
BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

GIAMBATTISTA MARINI

Algebraic cycles on abelian varieties and their decomposition

Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, serie 8, volume 7-B (2004),
n. 1, p. 231-240.

http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_2004_8_7B_1_231_0

© Unione Matematica Italiana, 2004, diritti riservati.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Algebraic Cycles on Abelian Varieties and their Decomposition.

GIAMBATTISTA MARINI

Sunto. – *In questo lavoro consideriamo una varietà abeliana X ed il suo anello di Chow $CH^\bullet(X)$ dei cicli algebrici modulo equivalenza razionale. Tramite la decomposizione di Künneth della diagonale $\Delta \subset X \times X$ è possibile ottenere delle formule esplicite per i proiettori associati alla decomposizione di Beauville (1) di $CH^\bullet(X)$, tali formule sono espresse in termini delle immagini dirette e inverse dei morfismi di moltiplicazione per un intero m . Il teorema (4) fornisce delle drastiche semplificazioni di tali formule, la Proposizione (9) ed il Corollario (10) forniscono alcuni risultati ad esse correlati.*

Summary. – *For an Abelian Variety X , the Künneth decomposition of the rational equivalence class of the diagonal $\Delta \subset X \times X$ gives rise to explicit formulas for the projectors associated to Beauville's decomposition (1) of the Chow ring $CH^\bullet(X)$, in terms of push-forward and pull-back of m -multiplication. We obtain a few simplifications of such formulas, see theorem (4) below, and some related results, see proposition (9) below.*

0. – Introduction.

Let X be an abelian variety of dimension n and denote by $CH_\bullet(X)$ its Chow group of algebraic cycles modulo rational equivalence. In our notation, $CH_d(X)$ is the subgroup of d -dimensional cycles and $CH^p(X) := CH_{n-d}(X)$ is the subgroup of p -codimensional cycles. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\text{mult}(m)$ denote the multiplication map $X \rightarrow X$, $x \mapsto mx$. By the use of Fourier-Mukai transform for abelian varieties (see [M] and [Be]), Beauville has established a decomposition

$$(1) \quad CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{s=-d}^{n-d} [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_s$$

where, by definition, $CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = CH_d(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is the Chow group with \mathbb{Q} -

coefficients and the right-hand-side subgroups are defined as follows:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_s &:= \{W \in CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \text{mult}(m)_\star W = m^{2d+s} W, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &= \{W \in CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \text{mult}(m)^\star W = m^{2p-s} W, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p = n - d$ is the codimension of W .

This decomposition is a tool to understand cycles and rational equivalence on abelian varieties and it would give a beautiful answer to many questions concerning the Chow groups of abelian varieties (see [Be], [Bl], [J], [Ku] and [S]), provided that Beauville’s vanishing conjecture [Be] holds. This conjecture states that the factors of $CH_d(X)$ with $s < 0$ vanish (see B.C. below). As pointed out in the abstract, by the use of Deninger-Murre projectors δ_i , (see [DM], [Ku]), the projections $CH_d(X) \rightarrow [CH_d(X)]_s$ with respect to Beauville’s decomposition (1) can be written as linear forms of $\text{mult}(m)_\star$ and $\text{mult}(m)^\star$. Theorem (4) simplifies such explicit descriptions. A further simplification is given for the case where one works modulo a piece of the decomposition, see proposition (9); see corollary (10) for a reformulation of Beauville’s conjecture.

1. – The algebraic set up.

We denote by $\omega(z)$ the series expansion of $\log(z + 1)$. Namely,

$$\omega(z) := z - \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{3}z^3 \dots$$

Furthermore, for k and j non-negative integers we define constants $a_{k,j}$ via the formal equality

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^j = \frac{1}{k!} \omega(z)^k$$

Let $A_r \in M_{r+1,r+1}(\mathbb{Q})$ be the matrix $(a_{k,j})$, where k and j run in $[0, \dots, r]$. Let $B_r \in M_{r+1,r+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ be the matrix $(b_{j,h})$, where j and h run in $[0, \dots, r]$ and where, by definition, $b_{j,h} = (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h}$. It is understood that $\binom{j}{h} = 0$ provided that $h > j$. For $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$ we define linear forms $L_k^{(r)}(x_0, \dots, x_r)$ by the following equality:

$$\begin{pmatrix} L_0^{(r)} \\ \vdots \\ L_r^{(r)} \end{pmatrix} = A_r B_r \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_r \end{pmatrix},$$

namely we define (observe that $a_{k,j} = 0$, if $j < k$ and $b_{j,h} = 0$, if $h > j$)

$$L_k^{(r)}(x_0, \dots, x_r) = \sum_{j=k}^r \sum_{h=0}^j a_{k,j} (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} x_h,$$

and for $k > r$ we define $L_k^{(r)} = 0$.

We now introduce a numerical lemma, the proof of which is very straightforward (and omitted).

LEMMA 3. – *Let $j \geq 1$ and $\sigma \geq 0$ be integers. Then*

$$\sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} h^\sigma = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma < j \\ \sigma! & \text{if } \sigma = j. \end{cases}$$

2. – Projections of cycles.

Next, using linear forms $L_k^{(r)}$, we give a criterium to identify components (with respect to Beauville’s decomposition 1) of the algebraic cycles. In the sequel, X denotes an abelian variety of dimension n ; $W \in CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes a rational algebraic cycle of dimension d and $p = n - d$ its codimension; furthermore, W_s denotes a component of W with respect to Beauville’s decomposition (1), in particular s is an integer in the range $[-d, n - d]$. We also consider linear forms $L_k^{(r)}$ as introduced in the previous section. The interpretation, in terms of push-forward and pull-back of multiplication maps, of the decomposition of the diagonal $\Delta \in CH_n(X \times X)$ (see [DM], [Ku]) gives

$$W_s = ([\log(\Delta)]^{\star_{\text{rel}^{2d+s}} \circ W}) / (2d + s)! = ({}^t[\log(\Delta)]^{\star_{\text{rel}^{2n-2d-s}} \circ W}) / (2n - 2d - s)!,$$

where \star_{rel} denotes the relative Pontryagin product on $CH_{\bullet}(X \times X)$ with respect to projection on the first factor and where, for $\alpha \in CH_{\bullet}(X \times X)$, ${}^t\alpha$ denotes its transpose. This equality in turn, in terms of our $L_k^{(r)}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} W_s &= L_{2d+s}^{(r)}(\text{mult}(0)_{\star}, \dots, \text{mult}(r)_{\star})W \\ &= L_{2p-s}^{(r)}(\text{mult}(0)^{\star}, \dots, \text{mult}(r)^{\star})W, \quad \forall r \geq 2n. \end{aligned}$$

It is worthwhile to stress that the linear forms $L_k^{(r)}$ enter in a natural way (for $r = 2n$) as an explicit version of Deninger-Murre-Künnemann projectors in terms of push-forward and pull-back of multiplication maps. The following theorem (4) goes further, it says that such equalities hold for r that takes smaller values (see (4_a) and (4_b) below). We also want to stress that linear forms $L_k^{(r)}$ have an increasing length with respect to r (see the list at the next page).

THEOREM 4. – *Let X, W and W_s be as above. Then*

$$(4_a) \quad W_s = L_{2d+s}^{(r)}(\text{mult}(0)_\star, \dots, \text{mult}(r)_\star)W, \quad \forall r \geq n + d;$$

$$(4_b) \quad W_s = L_{2p-s}^{(r)}(\text{mult}(0)^\star, \dots, \text{mult}(r)^\star)W, \quad \forall r \geq n + p.$$

Formulas (4_a) and (4_b) are obtained by using lemma (7) below. We shall also see that (4_b) can be refined: the equality there also holds for $r \geq n + p - \min\{d, 2\}$. A similar achievement does not hold for (4_a). As an explicit example we want to point out that for a 4-dimensional abelian variety and a 2-cycle W the known formula for projectors would give

$$W_1 = 8W - 14 \text{ mult}(2)^\star W + \frac{56}{3} \text{ mult}(3)^\star W - \frac{35}{2} \text{ mult}(4)^\star W +$$

$$\frac{56}{5} \text{ mult}(5)^\star W - \frac{14}{3} \text{ mult}(6)^\star W + \frac{8}{7} \text{ mult}(7)^\star W - \frac{1}{8} \text{ mult}(8)^\star W$$

meanwhile, by theorem (4), or better by remark (8), one has the simpler expression $W_1 = 4W - 3 \text{ mult}(2)^\star W + \frac{4}{3} \text{ mult}(3)^\star W - \frac{1}{4} \text{ mult}(4)^\star W$.

REMARK. – Beauville’s conjecture (see [Be]) states that

$$(B.C.) \quad [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_s = 0, \quad \text{if } s < 0.$$

As a consequence of theorem (4), proving the conjecture is equivalent to proving that either

$$L_{2d+s}^{(n+d)}(\text{mult}(0)_\star, \dots, \text{mult}(n+d)_\star) \quad \text{or} \quad L_{2p-s}^{(n+p)}(\text{mult}(0)^\star, \dots, \text{mult}(n+p)^\star)$$

acts trivially on $CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, for $s < 0$. Another equivalent formulation for Beauville’s conjecture (B.C.) is that the property (4_b) holds also for $r \geq 2p$ (this is trivial: since $L_{2p-s}^{(2p)} = 0$ for $s < 0$, if (4_b) holds for $r = 2p$, B.C. holds as well; it is straightforward to check that the converse implication follows from the proof of theorem 4).

REMARK. – Let us look at (4_a) and (4_b). The operators

$$L_{2d+s}^{(r)}(\text{mult}(0)_\star, \dots, \text{mult}(r)_\star)$$

are non-trivial for $r \geq n + d$ and the operators $L_{2p-s}^{(r)}(\text{mult}(0)^\star, \dots, \text{mult}(r)^\star)$ are non-trivial for $r \geq n + p$. Infact, since $-d \leq s \leq n - d$, then $2d + s \leq n + d$ as well as $2p - s \leq n + p$.

Clearly, one has

$$\text{mult}(0)_\star W = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d = \dim W > 0; \\ \deg W \cdot o & \text{if } W \text{ is a 0-cycle, where } o \text{ is the origin of } X. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{mult}(1)_\star W = W$$

For $n + d$ that takes the indicated value, the operators $L_k = L_k^{(n+d)}(\dots, \text{mult}(i)_\star, \dots)$ act as follows.

n+d=1

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 W &= \text{mult}(0)_\star W \\ L_1 W &= -\text{mult}(0)_\star W + W \end{aligned}$$

n+d=2

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 W &= \text{mult}(0)_\star W \\ L_1 W &= -\frac{3}{2} \text{mult}(0)_\star W + 2W - \frac{1}{2} \text{mult}(2)_\star W \\ L_2 W &= \frac{1}{2} \text{mult}(0)_\star W - W + \frac{1}{2} \text{mult}(2)_\star W \end{aligned}$$

n+d=3

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 W &= \text{mult}(0)_\star W \\ L_1 W &= -\frac{11}{6} \text{mult}(0)_\star W + 3W - \frac{3}{2} \text{mult}(2)_\star W + \frac{1}{3} \text{mult}(3)_\star W \\ L_2 W &= \text{mult}(0)_\star W - \frac{5}{2} W + 2 \text{mult}(2)_\star W - \frac{1}{2} \text{mult}(3)_\star W \\ L_3 W &= -\frac{1}{6} \text{mult}(0)_\star W + \frac{1}{2} W - \frac{1}{2} \text{mult}(2)_\star W + \frac{1}{6} \text{mult}(3)_\star W \end{aligned}$$

n+d=4

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 W &= \text{mult}(0)_\star W \\ L_1 W &= -\frac{25}{12} \text{mult}(0)_\star W + 4W - 3 \text{mult}(2)_\star W + \frac{4}{3} \text{mult}(3)_\star W - \frac{1}{4} \text{mult}(4)_\star W \\ L_2 W &= \frac{35}{24} \text{mult}(0)_\star W - \frac{13}{3} W + \frac{19}{4} \text{mult}(2)_\star W - \frac{7}{3} \text{mult}(3)_\star W + \frac{11}{24} \text{mult}(4)_\star W \\ L_3 W &= -\frac{5}{12} \text{mult}(0)_\star W + \frac{3}{2} W - 2 \text{mult}(2)_\star W + \frac{7}{6} \text{mult}(3)_\star W - \frac{1}{4} \text{mult}(4)_\star W \\ L_4 W &= \frac{1}{24} \text{mult}(0)_\star W - \frac{1}{6} W + \frac{1}{4} \text{mult}(2)_\star W - \frac{1}{6} \text{mult}(3)_\star W + \frac{1}{24} \text{mult}(4)_\star W \end{aligned}$$

From Beauville's conjecture point of view the first interesting case is $W_{-1} = L_5^{(8)}(\dots, \text{mult}(i)_\star, \dots) = L_5^{(7)}(\dots, \text{mult}(i)_\star, \dots)$, for $W \in CH^2(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ and $\dim X = 5$, see [Be]. Indeed, we have also $W_{-1} = L_5^{(r)}(\dots, \text{mult}(i)_\star, \dots)$, for $r \geq 5 = n + p - \min\{d, 2\}$.

Next we prove theorem (4) and some related results. First, we recall that

the Chow group of an abelian variety has two ring structures: the first one is given by the intersection product, the second one is given by the Pontryagin product, which we shall always denote by \star . Consider the ring $CH_{\bullet}(X \times X)$ with the natural sum of cycles and the relative Pontryagin product with respect to projection on the first factor $X \times X \rightarrow X$ (in other terms, we consider Pontryagin product on $X \times X$ regarded as an abelian scheme over X via the first-factor-projection). Let $\Delta \in CH_n(X \times X)$ be the diagonal and let $E = X \times \{o\} \in CH_n(X \times X)$ be the unit of $CH_{\bullet}(X \times X)$ with respect to the product above, where o is the origin of X . The projectors $\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{2n}$ are defined by (see [Ku], pag. 200)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_j &= \frac{1}{(2n-j)!} [\log(\Delta)]^{\star_{\text{rel}} 2n-j} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2n-j)!} \left[(\Delta - E) - \frac{1}{2} (\Delta - E)^{\star_{\text{rel}} 2} + \frac{1}{3} (\Delta - E)^{\star_{\text{rel}} 3} \dots \right]^{\star_{\text{rel}} 2n-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\Delta - E)^{\star_{\text{rel}} 2n+1} = 0$ (see [Ku]), the series above are infact finite sums. Now let Δ_m denote the graph of $\text{mult}(m)$. By Deninger, Murre and Künnemann theorem (see [DM], [Ku]) we have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} [{}^t\Delta_m] \circ \delta_j &= m^j \delta_j, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq 2n; \\ {}^t\delta_j &= \delta_{2n-j}, \quad \forall 0 \leq j \leq 2n; \end{aligned}$$

where the composition above is the composition of correspondences and where, for $\sigma \in \text{Corr}(A, B)$, ${}^t\sigma \in \text{Corr}(B, A)$ denotes its transpose. As a consequence, for $W \in CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $0 \leq j \leq 2n$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mult}(m)^{\star}(\delta_j \circ W) &= [{}^t\Delta_m] \circ (\delta_j \circ W) \\ &= m^j(\delta_j \circ W), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, one identifies $CH_{\bullet}(X)$ with $\text{Corr}(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}, X) = CH_{\bullet}(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \times X)$. Thus, by the definition (2) one has

$$(5') \quad \delta_j \circ W \in [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_s, \quad s := 2n - 2d - j.$$

Since $\sum \delta_j = \Delta$ acts as the identity map, (5) and (5') give

$$(5'') \quad W_s = \delta_{2n-2d-s} \circ W = {}^t\delta_{2d+s} \circ W$$

where, as usual, W_s denotes the component of W with respect to Beauville's decomposition (1).

For the proof of theorem (4) we need the following.

LEMMA 6. – *Let W be as in the theorem. Then*

$$[(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j}] \circ W = \sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} \text{mult}(h)_{\star} W$$

$${}^t[(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j}] \circ W = \sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} \text{mult}(h)^{\star} W$$

PROOF. – Since E is the unit for relative Pontryagin product and since $\Delta^{\star \text{rel}^h} \circ W = \text{mult}(h)_{\star} W$ as well as ${}^t[\Delta^{\star \text{rel}^h}] \circ W = \text{mult}(h)^{\star} W$, the two equalities follow by a straightforward computation. ■

LEMMA 7. – *Let W be as in the theorem. Then*

(7_a) $[(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j}] \circ W = 0, \quad \forall j \geq n + d + 1;$

(7_b) ${}^t[(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j}] \circ W = 0, \quad \forall j \geq n + p + 1.$

PROOF. – We prove (7_b), the proof of (7_a) is very similar. By lemma (6), we have to show that for $j \geq n + p + 1$ one has

$$\sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} \text{mult}(h)^{\star} W = 0.$$

By linearity of the left-hand-side operator we are free to assume that W belongs to one of the factors from Beauville decomposition (1), namely we are free to assume that $W \in [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_s$ for some $s \in [-d, n - d]$. Thus (see 2), we assume that $\text{mult}(m)^{\star} W = m^{2p-s} W, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows

$$\sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} \text{mult}(h)^{\star} W = \sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} h^{2p-s} W.$$

For s in the range above, the range for $2p - s$ is $[p, n + p]$; in particular, we have $2p - s < j$. By lemma (3), the coefficient $\sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} h^{2p-s}$ vanishes. Then we are done. ■

PROOF (of theorem 4). – We start with formula (4_a). Let $k = 2d + s$. Then, we have

$$W_s = \delta_{2n-2d-s} \circ W = \frac{1}{(2d+s)!} [\log(\Delta)^{\star \text{rel}^{2d+s}}] \circ W$$

$$= \sum_{j=k}^{2n} a_{k,j} (\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j} \circ W.$$

Now observe that by lemma (7), we have $(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j} \circ W = 0$ for $j \geq n + d + 1$.

Thus, the summation above can be taken up to r , provided that $r \geq n + d$. It follows that

$$W_s = \sum_{j=k}^r a_{k,j} (\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j} \circ W, \quad \forall r \geq n + d.$$

Looking at the definition of the operators $L_k^{(r)}$ it is then clear that (4_a) follows from the first equality from lemma (6),

$$(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j} \circ W = \sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} \text{mult}(h)_{\star} W.$$

The proof of formula (4_b) is similar. For $r \geq n + p$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_s &= {}^t \delta_{2d+s} \circ W = \frac{1}{(2p-s)!} {}^t [\log(\Delta)^{\star \text{rel}^{2p-s}}] \circ W \\ &= \sum_{j=2p-s}^{2n} a_{2p-s,j} {}^t [(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j}] \circ W \\ &= \sum_{j=2p-s}^r a_{2p-s,j} {}^t [(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j}] \circ W \\ &= \sum_{j=2p-s}^r a_{2p-s,j} \sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} \text{mult}(h)_{\star} W \\ &= L_{2p-s}^{(r)}(\text{mult}(0)_{\star}, \dots, \text{mult}(r)_{\star}) W \end{aligned}$$

where the 4th equality follows by lemma (7), the 5th equality follows by lemma (6) and the 6th equality follows by the definition of the operators $L_k^{(r)}$. ■

REMARK 8. – The equality (7_b) can be improved. We have,

$$(8') \quad {}^t [(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j}] \circ W = 0, \quad \forall j \geq n + p + 1 - \delta$$

where $\delta = \min \{d, 2\}$. Infact, since $[CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_s = 0$ provided that $s \leq \min \{-d+1, -1\}$ (see [Be]), the actual range for s can be shrunked to $\min \{-d+2, 0\} \leq s \leq n-d$. Thus in turn, one obtains (8') by the same proof of (7_b). As a consequence, (4_b) can be refined: the equality there also holds for all $r \geq n + p - \delta$ (where δ is as above).

Furthermore, for the same reason, if Beauville's conjecture (B.C.) mentioned above holds, then

$${}^t [(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j}] \circ W = 0, \quad \forall j \geq 2p + 1$$

In particular, if W is a divisor (hence it satisfies B.C.), then ${}^t [(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^3}] \circ$

$W = 0$, namely $3W - 3 \text{ mult}(2)^*W + \text{mult}(3)^*W = 0$, which is obvious (in the case of divisors, this kind of computations provide trivial results).

Now fix s , working modulo $\bigoplus_{l \geq s+1} [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_l$, or rather modulo $\bigoplus_{l \leq s-1} [CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_l$, yields simpler formulas than the ones from theorem (4); furthermore, it can be used to provide a reformulation for Beauville's conjecture (B.C.), see corollary (10) and the example below.

PROPOSITION 9. – *Let W and W_s be as in the theorem. Then*

$$(9_a) \quad W_s = \frac{1}{(2d+s)!} \sum_{h=0}^{2d+s} (-1)^{2d+s-h} \binom{2d+s}{h} \text{mult}(h)_* W, \quad \text{modulo } \bigoplus_{l \geq s+1} [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_l$$

Furthermore,

$$(9_b) \quad W_s = \frac{1}{(2p-s)!} \sum_{h=0}^{2p-s} (-1)^{2p-s-h} \binom{2p-s}{h} \text{mult}(h)^* W, \quad \text{modulo } \bigoplus_{l \leq s-1} [CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_l$$

PROOF. – We prove (9_b). Let $K = \frac{1}{(2p-s)!} \sum_{h=0}^{2p-s} (-1)^{2p-s-h} \binom{2p-s}{h} \text{mult}(h)^*$.

It suffices to prove that

$$KW = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } W \in [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_l, \quad l \geq s+1 \\ W & \text{if } W \in [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_s. \end{cases}$$

This is clear by the proof of (7_b); as for the case $W \in [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_s$, the equality $KW = W$ follows since, by lemma (3), the coefficient $\sum_{h=0}^{\sigma} (-1)^{\sigma-h} \binom{\sigma}{h} h^{\sigma}$ equals $\sigma!$ (here $\sigma = 2p - s$). The proof of (9_a) is similar. ■

A straightforward consequence of (9_b) is the following.

COROLLARY 10. – *Let X be as in the theorem. Then, it satisfies Beauville's conjecture for d -dimensional cycles if and only if*

$$\sum_{h=0}^k (-1)^{k-h} \binom{k}{h} \text{mult}(h)^*$$

acts trivially on $CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $k \geq 2p + 1$, where $p = n - d$ as usual.

For 5-dimensional abelian varieties the only *bad* component that might exist is $[CH_3(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_{-1}$. Then, by the corollary above it follows that a 5-dimen-

sional abelian variety X satisfies Beauville's conjecture (B.C.) if and only if

$$5W - 10 \text{ mult}(2)^*W + 10 \text{ mult}(3)^*W - 5 \text{ mult}(4)^*W + \text{mult}(5)^*W = 0,$$

for all $W \in CH_3(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

REFERENCES

- [Be] A. BEAUVILLE, *Sur l'anneau de Chow d'une variété abélienne*, Math. Ann., **273** (1986), 647-651.
- [Bl] S. BLOCH, *Some Elementary Theorems about Algebraic cycles on Abelian Varieties Inventiones*, Math., **37** (1976), 215-228.
- [DM] C. DENINGER - J. MURRE, *Motivic decomposition of abelian schemes and the Fourier transform*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **422** (1991), 201-219.
- [J] U. JANNSEN, *Equivalence relation on algebraic cycles*, NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., **548** (2000), 225-260.
- [GMV] M. GREEN - J. MURRE - C. VOISIN, *Algebraic Cycles and Hodge Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1594** (1993).
- [Ku] K. KÜNNEMANN, *On the Chow Motive of an Abelian Scheme*, Proceedings of Symposia in pure Mathematics, **55** (1994), 189-205.
- [M] S. MUKAI, *Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\widehat{X})$ with its applications to Picard Sheaves*, Nagoya Math. J., **81** (1981), 153-175.
- [S] S. SAITO, *Motives and filtrations on Chow groups, II*, NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., **548** (2000), 321-346.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli studi di Roma II
 «Tor Vergata», Via della Ricerca Scientifica, I-00133 Roma (Italy)
 E-mail: marini@axp.mat.uniroma2.it

Pervenuta in Redazione

il 7 dicembre 2002 e in forma rivista il 31 marzo 2003