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Abstract

Let $N$ be a statistical manifold of density operators, with respect to a n.s.f. trace $\tau$ on a semifinite von Neumann algebra $M$. If $S^p$ is the unit sphere of the noncommutative space $L^p(M, \tau)$, using the noncommutative Amari embedding $\rho \in N \rightarrow \rho^{1/p} \in S^p$, we define a noncommutative $\alpha$-bundle–connection pair $(F_\alpha, \nabla_\alpha)$, by the pull-back technique. In the commutative case we show that it coincides with the construction of nonparametric Amari–Čentsov $\alpha$-connection made in [8] by Gibilisco and Pistone.

1 Introduction

Information Geometry is the theory of statistical manifolds, that is of manifolds whose points $\rho$ can be identified with density functions with respect to a certain measure $\mu$. The classical references for the theory can be found in the books [1, 2, 4, 15, 19].

The noncommutative version of the theory has been developed by some authors. For example noncommutative versions of Amari-Čentsov $\alpha$-connections have been proposed in the literature [10, 11, 12, 13, 20, 21].

Recently a nonparametric version of the commutative theory has been proposed (see [8, 24, 25]). One of the most important results obtained in [8] is that the $\alpha$-connections can be defined for $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$ also in the nonparametric infinite-dimensional case. More precisely one shows that the right definition is
that of \( \alpha \)-bundle–connection pair \((\mathcal{F}^\alpha, \nabla^\alpha)\): this means that, generally speaking, the \( \alpha \)-connection is not defined on the tangent space of the statistical manifold \( N \) but on a suitable vector bundle \( \mathcal{F}^\alpha \to N \). For \( \alpha \in (-1, 1) \), and \( p := \frac{2}{1-\alpha} \), the pair \((\mathcal{F}^\alpha, \nabla^\alpha)\) is simply (isomorphic to) the pull-back of the Amari embedding \( \rho \to \rho^p \in S^p \), where \( S^p \) is the unit sphere of the (commutative) \( L^p \) space equipped with the natural connection that \( S^p \) has as a submanifold of \( L^p \).

One of the merits of this approach (besides the nonparametric feature) is that it shows that the notion of duality introduced by Amari is exactly the \( L^p \)-space duality (or Orlicz space duality, if one has to deal with exponential and mixture connections).

The purpose of this paper is twofold. On the one side we show that the construction of the \( \alpha \)-connection, for \( \alpha \in (-1, 1) \), made in [8] is based on the fact that the commutative \( L^p \) space are uniformly convex with dual space uniformly convex. On the other side, when the construction of [8] is seen at this abstract level, it is natural to conjecture that a similar construction can be made for statistical manifolds of density operators. Indeed this is the case: we show that the \( \alpha \)-bundle–connection pair can be defined also on an arbitrary statistical manifold of density operators. One should note that also in the noncommutative case our approach is fully general and nonparametric: this means that we do not have to restrict to the matrix case but we can deal with manifolds of density operators respect to a normal, semifinite, faithful trace \( \tau \) on a semifinite von Neumann algebra \( M \).

In a subsequent paper we will compare our approach to noncommutative \( \alpha \)-connections with the other ones appearing in the literature.

## 2 Uniformly convex Banach spaces

In this section we review, for the reader’s convenience, some results on the geometry of uniformly convex Banach spaces, needed in the sequel. In the first part of this section we consider real Banach spaces. \( \bar{X} \) will denote the dual space of \( X \) and \( S^X \) the unit sphere of \( X \). If \( L \in \bar{X} \) and \( x \in X \) we will write \( (L, x) = L(x) \).

**Definition 2.1.** We say that \( x \) is orthogonal to \( y \), and denote it by \( x \perp y \), if \( \|x\| \leq \|x + \lambda y\| \), for any \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \). Moreover, if \( A \subset X \), \( x \perp A \) means \( x \perp y \), for any \( y \in A \).

**Definition 2.2.** The duality mapping \( J : X \to \mathcal{P}(\bar{X}) \) is defined by

\[
J(x) := \{v \in \bar{X} : (v, x) = \|x\|^2 = \|v\|^2\}.
\]

By the Hahn-Banach theorem \( J(x) \neq \emptyset \), for any \( x \in X \). We say that \( X \) has the duality map property if \( J \) is single-valued. In this case we set \( \bar{x} := J(x) \).

**Definition 2.3.** We say that \( X \) has the projection property if for any closed convex \( M \subset X \) and any \( x \in X \) there is a unique \( m \in M \) s.t.

\[
\|x - m\| = \inf\{\|x - z\| : z \in M\} \equiv d(x, M).
\]
Proposition 2.7.
Let \( a \) submanifold, then for any \( p \) we may reduce to the case (i.e. on \( S^X \) there are no intervals).

Definition 2.4.
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Proposition 2.5.
(\([6]\), p. 25) Proposition 2.6.

Proposition 2.6.
Let \( \alpha \) be uniformly convex Banach spaces. Then

Proposition 2.7. Let \( X \) and \( \tilde{X} \) be uniformly convex Banach spaces. Then

(i) \( X \) has the projection property.
(ii) \( X \) has the duality map property.
(iii) \( X \) is strictly convex, and this implies \( J \) is single-valued.
(iv) \( X \) is uniformly smooth if for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is \( \delta > 0 \) s.t. \( x, y \in S^X \) and \( \|x + y\| > 1 - \delta \) implies \( \|x - y\| < \varepsilon \).

In this case we define \( \pi_M(x) := m \).

Remark 2.8. Now remember that if \( M \) is a Banach manifold and \( N \subset M \) is a submanifold, then for any \( p \in N \) there is a splitting of the tangent space \( T_pM = T_p^pN \oplus V \) and a projection operator \( \pi_p : T_p^pM \to T_p^pN \). Moreover if there is a connection \( \nabla \) on \( M \), one gets a connection \( \nabla' \) on the submanifold \( N \), by setting \( \nabla' := \pi \circ \nabla \).
Proposition 2.9. Let $X$, $\tilde{X}$ be uniformly convex Banach spaces. Then

(i) $S^X$ is a Banach submanifold of $X$.

(ii) $T_xS^X$, the tangent space to $S^X$ at $x \in S^X$, can be identified with $\ker(\tilde{x})$.

(iii) The projection operator $\pi_x : T_xX \rightarrow T_xS^X$ is given by $\pi_x(v) = v - \langle \tilde{x}, v \rangle x$. Using this projection, the trivial connection on $X$ induces a connection on $S^X$, that we call the natural connection on $S^X$.

Proof. (i) Since $\tilde{X}$ is uniformly convex, we have that $X$ is uniformly smooth, so that the norm is a uniformly strongly differentiable function ([16], p. 364).

(ii) The hyperplane $\{v \in X : \langle \tilde{x}, v \rangle = 1\}$ is evidently the unique supporting hyperplane of $S^X$ in $x$. Therefore $\ker(\tilde{x}) := \{v \in X : \langle \tilde{x}, v \rangle = 0\}$ can be identified with the tangent vector space $T_xS^X$.

(iii) This is simply a rewriting of Proposition 2.7 (iv) in a particular case, and so we have $\pi_x$.

Now suppose that $X$ is a complex Banach space. We denote by $X_\mathbb{R}$ the same space considered as a real Banach space. Let $L \in \tilde{X}$, then $v \in X_\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Re L(v) \in \mathbb{R}$ defines an element of $X_\mathbb{R}$. The map $L \in \tilde{X} \rightarrow \Re L \in X_\mathbb{R}$ is a bijective linear isometry ([16], p. 179, 344). We have therefore on $X$ a complex duality mapping $x \rightarrow \tilde{x}$, and the real duality mapping is given by $x \rightarrow \Re \tilde{x}$. Concerning the natural connection on $S^X$ we have that the supporting hyperplane at $x \in S^X$ is given by $\{v \in X : \Re \tilde{x}, v \rangle = 1\}$, and therefore the tangent space $T_xS^X$ is given by the real Banach space $M \equiv T_xS^X \cong \ker(\Re \tilde{x}) = \{v \in X : \Re \tilde{x}, v \rangle = 0\}$. The projection formula is $\pi_M(v) = v - \Re \tilde{x}, v \rangle x$.

3 $\alpha$-connections for commutative statistical manifolds

In this section we summarise some of the results of [8] in the light of the abstract setting of section 2. Let $(X, \mathfrak{X}, \mu)$ be a measure space. We give the following

Definition 3.1. If $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$, set $p := \frac{2}{1-\alpha}$. $L^p_{\mathbb{R}} \equiv L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \mathfrak{X}, \mu) := \{u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : u \text{ is } \mathfrak{X}\text{-measurable}, \int_X |u|^p d\mu < \infty, \text{ for } p \in [1, \infty)\}$. The unit sphere is denoted by $S^p := \{f \in L^p_{\mathbb{R}} : \|u\|_p = 1\}$. For any $\rho \in M_{\mu}$, we set $\mathcal{F}_{\rho}^p \equiv L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(\rho) := \{u \in L^p_{\mathbb{R}}((X, \mathfrak{X}, \rho\mu) : \int_X u d\rho \mu = 0\}$.

A calculation shows that the duality map is given by $u \in L^p_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \tilde{u} := \|u\|^{2-p}_{-p} \text{ sgn } u|u|^p \in L^p_{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore, if $\rho \in M_{\mu}$, we have that $\rho^{1/p} \in S^p$ and $\tilde{\rho}^{1/p} \in S^\rho$. The spaces $L^p_{\mathbb{R}}$ are uniformly convex, so the results of section 2 are applicable. For the tangent space of $S^p$ at $\rho^{1/p}$ we have $T_{\rho^{1/p}}S^p = \{u \in L^p_{\mathbb{R}} : \int u\rho^{1/p} d\mu = 0\}$. We denote by $\nabla^p$ the natural connection on $S^p$ induced by the trivial connection on $L^p_{\mathbb{R}}$. Observe that the isometric isomorphism $I^p_{\rho} : u \in L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \mathfrak{X}, \mu) \rightarrow u\rho^{-1/p} \in L^p_{\mathbb{R}}((X, \mathfrak{X}, \rho\mu)$ sets up a bijection between $L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(\rho)$ and
and $T_{p;1/p}S_p$.

Let $N \subset \mathcal{M}_p$ be a statistical model, equipped with a structure of a differential manifold. Consider the bundle-connection pair on $S_p$ given by the tangent bundle and the natural connection $(T_S^p, \nabla^p)$. Making use of the Amari embedding $A\alpha : \rho \in N \rightarrow \rho^{1/p} \in S_p$, we may construct the pull-back $((A\alpha)^*T_S^p, (A\alpha)^*\nabla^p)$ of the bundle-connection pair $(T_S^p, \nabla^p)$ to $N$. This means that the fibre over $\rho \in N$ of the pull-back bundle is given by $T^{1/p}_{\rho}S_p$. Consider now $F\alpha := \bigcup_{\rho \in N} F\alpha_{\rho}$.

Using the family of isomorphisms $I^p_{\rho, \rho} : (A\alpha)^*T_S^p \rightarrow T_S^p$ for $\rho \in N$, it is possible to identify $F\alpha$ with the pull-back bundle $((A\alpha)^*T_S^p, (A\alpha)^*\nabla^p)$. One can also transfer the pull-back connection $(A\alpha)^*\nabla^p$ using this isomorphism. We denote by $\nabla^p$ this last connection on the bundle $F\alpha$.

**Theorem 3.2.** Consider the bundle-connection pair $(F^p, \nabla^p)$, $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$, on the statistical manifold $N$. Then $\nabla^p$ coincides with the Amari-Čentsov $\alpha$-connection.

**Proof.** See [8].

Obviously one may also define a “complex” version of the $\alpha$-connections. Let $L^p \equiv L^p(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu) := \{u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : u$ is $\mathcal{X}$-measurable, $\int_X |u|^p < \infty\}$. Introduce the function

$$\text{sgn } z := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|z|} & z \in \mathbb{C}, z \neq 0 \\ 0 & z = 0. \end{cases}$$

The duality mapping in this case has the form $\tilde{u} := \|u\|_p^{2-p} \text{sgn } |u|^p \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. The tangent space is $T^p_{\mu^{1/p}}S_p = \{u \in L^p(\mu) : \text{Re} \int_X u\rho^{1/p}d\mu = 0\}$. In this case we set $L^p_0(\rho) := \{u \in L^p(X, \mathcal{X}, \rho\mu) : \text{Re} \int_X u\rho d\mu = 0\}$, with the isomorphism still given by $L^p_0(u) = u\rho^{-1/p}$. The rest of the construction applies directly and we have therefore a “complex” bundle-connection pair $(F^p, \nabla^p)$, on any statistical manifold $N \subset \mathcal{M}_p$.

## 4 Noncommutative $L^p$-spaces

We recall in this section the construction of noncommutative $L^p$-spaces on a general von Neumann algebra, following the approach by Araki and Masuda [3, 18]. Moreover we prove a result that we need in the next section. Observe that there are different approaches to noncommutative integration [5, 9, 14, 17, 30]. Let, therefore, $M$ be a von Neumann algebra, which is standardly represented on $\mathcal{H}$, that is ([28], 10.23) there is a conjugation $J : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and a selfpolar convex cone $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{H}$ s.t.

(i) the mapping $j(x) := Jx^*J$ is a $^*$-antiisomorphism $j : M \rightarrow M'$, which acts identically on the centre of $M$,

(ii) $\xi \in \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow J\xi = \xi$,
Recall that two standard representations of $M$ are unitarily equivalent ([28], 10.26), and if $\varphi$ is a (normal semifinite) faithful weight on $M$, then its GNS representation is a standard representation of $M$. Let us denote by $W(M)$ the set of normal semifinite weights on $M$, and $W_f(M)$ the subset of the faithful ones. Take a $\varphi \in W_f(M)$, and denote by $\mathfrak{M}_\varphi := \{ x \in M : \varphi(x^* x) < \infty \}$, and by $(\pi_\varphi, \mathfrak{H}_\varphi, \eta_\varphi)$ the GNS triple. Then the $L^p$-space w.r.t. $\varphi$, denoted by $L^p(M, \varphi)$, consists of the closed densely defined linear operators $T$ on $\mathfrak{H}_\varphi$ s.t.

(i) $T J \sigma_{\varphi^{-1/p}}(y) J \supset J y J T$, for any $y \in \mathfrak{M}_\varphi^\sigma := \{ y \in \mathfrak{M}_\varphi : t \in \mathbb{R} \to \sigma^\varphi_t(y) \text{ is an analytic function} \}$,

(ii) $\| T \|_{p, \varphi} := \sup_{x \in \mathfrak{M}_\varphi^\sigma, \| x \|_1} \| T \|^{p/2}_p \eta_\varphi(x) \|^{2/p} < \infty$.

For any $T \in L^p(M, \varphi)$, there is a unique normal positive linear functional $\psi \in M_{**}$, and a partial isometry $w \in M$ s.t. $w^* w = s(\psi)$, the support projection of $\psi$, and $T = w \Delta^{1/p}_\varphi$, where $\Delta_\psi$ is the relative modular operator. We have that $L^p(M, \varphi)$ is a uniformly convex Banach space, if $p \in (1, \infty)$, and $L^1(M, \varphi) \cong M_*$, $L^2(M, \varphi) \cong \mathfrak{H}$, $L^\infty(M, \varphi) \equiv M$, and $L^p(M, \varphi) \cong L^p(M, \varphi)$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$. Besides, if $\varphi_0 \in W_f(M)$ is a different nsf weight, then $L^p(M, \varphi_0)$ and $L^p(M, \varphi)$ are isometrically isomorphic, and the isomorphism is given by $I^p_{\varphi \varphi_0} : w \Delta^{1/p}_\varphi \in L^p(M, \varphi) \mapsto w \Delta^{1/p}_{\varphi_0} \in L^p(M, \varphi_0)$, $\psi \in M_{**}$, $w \in M$ a partial isometry.

We want to give an explicit formula, that we use in section 5, for this isomorphism, in the particular case when $\varphi_0$ commutes with $\varphi$, which means that there is a positive selfadjoint operator $\rho \in M^\varphi$, with $\text{supp}(\rho) = 1$, s.t. $\varphi_0 = \varphi_\rho$, where $\varphi_\rho(x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varphi(\rho^{1/2} x \rho^{1/2})$ and $\rho_\varepsilon := \rho(1 + \varepsilon \rho)^{-1} \in M^\varphi$. Then

**Proposition 4.1.** $\Delta_{\psi \varphi_\rho} = \Delta_{\psi \varphi} \rho^{-1} J$, so that $I^p_{\psi \varphi_\rho} \equiv I^p_{\psi \varphi_\rho} : T \in L^p(M, \varphi) \mapsto T \rho^{-1/p} J \in L^p(M, \varphi_\rho)$.

**Proof.** We will be using Theorem C.1 of [3] repeatedly. It follows from (loc. cit. eq. (C.5)) that $\Delta_\varphi^u \Delta_{\psi \varphi}^u = (D \varphi : D \varphi_\rho)_J s(\psi) J$, and $(D \varphi : D \varphi_\rho)_t = \rho^{-it}$, by ([27], 4.8). As $\text{supp}(\Delta_{\psi \varphi}) = \text{supp}(\Delta_{\psi \varphi_\rho}) = J s(\psi) J$, we get $\Delta_{\psi \varphi}^u = \Delta_{\psi \varphi_\rho}^u \rho^{-iu}$. Observe that $\rho$ and $\Delta_{\psi \varphi}$ commute, as $\Delta_\psi^u \rho^s \Delta_{\psi \varphi}^{it} = \sigma^\psi_t(\rho^s) J s(\psi) J = \rho^s J s(\psi) J \Delta_{\psi \varphi}^{it} = \rho^s \Delta_{\psi \varphi}^{it}$. Therefore $\Delta_{\psi \varphi}^{-1} = \Delta_{\psi \varphi_\rho}^{-1}$. Now from (loc. cit. eq. (B.5)) it follows that $\Delta_{\psi \varphi}^{-1} = J_{\varphi \psi} \Delta_{\varphi \psi} J_{\varphi \psi}^{*-1}$, and analogously with $\varphi_\rho$ in place of $\varphi$. As from (loc. cit. eq. (C.12)) $J_{\varphi \psi} = J_{\varphi_\rho \psi} = s(\psi) J$, we get

$$
\Delta_{\psi \varphi_\rho}^{-1} = J_{\varphi \psi} \Delta_{\varphi \psi} J_{\varphi \psi}^{*-1} = s(\psi) J \Delta_{\varphi \psi} J \rho J = J_{\varphi \psi} \Delta_{\varphi \psi} J_{\varphi \psi}^{*-1} J \rho J
$$

and the thesis follows.
Example 4.2. If $M$ is a semifinite von Neumann algebra and $\varphi = \tau$ is a nsf trace on $M$, then any $\varphi_0$ commutes with $\tau$, and $L^p(M, \tau)$ coincides with the $L^p$-space defined in [7, 23, 26]. Besides $\varphi_0 \equiv \tau_\rho \in M_+$ if $\rho \in L^1(M, \tau)_+$ ([18], 7.1). Moreover for any $\psi = \tau_\rho \in M_+$, with $T \in L^1(M, \tau)_+$, then $\Delta_\psi T = T$. Indeed $\Delta_{\psi T} T = (D\psi : D\tau) T = (D\tau : D\psi) T = T^\dagger$. Therefore, if $\varphi_0 = \tau_\rho \in M_+$, then the isometric isomorphism is given by the map $I_p^\rho : u \in L^p(M, \tau) \rightarrow uJ_\rho^{-1/p}J \in L^p(M, \tau_\rho)$.

Example 4.3. Let us assume now that $M = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a type I factor, and $\tau$ is the ordinary trace. Then $L^p(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \tau)$ is the von Neumann–Schatten class $L^p(\mathcal{H})$. Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi, \eta)$ be the GNS triple of $\tau$, $\mathcal{H} \equiv L^2(\mathcal{H})$, $J_\pi \eta_\tau(x) = \eta_\tau(x^*)$, for any $x \in \mathcal{H}_\tau \equiv L^2(\mathcal{H})$. We want to express the modular operators relative to a normal positive linear functional $\psi \in M_+$. Recall that $\psi = \tau_\rho$, with $\sigma \in L^1(\mathcal{H})_+ \subset M$. Then its GNS representation is $(\mathcal{H}_\rho, \pi_\rho, \eta_\rho)$, where $\mathcal{H}_\rho := \mathcal{H}_\tau$, $\pi_\rho(x) = \pi(x\sigma^{1/2}) = J_\pi \sigma^{1/2} J_\pi \eta_\tau(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{H}_\rho \equiv \{ x \in M : \tau(x^* x) < \infty \}$, $J_\rho \eta_\rho = J_\rho \text{supp}(\sigma)$, and, if $\varphi = \tau_\rho \in M_+$ is a normal faithful positive linear functional, then $\Delta_{\tau_\rho \tau_\rho} = \sigma J_\rho \rho^{-1/p} J_\rho$, as

\[
\Delta_{\tau_\rho \tau_\rho} \eta_\rho(x) = J_\rho \eta_\rho(x^*) = J_\rho \eta_\rho(x^{1/2} \sigma^{1/2}) = \sigma^{1/2} J_\rho \eta_\rho(x) = \sigma^{1/2} J_\rho \rho^{-1/2} J_\rho \eta_\rho(x).
\]

Therefore the proof of the above proposition simplifies considerably.

Example 4.4. In case $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^n$ is finite dimensional, that is $M$ is the full matrix algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices, and $\tau$ is the ordinary normalised trace, the Hilbert space of the GNS representation is given by $\mathcal{H}_\tau \equiv \mathbb{C}^{n^2}$, with orthonormal basis $\{ e_{ij} \}$, whereas $M$ (which is generated by the matrix units $\{ u_{kk} \}$) acts on $\mathcal{H}_\tau$ as $\pi_\tau(u_{kk}) e_{ij} = \delta_{ij} e_{hh}$, and the cyclic vector is $\xi_\tau = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{ii}$. Then $J_\tau$ is given by the antilinear extension of the map $e_{hh} \rightarrow e_{hh}$. The $L^p$-spaces are given by $L^p(M, \tau) = L^p(M)$ with the $L^p$-norm, whereas, for $\varphi = \tau_\rho \in M_+$ a faithful (normal) positive linear functional, $L^p(M, \tau_\rho) = \{ \pi_\tau(X) J_\rho \rho^{-1/p} J_\rho \cdot X \in M \}$.

5 $\alpha$-connections for statistical manifolds of density operators

This section contains the main result of the paper.

Definition 5.1. ([18], Theorem 1) On $L^1(M, \varphi)$ one defines an integral as

\[
\int T d\varphi := \lim_{y \rightarrow 1} (\eta_\varphi(y), T \eta_\varphi(y)),
\]

where the limit is taken in the $\tau^*$-strong operator topology of the unit ball of $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$. Observe that, if $\varphi \in M_+$, the previous formula simplifies in $\int T d\varphi = \langle |T|^{1/2} w^* \xi_\varphi, |T|^{1/2} \xi_\varphi \rangle$, where $T = w |T|$ is the polar decomposition, and $\xi_\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ is the GNS vector of $\varphi$. 

be a statistical manifold, and define the Amari map \( \rho \leftrightarrow \tau \) with the set of normal faithful states of \( \tau \).

Introduce the set \( \tau \). Let \( M \) be a semifinite von Neumann algebra, \( \tau \) a nsf trace on \( M \), and \( \tau_\rho \in M_+ \), as in example 4.2.

**Definition 5.3.** Introduce the set \( \mathfrak{M}_\tau := \{ \rho \in L^1(M, \tau) : \text{supp}(\rho) = 1, \|\rho\|_1 = \tau(\rho) = 1 \} \), which, by the Pedersen-Takesaki theorem ([27], 4.10), is in bijective correspondence with the set of normal faithful states of \( M \).

**Definition 5.4.** We call any \( N \subset \mathfrak{M}_\tau \) a statistical model, whereas we call statistical manifold any statistical model which is also a Banach manifold. Let \( N \) be a statistical manifold, and define the Amari map \( A^\alpha : \rho \in N \rightarrow \rho^{1/p} \in S^p \), where \( p = \frac{2}{1+\alpha} \) and \( \alpha \in (-1,1) \). Define \( \mathcal{F}^\alpha := \cup_\rho \in N \mathcal{F}_\rho^\alpha \), where \( \mathcal{F}_\rho^\alpha := \{ v \in L^p(M, \tau_\rho) : \text{Re} \int v d\tau_\rho = 0 \} \).

**Theorem 5.5.** Let \( N \) be a statistical manifold of density operators w.r.t. \( (M, \tau) \), and the duality between \( L^p(M, \tau) \) and \( L^\beta(M, \varphi) \) given by \( (T, S) = \int T^* S d\varphi \).

As we have seen, the noncommutative \( \mathcal{H}_\tau \) is isomorphic to the nonparametric Amari-Centsov \( \alpha \)-bundle-connection pair \((\mathcal{F}^\alpha), (\nabla^\alpha)\). If \( M \) is commutative, this construction reduces to the construction of the nonparametric Amari-Centsov \( \alpha \)-bundle-connection pair \((\mathcal{F}^\alpha), (\nabla^\alpha)\) of Theorem 3.2.

**Proof.** Denote by \( S^p := \{ T \in L^p(M, \tau) : \|T\|_p = 1 \} \) the unit sphere of \( L^p(M, \tau) \). As we have seen, the noncommutative \( L^p \)-spaces are uniformly convex, with uniformly convex duals, if \( p \in (1, \infty) \), so that the results of Section 2 apply. As \( S^p \) is a Banach manifold of \( L^p(M, \tau) \), there is a splitting of the tangent space \( T_x L^p = T_x S^p \oplus V \), as in Remark 2.8, and a continuous projection \( \pi_x : T_x L^p \rightarrow T_x S^p \). Using \( \pi \) we define the natural connection \( \nabla^p \) on \( S^p \) by the formula \( \nabla^p := \pi \circ \nabla \), where \( \nabla \) is the trivial connection on \( L^p(M, \tau) \) (see Proposition 2.9).

Using the Amari map, we can pull the natural connection on \( S^p \) back to \( N \), and obtain a bundle-connection pair \((A^\alpha)^* T S^p, (A^\alpha)^* \nabla^p \). The fibre of \((A^\alpha)^* T S^p \) at \( \rho \in N \) is isomorphic to \( T_{\rho^{1/p}} S^p \). We have in general that the duality mapping in \( L^p(M, \tau) \) is given by \( T = w T | T \in L^p(M, \tau) \rightarrow \tilde{T} := \|T\|_p^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \tau(|T|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \in L^\beta(M, \tau) \). Indeed \( \|\tilde{T}\|_p = \|T\|_p^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \tau(|T|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|T\|_p \), and \( \tau(\tilde{T}^* T) = \|T\|_p^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \tau(T | T^* w^* w | T) = \|T\|_p^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \tau(|T|^p) = \|T\|_p^2 \). Therefore \( \rho^{1/p} = \rho^{1/p} \) and \( T_{\rho^{1/p}} S^p = \{ u \in L^p(M, \tau) : \text{Re} \int u \rho^{1/p} d\tau = 0 \} \). Now we need the following

**Lemma 5.6.** The isometric isomorphism \( I^p_\rho(u) = u J \rho^{-1/p} J \) of section 4 sets up a bijective correspondence between \( \{ u \in L^p(M, \tau) : \text{Re} \int u \rho^{1/p} d\tau = 0 \} \) and \( \{ v \in L^p(M, \tau_\rho) : \text{Re} \int v d\tau_\rho = 0 \} \).

**Proof.** Observe that the thesis follows from the formula \( \int v d\tau_\rho = \int u \rho^{1/p} d\tau_\rho \), if \( v = u J \rho^{-1/p} J \), that is what we are going to prove. On identifying \( \mathfrak{H}_\tau \) with
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$L^2(M, \tau)$, we get $\xi_\tau = \rho^{1/2}$, and $J \rho^{-1/2p} J J \xi_\tau = J \rho^{-1/2p} J \rho^{1/2} = \rho^{1/2\bar{p}}$. Indeed $\rho^{-1/2p}$ is $\tau$-measurable, and $J$ becomes the $*$-operation on $L^2$. Therefore

$$\int v d\tau_{\rho} = (|u|^{1/2} w^* J \rho^{-1/2p} J \xi_\tau, |u|^{1/2} J \rho^{-1/2p} J \xi_\tau)$$

$$= (|u|^{1/2} w^* \rho^{1/2\bar{p}}, |u|^{1/2} \rho^{1/2\bar{p}})$$

$$= \tau(\rho^{1/2\bar{p}} u \rho^{1/2\bar{p}}) = \tau(u \rho^{1/\bar{p}}) = \int u \rho^{1/\bar{p}} d\tau.$$

Using the previous Lemma, the fibre of $(A^p)^* T S^p$ at $\rho \in N$ is isomorphic to $F_\alpha^\rho := \{v \in L^p(M, \tau_\rho) : \text{Re} \int v d\tau_{\rho} = 0\}$. Using this isomorphism we may transfer the pull-back connection $(A^\alpha)^* \nabla^p$ on the bundle $F_\alpha$ to get a bundle-connection pair $(F_\alpha, \nabla^\alpha)$ over $N$, for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

If $M$ is commutative, then, by e.g. [29], there is a measure space $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ s.t. $L^p(M, \tau) \cong L^p(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$, for $p \in [1, \infty]$, and $\tau(T) \equiv \int T d\tau = \int_X T(x) d\mu(x)$, for $T \in L^1(M, \tau)$. Therefore the previous construction reduces to that of Theorem 3.2, and this concludes the proof of Theorem 5.5.

\[ \square \]
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