

Fabio GAVARINI

*“A Brauer algebra theoretic proof  
of Littlewood’s restriction rules”*

INTRODUCTION

*“Non potrai dir che quest’ è cosa dura:  
usando la dualità di Brauer  
dimostrazione dar, novella e pura”*

*N. Barbecue, “Scholia”*

Let  $U$  be a complex vector space, endowed with an orthogonal or symplectic form, and let  $G$  be either  $O(U)$  or  $Sp(U)$  respectively. Consider a simple polynomial  $GL(U)$ -module  $V_\lambda$  (associated in a standard way to a partition  $\lambda$ ), and restrict it to  $G$ . If  $\lambda_1^t + \lambda_2^t \leq \dim(U)$ , in the orthogonal case ( $\lambda^t$  being the dual partition to  $\lambda$ ), or  $\lambda_1^t \leq \dim(U)/2$ , in the symplectic case, then its decomposition into simple  $G$ -modules is described by the Littlewood’s restriction rule (cf. [L]), which gives a formula for the multiplicity in  $V_\lambda$  of each simple  $G$ -module. The main aim in this article is to prove this formula.

It is well known (cf. e.g. [W], [H]) that one can realize a copy of  $V_\lambda$  inside the tensor power  $U^{\otimes f}$ , where  $f$  is the sum of parts of  $\lambda$  (i.e.  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $f$ ). By the general theory of centralizer algebras, a bijection  $V_\lambda \longleftrightarrow M_\lambda$  exists between simple  $GL(U)$ -modules and simple modules over  $End_{GL(U)}(U^{\otimes f})$  — the centralizer algebra of the  $GL(U)$ -action on  $U^{\otimes f}$  — occurring in  $U^{\otimes f}$ , which interchanges dimensions and multiplicities. Similarly, a bijection  $W_\mu \longleftrightarrow N_\mu$  exists between simple  $G$ -modules and simple modules over  $End_G(U^{\otimes f})$  — the centralizer algebra of the  $G$ -action on  $U^{\otimes f}$  — occurring in  $U^{\otimes f}$  (which is now thought of as a  $G$ -module), which interchanges dimensions and multiplicities. Then we have an identity  $[V_\lambda : W_\mu] = [N_\mu : M_\lambda]$ , thus to get the multiplicity  $[V_\lambda : W_\mu]$  we can compute the above right-hand-side term instead: in other words, instead of studying  $V_\lambda \Big|_G^{GL(U)}$  we study  $N_\mu \Big|_{End_{GL(U)}(U^{\otimes f})}^{End_G(U^{\otimes f})}$ . Therefore, if

$$[V_\lambda : W_\mu] = C_\mu^\lambda \tag{*}$$

is the identity given in Littlewood’s restriction formula, our aim is to prove that

$$[N_\mu : M_\lambda] = C_\mu^\lambda \tag{**}$$

Now, one has that  $End_{GL(U)}(U^{\otimes f}) = \mathbb{C}[S_f]$ , with  $S_f$  acting on  $U^{\otimes f}$  by index permutation. On the other hand,  $End_G(U^{\otimes f})$  is a quotient of the Brauer algebra  $\mathcal{B}_f^{(\epsilon, N)}$ ,

where  $N = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$  and  $\epsilon$  is the “sign” of the form on  $U$  (“+” for orthogonal and “-” for symplectic case); the kernel of  $\pi_U : \mathcal{B}_f^{(\epsilon N)} \longrightarrow \text{End}_G(U^{\otimes f})$  is also known, essentially from the Second Fundamental Theorem of Invariant Theory (for the group  $G$ ). In the stable case (i.e. when  $f \leq N/2$  in the symplectic case and  $f \leq N$  in the orthogonal case)  $\pi_U$  is an isomorphism, and Littlewood’s formula can be proved as a corollary of a suitable description of  $V^{\otimes f}$  (cf. [GP]). In the general case a different approach is necessary.

To describe  $\mathcal{B}_f^{(x)}$  we can display an explicit basis  $D_f$  — whose elements are certain graphs — and assign the multiplication rules for elements in this basis — based on “composition” of graphs. Then from the previously mentioned description of  $\text{Ker}(\pi_U)$  we take out an explicit set of linear generators of this kernel.

In addition, the simple  $G$ -modules  $N_\mu$  are quotients of certain  $\mathcal{B}_f^{(\epsilon N)}$ -modules  $N'_\mu$  which have a nice combinatorial description (in terms of graphs related to those of  $D_f$ ); moreover, we prove that the kernel of the epimorphism  $N'_\mu \longrightarrow N_\mu$  is just  $\text{Ker}(\pi_U).N'_\mu$ . Now, the multiplicity  $[N'_\mu : M_\lambda]$  is exactly equal to the right-hand-side part of  $(\star)$ ; then it is enough for us to show that in  $\text{Ker}(\pi_U).N'_\mu$ , as a  $\mathbb{C}[S_f]$ -module, there are no components of type  $M_\lambda$  for  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda_1^t + \lambda_2^t \leq \dim(U)$  (in the orthogonal case) or  $\lambda_1^t \leq \dim(U)/2$  (in the symplectic case). We deduce this fact from the previous description of  $\text{Ker}(\pi_U)$ .

— — — — —

## REFERENCES

- [Br] R. Brauer, *On algebras which are concerned with semisimple continuous groups*, Ann. of Math. **38** (1937), 854–872.
- [Bw1] Wm. P. Brown, *Generalized matrix algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **7** (1955), 188–190.
- [Bw2] ———, *An algebra related to the orthogonal group*, Michigan Math. J. **3** (1955–56), 1–22.
- [DP] C. De Concini, C. Procesi, *A characteristic free approach to invariant theory*, Adv. Math. **21** (1976), 330–354.
- [DS] C. De Concini, E. Strickland, *Traceless tensors and the symmetric group*, J. Algebra **61** (1979), 112–128.
- [GP] F. Gavarini, P. Papi, *Representations of the Brauer algebra and Littlewood’s restriction rules*, J. Algebra **194** (1997), 275–298.
- [H] R. Howe, *Perspectives on invariant theory: Schur duality, multiplicity-free actions and beyond*, Israel Mathematical Conference Proceedings **8** (1995).
- [HW] P. Hanlon, D. Wales, *On the decomposition of Brauer’s centralizer algebras*, J. Algebra **121** (1989), 409–445.
- [Ke] S. V. Kerov, *Realizations of representations of the Brauer semigroup*, J. Soviet Math. **47** (1989), 2503–2507.
- [L] D. E. Littlewood, *On invariant theory under restricted groups*, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A **239** (1944), 387–417.
- [LP] J.-L. Loday, C. Procesi, *Homology of symplectic and orthogonal algebras*, Adv. Math. **21** (1976), 93–108.
- [We] H. Weyl, *The classical groups*, Princeton University Press, New York, 1946.
- [Wz] H. Wenzl, *On the structure of Brauer’s centralizer algebras*, Ann. of Math. **188** (1988), 173–193.