psi, \tau}$) is exactly the quasivariant measure

considered in [Pi, Tdenaria] which gives a weight to A_n ~~that is inversely~~ ~~equal to~~ proportional to $|A_n|$

Then ~~the~~

Lemma. There exists probability measure
and $\pi: X_{\xi, \omega} \rightarrow \partial_p \Gamma \rightarrow \dots$
space $(X_{\xi, \omega}, \gamma_{\xi, \omega})$ such that

$\gamma_{\xi, \omega}$ and an embedding ~~$C(\partial_p \Gamma \times \partial_p \Gamma$~~

of $C(\partial_p \Gamma)$ (and thus of $C(\partial_p \Gamma \times \partial_p \Gamma \times K)$)

into $L^\infty(X_{\xi, \omega}, \gamma_{\xi, \omega})$ such that the

state $\rho_{\xi, \omega}^{op} \mid C(\partial_p \Gamma)$ is the pushback

of $\gamma_{\xi, \omega}$

Proof. This is simply the Loeb measure

construction. Note that composing with $g \in S$

$g(\pi^*(\gamma_{\xi, \omega}))$ will be the measure on $C(\partial_p \Gamma)$

and $(\partial_p \Gamma \times \partial_p \Gamma \times K)$ obtained by composing

with action of the transformation group g

f.

Observation The measure $\gamma_{\xi, \omega}$ is

not necessarily group invariant, but one can still make change of measure $g(\gamma_{\xi, \omega}^*)$ as G acts on $^*\Gamma$ (the str-stand) space.

One obtains that $\ell_{\xi, \omega}(g) = \int g(\gamma_{\xi, \omega}) \left(\frac{d\gamma_{\xi, \omega}}{d\mu} \right)^{1/2}$

This might be explained better by saying that the minimal group invariant components covering $\gamma_{\xi, \omega}$ in $^*\Gamma$ depend on cardinality of supports of ξ .

Hence one may assume $\xi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{card } A_n}}$ with

and $\gamma_{\xi, \omega}$ is Lebesgue counting measure, and the space of the group invariant measure is $\cup g X_{\omega, \xi}$

2.36

~~The space~~

The Akemannstrand phenomena

First we assume that $\pi_k^*(\gamma_{\Sigma A})$.

where $\pi_k: \cancel{X_0} \rightarrow K$, has the property that it gives value zero to Γ (is singular to counting measure on Γ)

Lemma. In this case $\rho_{\Sigma A}$ is equivalent
a state on $C^*(G \times C(\partial_v \Gamma \times \partial_e \Gamma \times (K, \Gamma)))$
and hence $\rho_{\Sigma A}$ is a state which

factorizes to the $C_{red}^*(G \times C(\partial_v \Gamma \times \partial_e K \times K))$

Proof. Recall that we proved that

$C^*(G \times C(\partial_v \Gamma \times \partial_e K))$ is nuclear.

237

Indeed for every G -invariant measure
 on $Y = \partial_v \Gamma \times \partial_e \Gamma \times K$, the action
 of G is amenable and hence by
see also Claire, TAMS paper, page 4158, paragraph 2
 lemma 3.3.7 in [Claire Renault] it follows
 that $C^*(G \times C(\partial_v \Gamma \times \partial_e \Gamma \times K))$
 is nuclear.

Thus $\tau_{\Sigma, A}$ is a state continuous with
 respect topology $C^*(G \times C(Y))$
 and hence with $C^*(G, C(Y, \nu))$
 But in the GNS of $\tau_{\Sigma, A}$, $C^*(G \times C(Y))$
 is same as $C^*(G \times C(\partial_v \Gamma \times \partial_e \Gamma \times K))$
 and hence $\tau_{\Sigma, \omega}$ factors to $C^*(G \times C(Y))$
 where ν is the minimal G -invariant
 measure ~~containing~~ $\text{ker } \pi_k^*(\tau_{\Sigma, A})$

238

In fact what we are doing here is

we take $\nu =$ minimal invariant

measure dominating $\nu_0 = \pi^* (\mu_{\xi, \omega})$
 $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times K \times \Gamma$

and observe that by naturality

$$C^*(Y \times L^\infty(Y, \nu)) = C^*_{\text{red}}(Y \times L^\infty(Y, \nu))^{(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times K)}$$

which is also equal to $C^*_{\text{red}}(Y \times L^\infty(\frac{Y}{\Gamma}))$

Then $\rho_{\xi, \omega} \circ \pi$ factorizes to a state on

$C^*(Y \times L^\infty(Y, \nu))$ and hence $\rho_{\xi, \omega}$ factorizes
to a state on $C^*_{\text{red}}(Y \times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times K))$.

□

Note that $\pi^* \mu_{\xi, \omega}$ may not

be invariant, but taking a larger Ξ

we expect it to become invariant

This unless the minimal quosimvariant measure energy to has infinite mass

The
Prop

~~The Akemann-Ostrand phenomenon~~
holds true

Th In general the state $\rho_{\xi, \omega} \circ \pi$ fed into $C_{rel}^*(G \times (\partial \Gamma \times \partial \Gamma \times K))$.

Proof Assume that $\pi_k^*(\gamma_{\xi, \omega})$

has a singular part, i.e. has mass

over Γ . Say this is $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varepsilon_{\gamma} \delta_{\gamma}$

For simplicity assume that $\sum_n \frac{1}{n} \chi_n$

Σ41

Then there exists for each δ ,
neighborhoods of $\mathcal{E}$, of the form $S^n \subset \mathcal{E}$
 $B(u, \delta) \left(S^n(\mathcal{E}) \cap \sigma_p \cap \mathcal{E}(u, \delta) \right)$

such that the mass of A_n inside this

i.e. $\frac{\text{card } A_n \cap B(u, \delta)}{\text{card } A_n}$ converges to $\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}}$

By continuity we may assume only a finite
number of them.

If we exclude from the Loeb space A_n ,
the intersections, we will get a Loeb space
minus a direct reunion of Loeb spaces
and this will be approximated with Loeb
spaces to which the argument in the
previous applies

Σ 42

Hence to finish the proof, we may assume by linearity that only one point in $\mathcal{H}$ has $\neq$ untrivial mass

~~The only~~

We analyze the state corresponding to

Σ X_{ω}^0 corresponding the points giving mass to $\mathcal{H}$.

On an element $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{G}$ the corresponding state

ρ_{ω, Σ_0} will be non-zero only if (g_1, g_2)

stabilizes $\mathcal{H}$, i.e. if g_2 is of the form $\mathcal{H}^{-1} g_1^{-1} \mathcal{H}$

Hence by conjugating with $\mathcal{H}$, we may

assume that $\mathcal{H} = e$, and the state ρ_{ω, Σ_0}

is non-vanishing only on $\{(g, \bar{g}) \mid g \in G\}$

But then, since the stabilizer of conjugation of G on Γ are all amenable,

Σ43

The points A_n^0 will behave like they
would ^{be} in G/H_0 , where H_0 is an
amenable group. The set would be $C^*(G)$
and hence $C^*(Y)$ □