Local Connection Game

Motivations



often built and maintained by self-interested agents



Introduction

- Introduced in [FLMPS'03]
- A LCG is a game that models the creation of networks
- two competing issues: players want
 - to minimize the cost they incur in building the network
 - to ensure that the network provides them with a high quality of service
- Players are nodes that:
 - pay for the links
 - benefit from short paths

[FLMPS'03]:

A. Fabrikant, A. Luthra, E. Maneva, C.H. Papadimitriou, S. Shenker, On a network creation game, PODC'03

The model

- n players: nodes in a graph to be built
- Strategy for player u: a set of undirected edges that u will build (all incident to u)
- Given a strategy vector S, the constructed network will be G(S)
 - there is the undirected edge (u,v) if it is bought by u or v (or both)
- player u's goal:
 - to make the distance to other nodes small
 - to pay as little as possible

The model

- Each edge costs α
- dist_{G(S)}(u,v): length of a shortest path (in terms of number of edges) between u and v
- Player u aims to minimize its cost:

$$cost_u(S) = \alpha n_u + \sum_v dist_{G(S)}(u,v)$$

n_u: number of edges bought by node u

Remind

- We use Nash equilibrium (NE) as the solution concept
- To evaluate the overall quality of a network, we consider the social cost, i.e. the sum of all players' costs
- a network is optimal or socially efficient if it minimizes the social cost
- A graph G=(V,E) is stable (for a value α) if there exists a strategy vector S such that:
 - S is a NE
 - S forms G





(Convention: arrow from the node buying the link)



• Set $\alpha = 5$, and consider:



That's a stable network!

Some simple observations

- In SC(S) each term dist_{G(S)}(u,v) contributes to the overall quality twice
- In a stable network each edge (u,v) is bough at most by one player
- Any stable network must be connected
 - Since the distance dist(u,v) is infinite whenever u and v are not connected

Social cost of a (stable) network G(S)=(V,E): $SC(S)=\alpha|E| + \Sigma_{u,v}dist_{G(S)}(u,v)$

Our goal

- to bound the efficiency loss resulting from stability
- In particular:
 - To bound the Price of Stability (PoS)
 - To bound the Price of Anarchy (PoA)

How does an optimal network look like?

Some notation

K_n: complete graph with n nodes





A star is a tree with height at most 1 (when rooted at its center)

Lemma

Il $\alpha \le 2$ then the complete graph is an optimal solution, while if $\alpha \ge 2$ then any star is an optimal solution.

proof Let G=(V,E) be a network with |E|=m edges

$$SC(G) \ge \alpha m + 2m + 2(n(n-1) - 2m) = (\alpha - 2)m + 2n(n - 1)$$

LB(m)

Notice: LB(m) is equal to $SC(K_n)$ when m=n(n-1)/2 and to SC of any star when m=n-1

proof

G=(V,E): optimal solution; SC(G)=OPT

 $LB(m)=(\alpha-2)m + 2n(n-1)$



Are the complete graph and stars stable?

Lemma

If $\alpha \le 1$ the complete graph is stable, while if $\alpha \ge 1$ then any star is stable.

proof

α≤1

a node v cannot improve by saving k edges

α≥1

c has no interest to deviate

v buys k more edges...

...pays αk more... ...saves (w.r.t distances) k...





Theorem

For $\alpha \leq 1$ and $\alpha \geq 2$ the PoS is 1. For $1 < \alpha < 2$ the PoS is at most 4/3

proof

 $\alpha \leq 1$ and $\alpha \geq 2$...trivial!

 $1 < \alpha < 2$... K_n is an optimal solution, any star T is stable...

maximized when $\alpha \rightarrow 1$

$$PoS \leq \frac{SC(T)}{SC(K_n)} = \frac{(\alpha - 2)(n - 1) + 2n(n - 1)}{\alpha n(n - 1)/2 + n(n - 1)} \leq \frac{-1(n - 1) + 2n(n - 1)}{n(n - 1)/2 + n(n - 1)}$$

$$=\frac{2n-1}{(3/2)n}=\frac{4n-2}{3n} < 4/3$$

What about price of Anarchy?

...for α<1 the complete graph is the only stable network, (try to prove that formally) hence PoA=1...

...for larger value of α ?

Some more notation

The diameter of a graph G is the maximum distance between any two nodes





Some more notation

An edge e is a cut edge of a graph G=(V,E) if G-e is disconnected

 $G-e=(V,E \setminus \{e\})$

A simple property: Any graph has at most n-1 cut edges







proof of Lemma 1

G: stable network

Consider a shortest path in G between two nodes u and v



Lemma 2

The SC of any stable network G=(V,E) with diameter d is at most O(d) times the optimum SC.

idea of the proof (we'll formally prove it later)

 $OPT \ge \alpha (n-1) + n(n-1) \implies OPT \ge \alpha (n-1)$ $OPT \ge \alpha (n-1)$ $OPT = \Omega(n^2)$



Let G be a network with diameter d, and let e=(u,v) be a non-cut edge. Then in G-e, every node w increases its distance from u by at most 2d

proof



Let G be a network with diameter d, and let e=(u,v) be a non-cut edge. Then in G-e, every node w increases its distance from u by at most 2d

proof

(x,y): any edge crossing the cut induced by the removal of e

Let G be a network with diameter d, and let e=(u,v) be a non-cut edge. Then in G-e, every node w increases its distance from u by at most 2d

proof

(x,y): any edge crossing the cut induced by the removal of e

Let G be a stable network, and let F be the set of Non-cut edges paid for by a node u. Then $|F| \le (n-1)2d/\alpha$

by summing up for all i

$$k \alpha \leq 2d \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i \leq 2d (n-1)$$

 $k \leq$ (n-1) 2d/ α

k=|F|

if u removes (u,v_i) saves α and its distance cost increses by at most 2d n_i (Prop. 1) since G is stable:

 $\alpha \leq 2d n_i$

Lemma 2

The SC of any stable network G=(V,E) with diameter d is at most O(d) times the optimum SC.

proof

OPT ≥ α (n-1) + n(n-1)

$$SC(G) = \sum_{u,v} d_G(u,v) + \alpha |E| \le d \text{ OPT} + 2d \text{ OPT} = 3d \text{ OPT}$$
$$\le dn(n-1) \le d \text{ OPT}$$

$$\alpha |\mathsf{E}| = \alpha |\mathsf{E}_{cut}| + \alpha |\mathsf{E}_{non-cut}| \leq \alpha(n-1) + n(n-1)2d \leq 2d \text{ OPT}$$

$$\leq (n-1) \qquad \leq n(n-1)2d/\alpha$$
Prop. 2

Theorem

It is NP-hard, given the strategies of the other agents, to compute the best response of a given player.

proof

Reduction from dominating set problem

Dominating Set (DS) problem

- Input:
 - a graph G=(V,E)
- Solution:
 - U \subseteq V, such that for every v \in V-U, there is u \in U with (u,v) \in E

Measure:

Cardinality of U

the reduction

1<α<2

Player i has a strategy yielding a cost $\leq \alpha k+2n-k$ if and only if there is a DS of size $\leq k$

(<=) easy: given a dominating set U of size k, player i buys edges incident to the nodes in U

Cost for i is
$$\alpha$$
k+2(n-k)+k = α k+2n-k

if there is a node v with distance ≥ 3 from x in G(S), then add edge (x,v) to S_i (this decreases the cost)

 \dots U is a dominating set of the original graph G

We have $cost_i(S) = \alpha |U| + 2n - |U| \le \alpha k + 2n - k$

$|U| \leq k$

D. Bilò, P. Lenzner, On the Tree Conjecture for the Network Creation Game, STACS'18

Open: is POA always constant?