Alain Albouy (Observatoire de Paris, CNRS)
CELMEC III
Dedicated to the memory of
Ángel Jorba, Ken Meyer and Christian Marchal

Abstract. The planar homothetic oscillator may be mapped onto the Kepler problem in such a way that the centered ellipses are sent onto Keplerian ellipses. The body makes two loops on the Keplerian orbit with a non-Keplerian law of time. This map has many surprising features.

At the end of the 18th century, a vaguely stated conjecture emerged from this example and from Appell's projective dynamics (see [1]). Thomas [2] published a proof in 1946. His conclusion is stated in a technical way. I will reformulate and prove the conjecture in the following form:

Theorem. If a system has "natural" mechanical system onto another natural mechanical system where the time is changed from t to τ then, for all τ, a function of the position vector, multiplied or divided by the square root of a quadratic first integral.

The "natural" class includes the systems with a quadratic kinetic energy and a potential. It is wider than the one chosen by Thomas, and gives a simpler result.

[1] Painlevé P., Sur les transformations des equations de la dynamique, Comptes Rendus, 1896, vol. 123, pp. 392-395.

[2] Thomas, T.Y. On the Transformation of the Equations of Dynamics, Journal of math. and stats, 1946, vol. 25, pp. 191-208.

Note on my abstract. Indeed pages 1 to 9 of Thomas' paper give the proof of the generalization I am presenting here. My proof is shorter, but it is essentially the same. The main point of my talk is to give the statement of this generalization (which Thomas never found, and to observe that it fits with many classical examples.

The connection-force systems as generalized natural systems. The ingredients are a linear torsion free connection ∇ on the configuration space and a force field f (which depends on the position only). If the Γ^k_{ij} are the Christoffel symbols of the connection, if the components of the position q of the coordinates of the force field f, the system of differential equations is

$$\ddot{q}^k + \sum_{i,j} \Gamma^k_{ij} \dot{q}^i \dot{q}^j = f^k$$

which we write with a traditional abuse

$$\nabla_{\dot{q}} \dot{q} = f(q).$$

We call the pre-trajectories the solutions considered as the "body" q in the configuration space.

Natural mechanical systems-force systems define the same pre-trajectories in the same configuration space, if moreover the quadratic transport of each connection is volume preserving, then the change of time from t to τ is defined by a $\mathrm{d}x/\mathrm{d}t$ which is a function of the position only, or is a function of the position multiplied by the square root of a quadratic first integral of the second system.

Remarks. The conjecture adds the square Thomas' theorem to about the case where each parallel transport preserve a Riemannian form. It is a dangerous hypothesis. I have found the conjecture strange since the chosen equation uses a Riemannian structure on the left hand side and not on the right hand side (the force is not derived from a potential).

Example. The 2d linear oscillator and Kepler. Following Félix Cerca, this map is used as a regularization of the binary collisions in the planar n-body problem.

Hooke algebra	Newton algebra
$\mathbb{C}$	$\mathbb{C}$
$\mathbb{C}\{\varepsilon,\varepsilon^{-1}\}$	$\mathbb{C}\{\varepsilon,\varepsilon^{-1}\}$
$\mathbb{C}\{\varepsilon,\varepsilon^{-1}\}$	$\mathbb{C}\{\varepsilon,\varepsilon^{-1}\}$

Complex map $x \mapsto x^2$

Let me choose a 2D-linear oscillator with period 4π. The image of the 2D-linear oscillator is a natural system. It orbits are the Keplerian orbits with a change of time. The new time is the eccentric anomaly u. All the orbits have period 2π.

The Kepler map $(x, y) \mapsto (X = x^2 - y^2, Y = 2xy)$ is a conformal factor depending on position, while keeping the metric (1). Then, the pre-geodesics are unchanged. The idea is very simple. Write

$$(1 + \frac{1}{2}(Q)\mathrm{d}Q.$$

The second Lagrangian equation is to be the image of the 2D linear oscillator by the $x \to x^2$ map (for some choice of the coefficients).

Proof by the Jacobi-Maupertuis metric (Darboux).

Let $(\mathcal{M}, L_0, \mathrm{g})$ be a natural mechanical system. The energy is $H = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{g}(\dot{q}, \dot{q}) - U$. The unparametrized trajectories of energy $H = h$ are pre-geodesics of the metric

$$(U + h)\mathrm{g}. \tag{1}$$

We can change g into $\lambda\mathrm{g}$, where λ is a conformal factor depending on position, while keeping the metric (1). Then, the pre-geodesics are unchanged. The idea is very simple. Write

$$(1 + \frac{1}{2}(Q)\mathrm{d}Q. \tag{2}$$

So, the pre-trajectories are the same as in the natural mechanical system $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda\mathrm{g}, \lambda U)$ with energy λh. We can divide by a constant factor, and write

$$(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}(Q)\mathrm{d}Q. \tag{3}$$

So the system is now $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda\mathrm{g}, -1/U)$, the energy value is $-1/h$. QED.

Nersesian and Pogosyan found in 2001 elegant examples of Darboux inversions which are not flat. With my co-author Ed Kholshevnikov, we found the Darboux inverses of the curved Kepler problem. We found that they give all the analytic surfaces of revolution endowed with a rotationally invariant metric conformal with an open set filled up with periodic orbits. The same class has an interesting property: a "Lambert" like theorem.

[3] Albouy, A., Zhao, L., *Darboux inversions of the Kepler problem*, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, 2021, vol. 26, pp. 1-9.

[4] Nersesian, A., Pogosyan, G., *On the Relation of the Oscillator and Coulomb Systems on (Pseudo)Spheres*, Phys. Rev. A, 2001, vol. 63, 020103, 4 pp.

A forgotten theory. The theory of the "Transformation of the equations of dynamics" was introduced by Painlevé in 1896 and Thomas in 1946. The subject is obviously important: to classify the transformations that send a "natural" mechanical system onto another one, the changes of time being allowed. But this theory was soon misunderstood. Whittaker in his Treatise presents it as an exercise, of which he gives the solution... which is obviously wrong. The theory was then completely forgotten. I will explain the reasons for this bad reception are:

- A hesitation about the hypothesis: "natural" forces are they derived from a potential?
- A too complicated conclusion, unrelated with the classical examples, which are not even recalled.
- The lack of examples.

We improve the situation by discussing a 3rd hypothesis, by relating the conclusion to the examples and by giving many examples.

[5] Whittaker, E. T. *A Treatise on the Analytical Dynamics of Particles and Rigid Bodies*, Cambridge, 1937, p. 261.

First class of examples

The first example is this pair: *Kepler problem in the plane and Kepler problem on the sphere.* They are related by Appell's central projection, which sends orbits onto orbits with a change of time depending on position only.

Charles Graves (1812-1899) and Wilhelm Killing (1847-1923) discovered impressive analogies between the Kepler problem in constant curvature spaces and the usual Kepler problem.

The velocity of the image is the initial velocity such that the motion curve will be described. It is essentially unique, except for a zero force field (geodesic flow).

The question

Is the converse true for all *natural mechanical systems* which consist in triples $(\mathcal{M}, \mathrm{g}, U)$ where: the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is the configuration space, the Riemannian metric g defines the kinetic energy, the *force function* U defines the force field, which is the opposite of the gradient with respect to the metric g. The equivalent result is as follows:

If two natural mechanical systems correspond with each other up to change of time, i.e if there is a map (at least locally) such that all the trajectories of one are sent onto trajectories of the other after a change of time parameter, then we are in one of both classes.

Of course, we will have to discuss the case where the map should insist on one main distinction between them: in the first class, the change of time depends on the position only, in the second class, it depends on the *position and on a first integral*, the energy, which is constant along the motion. It is surprising to notice that the effect of this distinction when the velocity goes to infinity.

Appell's central projection.

Appell's fundamental result is not restricted to the framework of natural mechanical systems. The classic is: system defined on a configuration space by a force field and a connection ∇, which defines the second derivative of the position q. The equation is **torsion-free linear connections on the tangent space** of the configuration space. We say the map sends a force field (depending only on position) and such a connection define a **connection-force system**. It includes all the natural mechanical systems. It is written

$$\nabla_{\dot{q}} \dot{q} = f(q).$$

Examples of pairs of natural mechanical systems related by Appell's projection.

- Kepler on the plane and on the sphere
- Central force on the plane and on the sphere
- Two fixed centers problem on the plane and on the sphere
- Lagrange's extension and Kolosov's extension of the previous
- Neumann system and Braden system on the n-plex sphere

All the examples are integrable and indeed the correspondence induces a quasi-N-Hamiltonian structure by exchanging the Hamiltonian structures of both natural mechanical systems.

Second class by successive extensions

- $x \mapsto x^2$ sending Hooke onto Kepler (Maciejewski 1742)
- $x \mapsto x^2$, a general conformal map onto the dual one (Nersesian)
- $x \mapsto f(x)$, general conformal map in the plane (Goursat 1889)
- Kepler $(\mathcal{M}, U)$, change g into $\lambda\mathrm{g}$, U into $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ (Bertrand 1889)
- The same **out of** the hypothesis of natural mechanical systems

Suppose that a **connection-force system** $(\mathcal{M}, \nabla, f)$, with connection $\nabla_{\dot{q}}\dot{q} = f(q)$, has a quadratic first integral, which is a quantity

$$\mathrm{G} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{A}\,\dot{q} \otimes \dot{q}) - W,$$

where k is a scalar product (field of quadratic forms) and W a function of q. We have

$$\dot{\mathrm{G}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{A}'\,\dot{q} \otimes \dot{q}) + \langle \mathrm{A}, f \otimes \dot{q} \rangle - \langle \mathrm{d}W, \dot{q} \rangle = 0$$

The natural case is when $\nabla k = 0$ and $f = -\mathrm{g}\mathrm{d}_q W$. Then G is the energy and W is the potential. But we begin our considerations with the general case.

Second class: the final extension

So, the equation is $\nabla_{\dot{q}}\dot{q} = f(q)$ and the quadratic first integral is

$$\mathrm{G} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{A}\,\dot{q} \otimes \dot{q}) - W.$$

Suppose the conservation of constant in G is such that the domain we will study is defined by $\mathrm{G} \neq 0$. Consider the change of velocity vector $\dot{q} = \sqrt{-2\mathrm{G}}\,\dot{p}$. Then G is conservation

$$\mathrm{G} = \mathrm{G}(\dot{\mathrm{A}}, \dot{q}, q) = -W \quad \text{or} \quad \mathrm{G}(\dot{\mathrm{A}} = \langle \mathrm{A}, \dot{q}' \otimes \dot{q}' \rangle = -W$$

or

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{A}\,\frac{\dot{q}}{\sqrt{-2\mathrm{G}}} \otimes \frac{\dot{q}}{\sqrt{-2\mathrm{G}}}) = W$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{A}\,\dot{p} \otimes \dot{p}) = W$$

This is again a connection-force problem with a quadratic first integral.

There are only these two classes

Suppose that systems $\nabla_{\dot{q}}\dot{q} = f(q)$ and $\Omega_{\dot{q}}\dot{\mathbf{u}} = \phi(\mathbf{q})$ have the same pre-trajectories.

As did Thomas, we exclude the points where $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{q}) = 0$ or $\phi(\mathbf{q}) = 0$. Thomas also observes that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{q}) = 0$ implies $\phi(\mathrm{q}) = 0$. Then there is a smooth function $\alpha(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{v}) > 0$ such that $\mathbf{u} = \alpha\mathrm{v}$, where $\mathbf{u}$ is the unique velocity vector at the same pre-trajectory as v with the same orientation of motion (indeed, due to the reversibility of both systems, we can choose the orientation.)

Now, notice that $\mathbf{u} = 0$ means that the moving body $\mathbf{q}$ is stationary. It goes to a point $\mathbf{a}$ and then goes back on its path. At $\mathbf{a}$, the trajectory gives in the direction of the force vector. This direction should be the same for the two systems, so proportional: there is a function $\beta(\mathbf{q}) > 0$ such that $\nabla_{\dot{q}}\dot{q} = \beta(\mathbf{q})\mathrm{f}(\mathbf{q})$. It is quite intuitive from the dynamics that $\beta = \alpha(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{0})^2$. We will now deduce this from a computation.

Write $\Omega_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v} = \nabla_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v} - \mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v}$ as a tensor field

$$\phi = \nabla_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{u} = \alpha^2(\nabla_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v} + \mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v}) = \alpha(\alpha\mathrm{v} + \alpha^2\nabla_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v} + \mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v}$$

Here $\dot{\alpha}$ is the derivative of α along the flow of the first system. So

$$\mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v} = \frac{\phi}{\alpha^2} - \frac{\dot{\alpha}}{\alpha}\mathbf{v}$$

or

$$\mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v} = (\frac{\phi}{\alpha^2} - \frac{\dot{\alpha}}{\alpha})\mathbf{v}. \tag{*}$$

The quantities α and β are nonzero and smooth. But this formula gives rational expressions with denominator which may vanish somewhere. So, the denominator should be in factor of the numerator.

Let us see these rational expressions. Consider each coordinate of

$$\kappa \wedge \mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2}(\kappa \wedge \dot{\mathrm{f}}.$$

This gives many expressions of $\beta(\kappa)^2 - 1$ which are rational in $\mathbf{v}$ with homogeneous cubic numerator and linear denominator. So

$$\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} - 1 = k(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v})$$

is a quadratic form in $\mathbf{v}$. In particular, at $\mathbf{v} = 0$, this is zero and so $\alpha(\mathbf{q}, 0) = \beta(\mathbf{q})^2$. Here the important point is that α and β are identically zero. The other coefficient $\dot{\alpha}/\alpha$ should be linear. We get the simple and strong result:

$$\frac{1}{\alpha(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v})^2} = \frac{k(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v})}{\beta(\mathbf{q})^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\dot{\alpha}}{\alpha} \text{ is a linear form in } \mathbf{v}.$$

We have these two striking conclusions, but we want to obtain a stronger result. We want to prove that there exist an "integrating factor" $\gamma(\mathbf{q})$, that is, a function such that $\mathrm{d}(\alpha\beta\gamma)(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v})$ is a first integral of the first system. This would give

$$\frac{\alpha(\dot{\mathbf{q}}, \mathbf{v})}{\alpha(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v})} - \frac{\beta(\dot{\mathbf{q}})}{\beta(\mathbf{q})} = 0.$$

So, we have to deduce that $\dot{\alpha}/\alpha$ is an exact linear form. This sudden condition (to be a linear form in $\mathbf{v}$ and to be exact) does not change if we change $\alpha(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v})$ into $\lambda(\mathbf{q})\alpha(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v})^2$ where λ is a nonzero function and α is an arbitrary exponent. So we will rather prove that if

$$\kappa(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}) = k(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}) + 1$$

is such that $\dot{\kappa}/\kappa$ is a 1-form in $\mathbf{v}$, this form is closed. The lowest degree term of $\dot{\kappa}$ gives an expression for the 1-form. It is

$$\kappa = \mathrm{L}_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathrm{d}\,\kappa),$$

where L is a notation for the bilinear form associated to the quadratic form k, defined by the formula $\mathrm{k}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$ and we denote $\kappa/\kappa = 2\mathrm{b}$. We have the formula for the Riemann tensor velocity but we want more. With the new notation $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ in equation (*),

$$\mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{k}\mathbf{-}\,2\mathbf{b}\mathbf{v}.$$

If instead of a quadratic form in $\mathbf{v}$ we write a symmetric bilinear form in $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$

$$\mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{w} = \mathrm{k}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) - \mathrm{b}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{w} - \mathrm{b}(\mathbf{w})\mathbf{v}.$$

Let κ be the dimension of the configuration space. Let S be the contraction of the tensor $\mathbf{A}$. We have

$$\mathrm{S}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathrm{k}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}) - (\kappa + 1)\mathrm{b}(\mathbf{v}).$$

According to our previous paragraph, $\mathrm{k}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v})$ and $\mathrm{b}(\mathbf{v})$ are proportional linear forms. The property of the Riemann curvature connections imply that the form S is exact. So the form $\mathbf{k}$ is exact.