



# Is a finite locally free group scheme killed by its order?

René Schoof

Dipartimento di Matematica  
2<sup>a</sup> Università di Roma “Tor Vergata”  
I-00133 Roma ITALY  
Email: [schoof@mat.uniroma2.it](mailto:schoof@mat.uniroma2.it)

## 1. Introduction.

Lagrange’s Theorem implies that every finite group  $G$  of order  $m$  has the property that  $g^m = 1$  for every  $g \in G$ . One could ask whether a similar result is true for a finite locally free group scheme  $G$  of order  $m$  over a base scheme  $X$ . Let  $[m] : G \rightarrow G$  be the composite of the diagonal and multiplication morphisms  $G \rightarrow G^m$  and  $G^m \rightarrow G$ .

**Question.** *Is  $G$  annihilated by  $m$ ? In other words, does the morphism  $[m]$  factor as  $G \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{e} G$  where  $e : X \rightarrow G$  is the unit section of  $G$ ?*

Grothendieck wrote in SGA 3 [1, Exp. VIII Remarque 7.3.1]: “*Il serait intéressant de trouver une démonstration dans ce cas général*”. The question has been answered affirmatively in two important cases.

In SGA 3 itself one finds a proof of the fact that over a field, any finite group scheme, commutative or not, is annihilated by its order [1, Exp. VII<sub>A</sub> Prop.8.5]. This easily implies that the same is true for finite locally free group schemes over a reduced base scheme  $X$ . See also [5, (3.8)] and [4, Cor. 2.2]. Pierre Deligne showed in 1969 that the answer to the question is affirmative whenever the group scheme  $G$  is commutative [3, p.4] or [5, (3.8)]. His result holds for an arbitrary base  $X$ .

The question remains unanswered in general. See [3, Remark p.5] or [5, (3.8)]. An affirmative answer would follow from an affirmative answer in the following special case.

**Question’.** *Let  $R$  be a local Artin ring with residue field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Is every finite free local group scheme  $G$  over  $R$  killed by its order?*

Indeed, in order to answer the first question affirmatively, it suffices to do so for base schemes  $X$  that are the spectra of a local rings  $R$ . Then  $G = \text{Spec}(A)$  where  $A$  is a finite free  $R$ -algebra. The rank of  $A$  is the order of  $G$ . The group scheme  $G$  is determined by the structure of the  $R$ -Hopf algebra  $A$ . The Hopf algebra structure of  $A$  is given by the multiplication, comultiplication, inverse, unit, coinverse and counit homomorphisms. These are  $R$ -linear maps between  $R$ ,  $A$  and  $A \otimes_R A$ . Choosing an  $R$ -basis for  $A$ , the group scheme  $G$  is determined by the entries of the matrices that correspond to these maps. Replacing  $R$  by the subring generated by the entries of the matrices and localizing once again, we may assume that  $G$  is a finite free or, equivalently, finite flat group scheme over a local Noetherian ring  $R$ . By Krull's Theorem we may even assume that  $R$  is a local Artin ring.

Then there is for any finite group scheme  $G$  an exact sequence of group schemes

$$0 \longrightarrow G^0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G^{\text{ét}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $G^0$  denotes the connected component of  $G$  and  $G^{\text{ét}}$  its largest étale quotient. By ordinary group theory,  $G^{\text{ét}}$  is annihilated by its order.

Since finite group schemes in characteristic zero are étale, we may assume that the characteristic of the residue field of  $R$  is  $p > 0$ , and that  $G$  is a local group scheme, as required.

If the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of the Artin ring  $R$  in the second question is zero,  $R$  is a field and the answer is affirmative by the SGA 3 result mentioned above. In [4] it is shown that if

$$\mathfrak{m}^p = p\mathfrak{m} = 0,$$

then every finite free group scheme  $G$  over  $R$  is also killed by its order. This happens in particular when the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  satisfies  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ .

In this note we give proofs of the two main results mentioned above. In section 2 we prove that finite group schemes over fields are killed by their orders and in section 3 we present Deligne's proof of the fact that finite commutative locally free group schemes are killed by their orders. Finally, in section 4, we outline the proof of the result in [4].

## 2. Finite group schemes over fields.

In this section we show that finite group schemes over a field are killed by their orders. See [6] for basic facts concerning group schemes. The first proposition was explained to me by Bas Edixhoven several years ago.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $G = \text{Spec}(A)$  be a finite flat group scheme over a ring  $R$ . Let  $I \subset A$  denote the augmentation ideal of  $A$ . Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $[p] : A \rightarrow A$  denote the  $R$ -algebra morphism corresponding to the morphism  $[p] : G \rightarrow G$ . Then*

$$[p](I) \subset pI + I^p.$$

**Proof.** Since  $A$  is a flat  $R$ -algebra, we have that  $pI = pA \cap I$ . Therefore we may replace  $R$  by the characteristic  $p$  ring  $R/pR$  and show that  $[p](I) \subset I^p$ . Let  $n$  denote the rank of  $G$ . Consider the closed immersion of  $G$  into the linear group  $\text{GL}_n$  that is induced by the action of  $G$  on its Hopf algebra  $A$  via left translations [6, 3.4]. Let  $\varphi$  denote the corresponding surjective morphism from the Hopf algebra  $B = R[Y_{11}, \dots, Y_{1n}, \dots, Y_{n1}, \dots, Y_{nn}, 1/\det(Y_{ij})]$  of  $\text{GL}_n$  to the Hopf algebra  $A$  of  $G$ . The entries of the matrix  $\sigma - \text{id}$ , where  $\sigma$  is given by

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} & \cdots & Y_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ Y_{n1} & \cdots & Y_{nn} \end{pmatrix},$$

generate the augmentation ideal  $J$  of  $B$ . So the entries of  $\sigma^p - \text{id}$  generate  $[p](J)$ . Since  $\sigma^p - \text{id} = (\sigma - \text{id})^p$ , the usual matrix multiplication formulas show that  $[p](J) \subset J^p$ . Applying  $\varphi$ , we find that  $[p](I) \subset I^p$  as required.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Finite group schemes over fields are annihilated by their orders.*

**Proof.** It suffices to show this for an algebraically closed field. By the remarks made in the introduction concerning the connected-étale exact sequence, we may assume that  $k$  has characteristic  $p > 0$  and that  $G$  is local. By [6, 14.4], the order of  $G$  is equal to  $p^m$  for some  $m \geq 0$  and the Hopf algebra  $A$  of  $G$  is a local Artin  $k$ -algebra of dimension  $p^m$ . Therefore the augmentation ideal  $I$  of  $A$  satisfies  $I^{p^m} = 0$ . Prop.2.1 then implies that  $[p^m](I) = 0$ . This means that the morphism  $[p^m] : A \rightarrow A$  factors through  $A/I = k$ , so that  $G$  is killed by its order  $p^m$ , as required.

### 3. Commutative group schemes.

Let  $G$  be a finite locally free *commutative* group scheme of order  $m$  over a base  $X$ . In 1969 Deligne showed the following [3, p.4].

**Theorem 3.1.** *The group scheme  $G$  is annihilated by  $m$ .*

Any element  $x$  of an ordinary finite group of order  $m$ , has the property that  $x^m$  is equal to the neutral element. For *commutative* groups this can be proved by the following well-known argument: let  $P = \prod_x x$  be the product of all elements of the group and let  $y$  be an arbitrary element. Then  $P = \prod_x x = \prod_x xy = y^m \prod_x x = y^m P$  and hence  $y^m$  is equal to the neutral element. Deligne's proof can be said to carry this argument over to *group schemes*.

It suffices to prove Theorem 3.1 for  $X = \text{Spec}(R)$  for a ring  $R$  and  $G = \text{Spec}(A)$  a finite free commutative group scheme over  $R$ . For any finite free  $R$ -algebra  $S$  we have

$$G(S) = \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(A, S) \subset \text{Hom}_R(A, S) \cong A' \otimes_R S.$$

Here  $\text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(A, S)$  denotes the set of  $R$ -algebra homomorphisms  $A \rightarrow S$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(A, S)$  is the  $R$ -module of  $R$ -module homomorphisms  $A \rightarrow S$ . We write  $A'$  for  $\text{Hom}_R(A, R)$ . Since  $G$  is commutative,  $A'$  carries the structure of an  $R$ -algebra, the multiplication  $A' \otimes_R A' \rightarrow A'$  being given by the dual of the comultiplication map  $c : A \rightarrow A \otimes_R A$ . Moreover  $A'$  is the Hopf algebra of the Cartier dual of  $G$ , with comultiplication map  $c' : A' \otimes_R A' \rightarrow A'$  equal to the dual of the multiplication map  $m : A \otimes_R A \rightarrow A$ . This easily implies that for any  $R$ -algebra  $S$  we have

$$G(S) = \{f \in (A' \otimes_R S)^* : c'(f) = f \otimes f\}.$$

One easily checks that the group operation of  $G(S)$  coincides with the algebra multiplication in the multiplicative group  $(A' \otimes_R S)^*$  of the algebra  $A' \otimes_R S$ . See [6, 2.4].

For an  $R$ -algebra  $S$ , the structure morphism  $R \rightarrow S$  gives rise to a group homomorphism  $G(R) \rightarrow G(S)$ . When  $S$  is finite and free over  $R$ , Deligne constructs a *Trace* map  $G(S) \rightarrow G(R)$  in the other direction. To do this he uses the *Norm* map  $N : S \rightarrow R$ , which for  $s \in S$  is defined as the determinant of any representative matrix of the  $R$ -linear multiplication-by- $s$ -map  $S \rightarrow S$ .

The norm is multiplicative. It induces for all  $R$ -algebras  $B$ , norm maps  $N_B = \text{id}_B \otimes N$  from  $B \otimes_R S$  to  $B$ . These are functorial in the sense that for every morphism  $f : B \rightarrow C$  of  $R$ -algebras the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes_R S & \xrightarrow{f \otimes \text{id}_S} & C \otimes_R S \\ \downarrow N_B & & \downarrow N_C \\ B & \xrightarrow{f} & C \end{array} \quad (*)$$

commutes.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $S$  be a finite free  $R$ -algebra and let  $G = \text{Spec}(A)$  as above. Then the norm map  $N_{A'} : A' \otimes_R S \rightarrow A'$  maps  $G(S)$  to  $G(R)$  and is a group homomorphism.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(S) & \subset & A' \otimes_R S \\ & & \downarrow N_{A'} \\ G(R) & \subset & A' \end{array}$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $a \in G(S) \subset A' \otimes_R S$ . So, it is invertible and satisfies  $c'(a) = a \otimes a$ . Then we have

$$c'(N_{A'}(a)) = N_{A'}(a) \otimes N_{A'}(a).$$

This follows easily from the commutativity of the diagrams (\*) applied to the morphisms  $A' \rightarrow A' \otimes_R A'$  given by the maps  $a \mapsto a \otimes 1'$ ,  $a \mapsto 1' \otimes a$  and  $c'(a)$  respectively. Here  $1'$  denotes the unit element of the algebra  $A'$ . It is the counit map  $e_A : A \rightarrow R$ .

The formula shows that  $N_{A'}(a) \in G(R)$ . Since the group laws in  $G(R)$  and  $G(S)$  agree with algebra multiplication in  $A'$  and  $A' \otimes_R S$  respectively, and since the norm is multiplicative, we see that  $N : G(S) \rightarrow G(R)$  is a group homomorphism. This proves the lemma.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1** Let  $m$  denote the order of  $G$ . In other words,  $m$  is the  $R$ -rank of  $A$ . We must show that for every  $R$ -algebra  $S$  and any  $u \in G(S)$  the  $m$ -th power of  $u$  is equal to the neutral element in  $G(S)$ . Replacing  $R$  by  $S$ , we see that it suffices to show this for all  $u \in G(R)$ .

Translation by  $u$  is an invertible morphism  $G \rightarrow G$  and therefore induces an  $R$ -automorphism  $\sigma$  of the  $R$ -algebra  $A$  and hence an  $A'$ -automorphism,  $\text{id} \otimes \sigma$  of  $A' \otimes A$ . On the other hand, translation by  $u \in G(R) \subset G(A)$  agrees with multiplication by  $u$  in the algebra  $A' \otimes A$ . Applying this to the algebra homomorphism  $\text{id}_A$  in  $G(A)$ , we find that

$$(\text{id} \otimes \sigma)(\text{id}_A) = u \cdot \text{id}_A.$$

Since applying  $\sigma$  to elements of  $A$  does not affect their norm to  $R$ , applying  $\text{id} \otimes \sigma$  does not affect  $N_{A'}$ . Therefore we have

$$N_{A'}(\text{id}_A) = N_{A'}((\text{id} \otimes \sigma)(\text{id}_A)) = N_{A'}(u \cdot \text{id}_A) = N_{A'}(u) N_{A'}(\text{id}_A) = u^m N_{A'}(\text{id}_A).$$

Since  $N_{A'}(\text{id}_A)$  is invertible in  $A'$ , it follows that  $u^m$  is equal to the unit element  $1'$  of  $A'$ , as required.

**Remark.** In this proof, the element  $N_{A'}(\text{id}_A)$  of  $A'$  plays the role of the product  $P$  of all elements of a finite group. It is well known that  $P$  is not always equal to the neutral element, but its square is. Similarly, in Deligne's proof the norm  $N_{A'}(\text{id}_A)$  is in general not equal to the unit element  $1' \in A'$ , but its square is.

Indeed, since the coinverse morphism  $i_A : A \rightarrow A$  is the inverse of  $\text{id}_A$  in the group  $G(A)$ , the same is true in the multiplicative group  $(A' \otimes_R A)^*$ . It follows that

$$N_{A'}(i_A \cdot \text{id}_A) = 1'.$$

On the other hand,  $i_A$  is an  $R$ -automorphism of  $A$  so that  $\text{id}_{A'} \otimes i_A$  is an  $A'$ -automorphism of  $A' \otimes_R A = \text{Hom}_R(A, A)$ . It carries  $\text{id}_A$  to  $i_A$ . Since  $A'$ -automorphisms do not change  $N_{A'}$ , we get

$$N_{A'}(\text{id}_A) = N_{A'}(i_A).$$

It follows that  $N_{A'}(\text{id}_A)^2 = 1'$  as required.

#### 4. Finite group schemes over Artin rings.

In this section we outline the proof given in [4] of the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $R$  be an Artin ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and residue field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , with the property that*

$$\mathfrak{m}^p = p\mathfrak{m} = 0.$$

*Then every finite free local group scheme  $G$  over  $R$  is annihilated by its order.*

We first reduce to the special case that  $k$  is separably closed. Indeed, by [2, 18.8.8], the strict Henselization of  $R$  is a local faithfully flat  $R$ -algebra whose maximal ideal is generated by the maximal ideal of  $R$ . Therefore it is Artinian and its maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  satisfies  $\mathfrak{m}^p = p\mathfrak{m} = 0$ . It follows that we may replace  $R$  by its strict Henselization and hence assume that its residue field  $k$  is separably closed.

Recall that  $G = \text{Spec}(A)$ , where  $A$  is a local free  $R$ -Hopf algebra of rank  $p^n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Theorem 4.1 says that the augmentation ideal  $I \subset A$  has the property that  $[p^n](I) = 0$ . If  $n = 1$ , it follows from [3, Thm. 1] that  $G$  is commutative, so that Deligne's theorem implies that  $G$  is killed by its order. Therefore we may assume that  $n \geq 2$ . By the result in SGA 3, the group scheme  $G$  considered over the residue field  $k$ , is killed by  $p^n$ . If it happens to already be killed by  $p^{n-1}$ , then the augmentation ideal  $I \subset A$  has the property that  $[p^{n-1}](I) \subset \mathfrak{m}I$ . Then Proposition 2.1 easily implies  $[p^n](I) \subset \mathfrak{m}^p + p\mathfrak{m} = 0$  and the theorem follows.

We are left with the local group schemes  $G$  over  $R$  of order  $p^n$  whose reductions over  $k$  are killed by  $p^n$ , but *not* by  $p^{n-1}$ . In [4] the group schemes with this property are determined. There are only two possibilities: over  $k$  they are either isomorphic to the multiplicative group  $\mu_{p^n}$  or to the non-commutative matrix group scheme  $M_n$  given by

$$M_n(S) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in A \text{ satisfying } x^p = 0 \text{ and } y^{p^{n-1}} = 1 \right\}.$$

for every  $k$ -algebra  $S$ .

If  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mu_{p^n}$  over  $k$ , then it is a deformation of  $\mu_{p^n}$ . However, since  $k$  is separably closed, [1, Exp. X, Corollaires 2.3 and 2.4] imply that  $G$  must then be diagonalizable. Therefore we have  $G \cong \mu_{p^n}$  over  $R$ . In particular,  $G$  is killed by  $p^n$ .

If  $G$  is over  $k$  isomorphic to  $M_n$  for some  $n \geq 2$ , then  $R$  must have characteristic  $p$  and is therefore a  $k$ -algebra. Moreover, there exists a faithfully flat  $R$ -algebra  $R'$  such that the base change of  $G$  to  $R'$  is isomorphic to a base change from  $k$  to  $R'$  of the group scheme  $M_n$ . See [4] for more details. It follows that  $G$  is killed by  $p^n$ .

This completes the outline of the proof of the theorem.

## Acknowledgements.

The author was supported by Tor Vergata funds n. E82F16000470005.

## Bibliography

- [1] Demazure, M. et Grothendieck, A.: *Schémas en Groupes*, dans Sém. de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie (1962/64) SGA 3, vols. I, II and III, Lecture Notes in Math. **151**, **152** and **153**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1970.
- [2] Grothendieck, A. and Dieudonné, J.: *Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas*, dans Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV, *Publ. Math. IHES* **32** (1966).
- [3] Tate, J. and Oort, F.: Group schemes of prime order, *Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.* **3** (1970), 1–21.
- [4] Schoof, R.: Finite Flat Group Schemes over Local Artin Rings, *Compositio Mathematica* **128** (2001), 1–15
- [5] Tate, J.: Finite flat group schemes, in: Cornell, G. Silverman, J. and Stevens, G.: *Modular Forms and Fermat's Last Theorem*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1997.
- [6] Waterhouse, W.: *Introduction to affine group schemes*, Graduate Texts in Math. **66**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1979.