## The Pollard p-1 factoring algorithm.

The Pollard p-1 algorithm, is a factoring algorithm introduced by John Pollard in 1974. It takes its name from the fact that it detects the prime factors p of an integer n, with the property that p-1 is a *smooth number*, i.e. it factors into relatively small primes. Equivalently, the group  $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$ has *smooth* order.

Despite its limited probability of success, the Pollard p-1 algorithm is important because in Lenstra's elliptic curve method for factoring (ECM), the same principles are applied to the group of points of an elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ .

Integers all of whose prime factors are  $\leq B$ , are called *B*-smooth.

Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  be the integer to be factored. Fix a smoothness bound  $B \in \mathbf{N}$  and define

$$\mathbf{k} := \prod_{\substack{p \le B\\p^{\alpha} \le B}} p^{\alpha}.$$

We may think of **k** as "the mother of all *B*-smooth numbers", in the sense that "any" *B*-smooth number divides **k**. The Pollard p - 1 algorithm consists of taking a random class  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$  and computing

$$gcd(a^{\mathbf{k}} - 1 \mod n, n).$$

When is the above gcd going to be > 1?

Heuristically, we can say that  $gcd(a^{\mathbf{k}} - 1 \mod n, n) > 1$  if and only if n admits some prime factor p of n with the property that  $p - 1 = \# \mathbf{Z}_p^*$  is B-smooth.

*Proof.* If p is a prime factor of n with the property that p-1 is B-smooth, then we may assume that p-1 divides **k**. From the Fermat Little Theorem applied to  $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$ , one deduces that  $a^{\mathbf{k}} = 1 \mod p$  and that p divides  $gcd(a^{\mathbf{k}} - 1 \mod n, n)$ .

Conversely, if a prime p divides  $gcd(a^{\mathbf{k}} - 1 \mod n, n)$ , then p is a factor of n and  $a^{\mathbf{k}} = 1 \mod p$ . This implies that the order of a in  $\mathbf{Z}_{p}^{*}$  divides  $\mathbf{k}$  and therefore it is B-smooth. On the other hand, in the cyclic group  $\mathbf{Z}_{p}^{*}$ , the order of an element is typically given by  $\frac{p-1}{r}$ , for some small integer r. Hence p-1 is B-smooth.

**Remark.** If a smoothness bound B is fixed, then the  $gcd(a^{\mathbf{k}} - 1 \mod n, n)$  coincides with the product of all prime factors p of n such that p - 1 is B-smooth.

The complexity of the algorithm is dominated by the complexity of the calculation

$$a^{\mathbf{k}} \mod n$$
.

which is

$$\mathcal{O}(\log \mathbf{k}(\log n)^2) = \mathcal{O}(B(\log n)^2),$$

since  $\mathbf{k}$  is roughly exp B.

Once a smoothness bound B is fixed, the B-smooth integers become more and more sparse as their size grows. This means that factors p such that p-1 is B-smooth are rare. If the algorithm fails to find a factor for a given smoothness bound B, the only option is to increase B. On the other hand increasing B is very expensive from a computational point of view (the complexity of the algorithm is exponential in  $\log B$ ). This is why this algorithm has limited probability of success.

**Example.** Consider n = 11951438413903. For B = 50 and a = 57, we get  $gcd(a^{\mathbf{k}} - 1, n) = 1$ ; for B = 100 and a = 57, we get  $gcd(a^{\mathbf{k}} - 1, n) = 1$ ; for B = 150 and a = 57, we get  $gcd(a^{\mathbf{k}} - 1, n) = 108769$ . Indeed n = p \* q, with p = 108769 and q = 109879087, and

$$p-1 = 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 103, \qquad q-1 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 29 \cdot 373 \cdot 1693.$$

**Exercise.** Consider  $n = p \cdot q = 687442130387521$ , where p = 686989 and q = 1000659589. As  $p - 1 = 2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 6361$  and  $q - 1 = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 59 \cdot 1413361$ . How big should one choose B in order for the algorithm to detect the non-trivial factor p?

What happens if one takes B > 1413361?

## The Miller-Rabin theorem and the Miller-Rabin primality test.

Miller-Rabin primality test is a very efficient algorithm to detect whether a positive integer  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  is composite or it is possibly prime. It is based on the Miller-Rabin Theorem (MRT in short), which is a refinement of the Fermat Little Theorem (FLT in short).

**Theorem.** (Fermat Little Theorem). Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  be a prime number and let a be an integer satisfying gcd(a, n) = 1. Then

$$a^{n-1} = 1 \mod n.$$

The FLT provides a necessary condition for an integer n to be prime: if  $a^{n-1} \neq 1 \mod n$ , then we are sure that n is composite. On the other hand, if  $a^{n-1} = 1 \mod n$ , then we cannot conclude anything about the primality of n. Even worse, there infinitely many composite integers, the *Carmicheal numbers*, for which  $a^{n-1} = 1 \mod n$ , for every a with gcd(a, n) = 1. The MRT combines the FLT with the following fact:

Let p be a prime number. If p divides  $x^2 - 1$ , then either  $x = 1 \mod p$  or  $p = -1 \mod p$ .

**Theorem.** (Miller-Rabin Theorem). Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  be a prime number and let a be an integer satisfying gcd(a, n) = 1. Write  $n - 1 = m2^k$ , for some m odd, and set  $b := a^m$ . Then either  $b = 1 \mod n$  or there is  $1 \le s \le k$  such that  $b^{2^{s-1}} = -1 \mod n$ .

*Proof.* By FLT one has

$$a^{n-1} = (a^m)^{2^k} = 1 \mod n$$

Now we examine how such a power of a can become to 1, modulo n.

One way is that already  $b := a^m = 1 \mod n$ .

Otherwise, if  $b := a^m \neq 1 \mod n$ , then the power  $a^{n-1}$  is obtained from b by successive squarings modulo n

 $b^{2} = b \cdot b,$   $b^{2^{2}} = b^{2} \cdot b^{2},$  ...  $b^{2^{k}} = b^{2^{k-1}} \cdot b^{2^{k-1}}.$ 

Let s be the smallest positive in integer,  $1 \le s \le k$ , for which

$$b^{2^s} = b^{2^{s-1}} \cdot b^{2^{s-1}} = 1.$$

Then the element  $b^{2^{s-1}}$  is a zero of the polynomial  $X^2 - 1$ . Since *n* is prime, one has that either  $b^{2^{s-1}} = 1 \mod n$  or  $b^{2^{s-1}} = -1 \mod n$ . Since *s* is the *smallest* integer for which  $b^{2^s} = 1 \mod n$ , then necessarily

$$b^{2^{s-1}} = -1 \mod n,$$

as claimed.

An integer n is called *a*-pseudoprime, provided that it satisfies the Miller-Rabin theorem for some class  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . Like the FLT, also the MRT only provides a necessary condition for an integer n to be prime: if  $a^{n-1} \neq 1 \mod n$ , then n is certainly composite. On the other hand, given an *odd* composite integer n > 9, then

$$\#\{a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^* \mid n \text{ is } a \text{-pseudoprime}\} \leq \varphi(n)/4,$$

where  $\varphi$  denotes the Euler  $\varphi$  function (see Schoof R., Four primality tests).

This means in particular that if n is a-pseudoprime for k different bases a, the probability that it is composite is  $\leq 1/4^k$ . This fact combined with the Prime Number Theorem enables one to determine integers n, with probability of being primes arbitrarily close to 1, in polynomial time.