

*On dimension and integration for spectral triples  
associated to quantum groups*

Marco Matassa

University of Oslo

1 Spectral triples and non-commutative integration

2 The quantum group  $SU_q(2)$

3 Quantum projective spaces

- Much information about Riemannian manifolds can be obtained by analyzing operators associated to them.
- For example take the Laplace-Beltrami operator.
- Consider a bounded region  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Denote by  $N(\lambda)$  the number of (Dirichlet) eigenvalues which are  $\leq \lambda$ . Then we have (**Weyl's law**)

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\lambda^{n/2}} = \frac{V_n}{(2\pi)^n} \text{vol}(\Omega).$$

- Therefore given the list of eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  we can tell the dimension and the volume of the region in consideration.
- There is actually much more information than that in the list of eigenvalues (but still **not enough** to completely characterize the geometry, cf. "hearing the shape of a drum").

- Moreover a spectral approach is also what we need to describe the geometry of non-commutative spaces.
- As it is well known, non-commutative  $C^*$ -algebras provide a natural notion of non-commutative (topological) spaces.
- A  $C^*$ -algebra can be represented concretely as a norm closed subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- The idea is then to consider some (possibly unbounded) operator that should contain geometric information about the space.

- The notion of **spectral triple** provides the basis for non-commutative geometry in the sense of Connes.

### Definition

A compact spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  is the data of a unital  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , a faithful  $*$ -representation  $\pi$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , and a self-adjoint operator  $D$  such that

- $[D, \pi(a)]$  extends to a bounded operator for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
  - $(D^2 + 1)^{-1/2}$  is a compact operator.
- 
- For example consider a compact spin manifold  $M$ . Then if we take  $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M)$ ,  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(S)$  and  $D$  the Dirac operator (with respect to a fixed metric) we get a spectral triple.
  - The distance between two points  $p, q \in M$  can be obtained as

$$d(p, q) = \sup\{|f(p) - f(q)| : f \in C^\infty(M), \|[D, f]\| \leq 1\}.$$

- The **dimension** of a manifold  $M$  can be recovered from the spectrum of the operator  $D$ . Indeed consider (assume  $D$  invertible)

$$|D|^{-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It is trace-class for all  $\operatorname{Re}(z) > n$ , where  $n$  is the dimension of  $M$ .

- Similarly, we can also recover the **integral** of a function  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ .
- One way is via the residue of the **zeta function** associated to this operator. We define the linear functional  $\varphi : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as

$$\varphi(f) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=n} \operatorname{Tr}(f|D|^{-z}).$$

- It turns out that  $\varphi(f)$  **coincides** with the integral of  $f$  (which includes the volume form), up to a multiplicative constant.

- These notions make sense also for **non-commutative** algebras.
- In this more general setting we will refer to them as **spectral dimension** and **non-commutative integral**.
- However, it turns out that for some quantum deformations they behave very differently from their commutative counterparts.
- For example let us consider the case of  **$q$ -deformations**. Suppose the spectrum of a classical operator is replaced by  $q$ -numbers

$$[x]_q = \frac{q^x - q^{-x}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

Then we get completely different asymptotics.

- It is possible to construct spectral triples which are isospectral [[Neshveyev, Tuset \(2010\)](#)]. But then other notions of dimension will differ (for example the homological dimension).

- There are features of the non-commutative world which have **no analogue** in the commutative one.
- Consider for example the **non-commutative integral**  $\varphi$ . In the commutative case we have trivially  $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(ba)$ . Also true for a spectral triple, but is a non-trivial condition.
- For example for  $SU_q(2)$  there is no faithful trace.
- In the case of compact quantum groups the **Haar state** satisfies  $h(ab) = h(\vartheta(b)a)$ , for a non-trivial **modular group**  $\vartheta$ .
- Therefore we might want to take these features into account.



■ Twisted spectral triples [Connes, Moscovici (2006)].

Require  $[D, f]_\sigma = Df - \sigma(f)D$  to be bounded, where  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The non-commutative integral then obeys

$$\varphi(fg) = \varphi(\sigma^n(g)f) .$$

- Twisted spectral triples [Connes, Moscovici (2006)].

Require  $[D, f]_\sigma = Df - \sigma(f)D$  to be bounded, where  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The non-commutative integral then obeys

$$\varphi(fg) = \varphi(\sigma^n(g)f) .$$

- Modular spectral triples [Carey, Phillips, Rennie (2010)].

Use a weight  $\Phi$  instead of the operator trace

$$\varphi(f) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=n} \Phi (f|D|^{-z}) ,$$

where  $\Phi(\cdot) = \operatorname{Tr}(\Delta_\Phi \cdot)$ . Then we have the modular property

$$\varphi(fg) = \varphi(\sigma_i^\Phi(g)f)$$

where  $\sigma_t^\Phi(f) = \Delta_\Phi^{it} f \Delta_\Phi^{-it}$  is the modular group of  $\Phi$ .

- We can take both of these approaches into account to discuss integration for non-commutative spaces.
- The **zeta function** associated to  $D$  and  $\Phi$  is defined by

$$\zeta(z) := \Phi(|D|^{-z}) = \text{Tr}(\Delta_\Phi |D|^{-z}).$$

- If it exists, we define the **spectral dimension** to be the number

$$n := \inf\{s > 0 : \zeta(s) = \text{Tr}(\Delta_\Phi |D|^{-s}) < \infty\}.$$

- More generally define  $\zeta_x(z) := \Phi(x|D|^{-z})$ . This is well defined if we assume that  $\sigma^\Phi(x) \in \mathcal{A}$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- Assume we have a simple pole. Then the **non-commutative integral** is the linear functional  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$\varphi(x) := \underset{z=n}{\text{Res}} \zeta_x(z) = \underset{z=n}{\text{Res}} \text{Tr}(\Delta_\Phi x |D|^{-z}).$$

- We assume that  $[D, x]_\sigma = Dx - \sigma(x)D$  is bounded for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , for a fixed automorphism  $\sigma$ .
- We also assume that  $\sigma$  acts diagonally on the generators.

### Theorem

Let  $\varphi$  be the non-commutative integral as before. Assume furthermore that  $D$  satisfies the following regularity property:

- there exists some  $0 < r \leq 1$  such that  $|D|^r [|D|^s, x]_{\sigma^s} |D|^{-s}$  is a bounded operator, for every element  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and for all  $s \geq n$ .

Then the modular group of  $\varphi$  is given by  $\theta = \sigma^\Phi \circ \sigma^n$ .

- This means that  $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(\theta(y)x)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

1 Spectral triples and non-commutative integration

2 The quantum group  $SU_q(2)$

3 Quantum projective spaces

- Given an operator  $D$ , we can consider the following general question: are there any **preferred choices** for the weight  $\Phi$ ?
- In the realm of compact quantum groups a reasonable requirement is to recover the Haar state.
- We analyze this question for the quantum group  $SU_q(2)$ .
- We consider the Dirac operator  $D_q$  introduced in [Kaad, Senior (2012)]. It acts on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_h \oplus \mathcal{H}_h$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_h$  is the GNS space constructed using the Haar state.

- It is defined in terms of the generators of  $U_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$  as

$$D_q = \begin{pmatrix} (q^{-1} - q)^{-1}(qK^{-2} - 1) & q^{-1/2}EK^{-1} \\ q^{1/2}FK^{-1} & (q^{-1} - q)^{-1}(1 - q^{-1}K^{-2}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Upon restriction becomes the Dirac operator on the Podleś sphere.

### Proposition (Kaad, Senior 2012)

For the Dirac operator  $D_q$  we have:

- 1  $[D_q, x]_{\sigma_L} = D_q x - \sigma_L(x) D_q$  is bounded,
- 2  $[|D_q|, x]_{\sigma_L}$  is bounded (Lipschitz regularity),
- 3  $D_q^2 = \chi^{-1} \Delta_L^{-1} C_q$ , where  $C_q$  is the Casimir and  $\chi = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}$ .

- Here  $C_q t_{ij}^l = [l + 1/2]_q^2 t_{ij}^l$  and  $\Delta_L t_{ij}^l = q^{2j} t_{ij}^l$ .

- There is an additional interesting feature of the operator  $D_q$ .
- Given a spectral triple, the Dirac operator  $D$  implements a **differential calculus**. The same is true in the twisted case, with the appropriate modifications.

### Proposition

*The operator  $D_q$  implements a left covariant differential calculus on  $SU_q(2)$ .*

- In the context of twisted spectral triples, this particular calculus has been considered previously in the paper [\[Krähmer, Wagner \(2011\)\]](#), where it is given as an example of a more general framework.
- The operator  $D_q$  that we consider here, however, is slightly different from the one that appears in that paper.



- We now define a **non-commutative integral** in terms of  $D_q$ .
- In view of the requirement that  $\sigma^\Phi(x) \in \mathcal{A}$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , we choose  $\Delta_\Phi$  such that it implements an **automorphism** of  $SU_q(2)$ .
- It is known that the automorphisms that act diagonally on the generators can be parametrized by two numbers.
- In particular the modular group  $\vartheta$  of the Haar state is of this form.
- Therefore we consider the **family of weights** given by

$$\Phi^{(a,b)}(\cdot) := \text{Tr}(\Delta_L^{-a} \Delta_R^b \cdot), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- We now compute the corresponding **spectral dimension**.
- The relevant zeta function takes the form

$$\zeta^{(a,b)}(z) := \text{Tr}(\Delta_L^{-a} \Delta_R^b |D_q|^{-z}).$$

## Proposition

- 1  $\zeta^{(a,b)}(z)$  is holomorphic for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\text{Re}(z) > a + |b|$ , provided that  $a \pm b > 0$ ,
- 2 in this case the corresponding spectral dimension is  $n = a + |b|$ ,
- 3  $\zeta^{(a,b)}(z)$  has a meromorphic extension to the complex plane, with only simple poles if  $b \neq 0$  and with only double poles if  $b = 0$ .

- If these conditions are satisfied we can take the residue, so that the non-commutative integral makes sense.
- The conditions of the theorem previously shown apply to this case, so we can determine its **modular group**, which we denote by  $\theta$ .
- We want to compare the non-commutative integral with the Haar state, which satisfies the property  $h(xy) = h(\vartheta(y)x)$ .
- A **necessary** condition to recover the Haar state from the non-commutative integral is that  $\theta = \vartheta$ .

- If these conditions are satisfied we can take the residue, so that the non-commutative integral makes sense.
- The conditions of the theorem previously shown apply to this case, so we can determine its **modular group**, which we denote by  $\theta$ .
- We want to compare the non-commutative integral with the Haar state, which satisfies the property  $h(xy) = h(\vartheta(y)x)$ .
- A **necessary** condition to recover the Haar state from the non-commutative integral is that  $\theta = \vartheta$ .

### Proposition

*We have  $\theta = \vartheta$  if and only if  $b = 1$ .*

- It is also possible to show that the non-commutative integral, once normalized, coincides with the Haar state  $h$  **independently** of  $a$ .

- Is there any way to fix the parameter  $a$ ?
- Since the spectral dimension is given by  $n = a + 1$ , with the choice  $a = 2$  we obtain the classical dimension.
- We will look at the **heat kernel** expansion. In the classical case for a second order operator of Laplace-type we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}(fe^{-tP}) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{(k-n)/2} a_k(f, P),$$

- The heat kernel coefficients are related to the zeta function by

$$a_k(f, P) = \mathrm{Res}_{z=(n-k)/2} \Gamma(z)\zeta(z, f, P).$$

- Locally the operator  $P$  can be written in the form

$$P = -(g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu + E).$$

- In three dimensions the first two non-trivial coefficients are

$$a_0(f, P) = (4\pi)^{-n/2} \int_M f \sqrt{g} d^n x,$$

$$a_2(f, P) = (4\pi)^{-n/2} 6^{-1} \int_M f (6E + R) \sqrt{g} d^n x.$$

- Consider the operator  $C$  obtained in the classical limit from  $C_q$ .
- For this operator we have that  $a_2(C) = 0$  **non-trivially**. Indeed for the 3-sphere the scalar curvature is  $R = 6$ , but this is cancelled by  $E$ .

- In the non-commutative case we can ask for the analogue condition for  $D_q^2$ . Then the following residue should vanish

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=n-2} \Gamma(z) \zeta^{(a,1)}(z) = 0.$$

- In the non-commutative case we can ask for the analogue condition for  $D_q^2$ . Then the following residue should vanish

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=n-2} \Gamma(z) \zeta^{(a,1)}(z) = 0.$$

### Proposition

*The residue of  $\zeta^{(a,1)}(z)$  at  $z = n - 2$  is zero if and only if  $a = 2$ .*

- Recall that the spectral dimension is given by  $n = a + 1$ . Therefore for this value it coincides with the classical one.
- The parameters  $a$  and  $b$  control the behaviour of **different coefficients** of the heat kernel expansion. This property is not obvious from their definition.



1 Spectral triples and non-commutative integration

2 The quantum group  $SU_q(2)$

3 Quantum projective spaces

- We consider the family of spectral triples for quantum projective spaces introduced in [D'Andrea, Dąbrowski (2010)].
- Their spectral dimension is **zero**. Here we want to reinterpret them in the sense of modular spectral triples.
- We will consider  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}P_q^\ell)$  with  $\ell \geq 2$ , which can be constructed similarly to the quotient  $S^{2\ell+1}/U(1)$  in the classical case.
- A particular element, denoted by  $K_{2\rho}$ , will play a **central role** in the following. One important property of this element is that it implements the square of the antipode, in the sense that  $S^2(x) = K_{2\rho} x K_{2\rho}^{-1}$  for any  $x \in U_q(\mathfrak{su}(\ell + 1))$ .
- More importantly for us, it also implements the **modular group** of the Haar state of  $\mathcal{A}(SU_q(\ell + 1))$ .

- There is a non-degenerate pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  between  $U_q(\mathfrak{su}(\ell + 1))$  and  $\mathcal{A}(SU_q(\ell + 1))$ , which is used to define the canonical left and right actions as  $x \triangleright a = a_{(1)} \langle x, a_{(2)} \rangle$  and  $a \triangleleft x = \langle x, a_{(1)} \rangle a_{(2)}$ .
- There is a faithful state on  $\mathcal{A}(SU_q(\ell + 1))$ , called the Haar state and which we denote by  $h$ . It generalizes the properties of the Haar integral in the classical case.
- However the Haar state does not satisfy the trace property. In particular its modular group is implemented by the element  $K_{2\rho}$  as

$$h(ab) = h(bK_{2\rho} \triangleright a \triangleleft K_{2\rho}).$$

- Passing to the quantum projective spaces it becomes

$$h(ab) = h(bK_{2\rho} \triangleright a).$$

- The Hilbert spaces  $H_N$  are the completion of  $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\ell} \Omega_N^k$ . Here  $\Omega_N^k$  are spaces of (twisted) forms, with  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- The spaces  $\Omega_N^k$  can be decomposed into (vector spaces of) **irreducible representations** of  $U_q(\mathfrak{su}(\ell + 1))$ , schematically as

$$\Omega_N^0 = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} V_{(m+c_1, 0, \dots, 0, m+c_2)},$$

$$\Omega_N^k = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} V_{(m+c_3, 0, \dots, 0, m+c_4)+e_k} \oplus V_{(m+c_5, 0, \dots, 0, m+c_6)+e_{k+1}},$$

$$\Omega_N^{\ell} = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} V_{(m+c_7, 0, \dots, 0, m+c_8)}.$$

- $q$ -analogues of  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $\bar{\partial}^\dagger$  are defined. By taking suitable linear combinations we obtain a family of Dolbeault-Dirac operators  $D_N$ .

- On each  $\Omega_N^k$  the square of  $D_N$  can be written in terms of the Casimir. Its eigenvalues grow like  $q^{-m}$ , with  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- The spectral dimension of this spectral triple is zero. Indeed the eigenvalues grow like  $q^{-m}$  while the multiplicities grow **polynomially**.
- We now want to revisit this construction in the sense of modular spectral triples. Include the **element**  $K_{2\rho}$  as

$$\varphi(a) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=n} \operatorname{Tr}(K_{2\rho} a |D_N|^{-z})$$

- Under suitable assumptions we have

$$\varphi(ab) = \varphi(bK_{2\rho} \triangleright a),$$

which is the modular property of the Haar state.

- We need to determine the spectral dimension.

- Given a finite-dimensional irreducible representation  $T$ , its **quantum dimension** is defined as the number  $\text{Tr}(T(K_{2\rho}))$ , where the trace is taken over the vector space that carries the representation  $T$ .
- In the classical case, that is for  $q = 1$ , the quantum dimension is simply the dimension of this vector space.
- For the vector space  $V_\Lambda$  of highest weight  $\Lambda$  we can use the **Weyl dimension formula**, which reads as

$$\dim V_\Lambda = \prod_{\alpha > 0} \frac{(\Lambda + \rho, \alpha)}{(\rho, \alpha)},$$

where the product is over the positive roots and  $\rho$  is the Weyl vector, defined as the half-sum of the positive roots.

- There is also a  **$q$ -analogue** of this formula which allows to compute the quantum dimension. We have

$$\dim_q V_\Lambda = \prod_{\alpha > 0} \frac{[(\Lambda + \rho, \tilde{\alpha})]_q}{[(\rho, \tilde{\alpha})]_q},$$

where  $[x]_q$  is a  $q$ -number and  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is a normalization of  $\alpha$ .

- This quantity appears in the computation of the trace of  $K_{2\rho}|D_N|^{-z}$ . Indeed  $D_N^2$  is a **multiple of the identity** on  $V_\Lambda$ .
- We compute it for the vector spaces appearing in the decomposition of the Hilbert spaces  $H_N$ .

### Proposition

*For  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , the quantum dimension of the vector space  $V_\Lambda$  with weight  $\Lambda$  is  $\dim_q(V_\Lambda) = O(q^{-2\ell m})$ .*

## Theorem

*The operator  $K_{2\rho}|D_N|^{-z}$  is trace-class for  $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 2\ell$ . The residue at  $z = 2\ell$  of its trace exists, so that the spectral dimension is  $2\ell$ .*



## Theorem

*The operator  $K_{2\rho}|D_N|^{-z}$  is trace-class for  $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 2\ell$ . The residue at  $z = 2\ell$  of its trace exists, so that the spectral dimension is  $2\ell$ .*

- The results above remain valid if  $K_{2\rho}$  is replaced by  $K_{2\rho}^{-1}$ , by a property of the quantum dimension.
- This implies that the functional on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}P_q^\ell)^{\otimes(2\ell+1)}$  defined by





$$\tilde{\psi}(a_0, \dots, a_{2\ell}) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=2\ell} \operatorname{Tr}(K_{2\rho}^{-1} a_0 [D_N, a_1] \cdots [D_N, a_{2\ell}] |D_N|^{-z})$$





is a **twisted cocycle** with twist  $\vartheta^{-1}$ .

- This kind of result also seems to hold for quantum Grassmannians (work in progress). This exhausts the class of quantum irreducible generalized flag manifolds corresponding to  $G = SL(n + 1)$ , for which the results of [Krähmer (2003)] apply.
- In the setting of modular Fredholm modules similar results have been observed in some examples [Rennie, Sitarz, Yamashita (2013)]. This can be adapted to a larger class of spaces (work in progress).
- In a sense our discussion reproduces Weyl's law

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\lambda^{n/2}} = \frac{V_n}{(2\pi)^n} \text{vol}(\Omega)$$

when both sides are interpreted appropriately.

-  M. Matassa, *Non-commutative integration, zeta functions and the Haar state for  $SU_q(2)$* , arXiv preprint arXiv:1310.7477 (2013).
-  M. Matassa, *Quantum dimension and quantum projective spaces*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.5396 (2014).
-  A.L. Carey, J. Phillips, A. Rennie, *Twisted cyclic theory and an index theory for the gauge invariant KMS state on the Cuntz algebra  $O_n$* , Journal of K-theory 6.02 (2010), 339-380.
-  J. Kaad, R. Senior, *A twisted spectral triple for quantum  $SU_q(2)$* , Journal of Geometry and Physics 62.4 (2012), 731-739.

-  M. Matassa, *Non-commutative integration, zeta functions and the Haar state for  $SU_q(2)$* , arXiv preprint arXiv:1310.7477 (2013).
-  M. Matassa, *Quantum dimension and quantum projective spaces*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.5396 (2014).
-  A.L. Carey, J. Phillips, A. Rennie, *Twisted cyclic theory and an index theory for the gauge invariant KMS state on the Cuntz algebra  $O_n$* , Journal of K-theory 6.02 (2010), 339-380.
-  J. Kaad, R. Senior, *A twisted spectral triple for quantum  $SU_q(2)$* , Journal of Geometry and Physics 62.4 (2012), 731-739.

**Thank you for your attention!**