Rita FIORESI, Fabio GAVARINI

"Algebraic supergroups with Lie superalgebras of classical type"

Journal of Lie Theory 23 (2013), no. 1, 143–158

http://www.heldermann.de/JLT/JLT23/JLT231/jlt23008.htm

INTRODUCTION

In [7] we have given the supergeometric analogue of the classical Chevalley's construction (see [16]), which enabled us to build a supergroup out of data involving only a complex Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} of classical type and a suitable complex faithful representation. Such a supergroup is (affine) connected, with associated classical subgroup being k-split reductive and with tangent Lie superalgebra isomorphic to \mathfrak{g} : thus we obtained an existence result for such supergroups. In particular, this provided the first unified construction of affine algebraic supergroups with Lie superalgebras of classical type; it was also the very first explicit construction of algebraic supergroups corresponding to the basic exceptional and to the strange Lie superalgebras.

In this paper we discuss the *uniqueness* problem, cast in the following form: "is any such supergroup isomorphic to a supergroup obtained via the Chevalley's construction"?

Our answer is positive and we get an analogue of the classical result — mainly due to Chevalley and Demazure — which classifies \mathbb{Z} -split reductive connected affine group-schemes via pairs of the form (\mathfrak{g}, V) where \mathfrak{g} is a finite dimensional complex reductive Lie algebra and V is a faithful finite-dimensional simple \mathfrak{g} -module.

We also prove a side result, which we believe has an interest on its own: every Chevalley supergroup, realized through our recipe as a supersubgroup of some linear supergroup GL(V), is actually *closed* inside GL(V) itself. This implies that such a group is *globally* split and smooth.

Let us briefly describe how we obtain our main result. We start with an affine algebraic supergroup G, defined over a field k with associated classical subgroup G_0 k-split, reductive, and with Lie superalgebra a k-form of a complex Lie superalgebra of classical type. Moreover, we assume G to be linearizable, a fact that is automatically true when mild conditions are satisfied (e.g., when k is a field). Note that all of these conditions appear to be necessary, since they do hold for Chevalley supergroups.

Since G_0 is k-split and reductive, by Chevalley-Demazure theory it can be realized as a closed subgroup of some $\operatorname{GL}(V')$, where V' is a suitable G_0 -module. The fact G is linearizable, that is $G \subset \operatorname{GL}_{m|n}$ (for suitable m and n), allows us to build the induced $(\operatorname{GL}_{m|n})_0$ -module $W' := \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{G}_0}^{(\operatorname{GL}_{m|n})_0}(V')$, which is also naturally a $(\operatorname{gl}_{m|n})_0$ -module. Inducing again we obtain the $\operatorname{gl}_{m|n}$ -module $W := \operatorname{Ind}_{(\operatorname{gl}_{m|n})_0}^{\operatorname{gl}_{m|n}}(W') = \mathcal{U}(\operatorname{gl}_{m|n}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}((\operatorname{gl}_{m|n})_0)}$ W'. W is also a $\operatorname{GL}_{m|n}$ -module and (by restriction) a G-module. Furthermore it contains a G-submodule $V := \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)} V'$. The very construction of V allows us to build the Chevalley supergroup G_V associated with the \mathfrak{g} -representation V. This allows us to view both our given supergroup G and the Chevalley supergroup G_V as closed subgroups of the same $\operatorname{GL}(V)$. The last step is to note that both G and G_V are globally split — as any affine supergroup over a field. Since the ordinary algebraic groups are the same, $G_0 = (G_V)_0$, we have that both supergroups are smooth as well. We conclude then $G = G_V$ by infinitesimal considerations, since both supergroups are globally split and smooth and they have the same Lie superalgebra.

References

- [1] J. Brundan, A. Kleshchev, Modular representations of the supergroup Q(n), I, Journal of Algebra **260** (2003), 64–98.
- [2] C. Carmeli, R. Fioresi, Super Distributions, Analytic and Algebraic Super Harish-Chandra pairs, preprint arXiv:1106.1072v1 [math.RA] (2011).
- [3] C. Carmeli, L. Caston, R. Fioresi, *Mathematical Foundation of Supersymmetry*, Lectures in Mathematics (2011), European Mathematical Society.
- [4] M. Demazure, Schémas en groupes reductifs, Bull. SMF 93 (1965), 369-413.
- [5] M. Demazure, P. Gabriel, Groupes Algébriques, Tome 1, Mason&Cie éditeur, North-Holland Publishing Company, The Netherlands, 1970.
- [6] R. Fioresi, Smoothness of algebraic supervarieties and supergroups, Pacific J. Math. 234 (2008), 295–310.
- [7] R. Fioresi, F. Gavarini, *Chevalley Supergroups*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society 215 (2012), 1014.
- [8] R. Fioresi, F. Gavarini, On the construction of Chevalley Supergroups, in: Supersymmetry in Mathematics and Physics, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2027 (2011), Springer & Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 101-123.
- [9] F. Gavarini, Algebraic supergroups of Cartan type, Forum Mathematicum (to appear), preprint arXiv:1109.0626v4 [math.RA] (2011).
- [10] K. Iohara, Y. Koga, Central extensions of Lie Superalgebras, Comment. Math. Helv. 76 (2001), 110–154.
- [11] J. C. Jantzen, Representations of algebraic groups, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 107 (2003), American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.
- [12] J. C. Jantzen, Lectures on Quantum Groups, Graduate Studies Math. 6 (1996), American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.
- [13] V. G. Kac, Lie superalgebras, Advances in Mathematics 26 (1977), 8–96.
- [14] A. Masuoka, The fundamental correspondences in super affine groups and super formal groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 202 (2005), 284–312.
- [15] A. Masuoka, Harish-Chandra pairs for algebraic affine supergroup schemes over an arbitrary field, Transformation Groups (to appear) — preprint arXiv:1111.2387v2 [math.RT] (2011).
- [16] R. Steinberg, Lectures on Chevalley groups, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, 1968.

[17] V. S. Varadarajan, Supersymmetry for mathematicians: an introduction, Courant Lecture Notes 1 (New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York), American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.