

Weakly coupled lattices of 1D piecewise expanding maps: Limit theorems and phase transition

Gerhard Keller

Department Mathematik, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Bismarckstr. 1 $\frac{1}{2}$,
91054 Erlangen, Germany

Coauthors: Jean-Baptiste Bardet, Sébastien Gouëzel, Carlangelo Liverani

November 28, 2007

Abstract:

Since Kaneko [1] introduced coupled map lattices around 1984, many authors investigated numerically systems (on the lattice $\Lambda = (\mathbb{Z}/L\mathbb{Z})^d$) of the type

$$x_i(t+1) = \tau(x_i(t)) + \frac{\epsilon}{2d} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} \tau(x_j(t)) \quad (1)$$

where τ is a chaotic 1D map, e.g. a logistic or a tent map on $[0, 1]$.

The first rigorous mathematical result on the statistical properties of coupled map lattices in the limit of infinite system size (i.e. $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^d$) was published by Bunimovich and Sinai [2] in 1988. It dealt with piecewise expanding local maps τ on $[0, 1]$ with onto branches and with a very special class of couplings which ensures that the symbolic dynamics of the system is a full $d + 1$ -dimensional shift over finitely many symbols. Despite numerous efforts and beautiful results by many authors [3] it was not possible so far to treat systems with the simple diffusive coupling (1) and a mixing piecewise expanding map (e.g. a tent map or a β -transformation) as a local map τ . Here I will report about some progress on these systems that was achieved between 2004 and 2007 in various cooperations with C. Liverani, J.-B. Bardet and S. Gouëzel. All results assume that $\inf |\tau'| > 2$.

For systems as above (and more general variants of them) we prove:

Theorem 1 ([6] with reference to [4, 5]) *For sufficiently small coupling strength $\epsilon > 0$, the dynamical system on $\Omega := [0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ given by (1) has a **unique invariant probability measure** μ_ϵ in the class of all measures which have densities h_{Λ_0} on finite “boxes” $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda$ and for which the variation of h_{Λ_0} grows subexponentially with the diameter of Λ_0 . With this measure the system has **exponentially decaying correlations** both in time and in space, and μ_ϵ is the **SRB measure** of the system in the following sense:*

- μ_ϵ is stable under small perturbations of the dynamics by smooth noise, and
- for each continuous observable $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \psi(x(t)) = \int_X \psi d\mu_\epsilon$$

exists for almost every initial configuration $x(0)$ – “almost every” with respect to the infinite Lebesgue product measure on X .

The key ingredient of the proof is a **new decoupling procedure** for the coupled system. A refinement of this procedure yields:

Theorem 2 ([7]) *If $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\Lambda$ is a local Lipschitz observable, then the partial sum process $(\sum_{t=1}^n \psi(x(t)))_{n=1,2,3,\dots}$ defined on the probability space (Ω, μ_ϵ) satisfies a central limit theorem and also a local limit theorem.*

Finally I plan to describe the construction of a piecewise linear Markov map τ giving rise to the coupled dynamics (on $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$)

$$x_i(t+1) = \tau(x_i(t)) + \epsilon \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\tau(x_{i+\binom{0}{1}}(t)) + \tau(x_{i+\binom{1}{0}}(t)) \right) - \tau(x_i(t)) \right) \quad (2)$$

which shows a phase transition in the coupling strength ϵ :

Theorem 3 ([8])

- a) *On a finite periodic lattice $\Lambda = (\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})^2$ holds: For all $\epsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{4}]$ the system has a unique invariant density with respect to which the system is exponentially mixing in time.*
- b) *On the infinite lattice $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^2$ holds: There are $0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < \epsilon_3 \leq \frac{1}{4}$ such that*
 - i) *For $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_1$ the system has a unique SRB measure μ_ϵ as described in Theorem 1.*
 - ii) *For $\epsilon_2 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_3$ the system has at least two invariant measures with densities on finite boxes as described in Theorem 1.*

The proof relates the dynamics of the system to those of a stochastic cellular automaton of Toom's type [9].

Tentative schedule:

- 1. *lecture:* Introduction of the model, main results, relevant spaces of functions and measures
- 2. *lecture:* Main ideas in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2
- 3. *lecture:* Main idea in the proof of Theorem 3.

References

- [1] K. Kaneko, Prog. Theor. Phys. 72, 480-486 (1984), Prog. Theor. Phys. 74, 1033-1044 (1985).
- [2] L.A. Bunimovich, Ya.G. Sinai, Nonlinearity **1**, 491-516 (1988).
- [3] Among others: M. Gundlach, D. Rand, Nonlinearity **6**, 201-214 and 215-230 (1993), J. Bricomont, A. Kupiainen, Nonlinearity **8**, 379-396 (1995) and Commun. Math. Phys. **178**, 703-732 (1996), M. Jiang, Y. Pesin, Commun. Math. Phys. **193**, 675-711 (1998), V. Baladi et al., J. Math. Pures Appl. **77**, 539-584 (1998), T. Fischer, H.H. Rugh, Ergod. Th.& Dynam. Sys. **20**, 109-143 (2000).
- [4] G. Keller, C. Liverani, *Coupled map lattices without cluster expansion*, Discr. Cont. Dynam. Sys. **11** (2004), 325-335.
- [5] G. Keller, C. Liverani, *A spectral gap for a one-dimensional lattice of coupled piecewise expanding interval maps*, in: Dynamics of Coupled Map Lattices and of Related Spatially Extended Systems (Eds.: J.-R. Chazottes, B. Fernandez), Lecture Notes in Physics **671** (2005), pp. 115-151, Springer Verlag.

- [6] G. Keller, C. Liverani, www.mi.uni-erlangen.de/~keller/publications/cml-kl04-2.ps.gz (2004).
- [7] J.-B. Bardet, S. Gouëzel, G. Keller, *Limit theorems for coupled interval maps*, Stochastics and Dynamics **7** (2007), 17-36.
- [8] J.-B. Bardet, G. Keller, *Phase transitions in a piecewise expanding coupled map lattice with linear nearest neighbour coupling*, Nonlinearity **19** (2006), 2193-2210.
- [9] A.L. Toom, *Stable and attractive trajectories in multicomponent systems*, in: Multicomponent Random Systems (Eds.: R.L. Dobrushin, Ya.G. Sinai), Dekker, New York (1980). *As a more accessible reference see:* J.L. Lebowitz, C. Maes, E.R. Speer, *Statistical mechanics of probabilistic cellular automata*, J. Stat. Phys. **59** (1990), 117-170.