

Fabio GAVARINI

## “Quantization of Poisson groups”

## INTRODUCTION

*“Dualitas dualitatum  
et omnia dualitas”*

N. Barbecue, “Scholia”

Let  $G$  be a semisimple, connected, and simply connected affine algebraic group over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic zero; we consider a family of structures of Poisson group on  $G$ , indexed by a multiparameter  $\tau$ , which generalize the Sklyanin-Drinfel’d one. Then every such Poisson group  $G^\tau$  has a dual Poisson group  $H^\tau$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}^\tau := \text{Lie}(G^\tau)$  and  $\mathfrak{h}^\tau := \text{Lie}(H^\tau)$  are Lie bialgebras dual to each other.

In 1985 Drinfel’d and Jimbo provided a quantization of  $U(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ , namely a Hopf algebra  $U_q^\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g})$  over  $k(q)$ , presented by generators and relations, with a  $k[q, q^{-1}]$ -form  $\mathfrak{U}_\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g})$  which for  $q \rightarrow 1$  specializes to  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  as a Poisson Hopf coalgebra. This has been extended to general parameter  $\tau$  introducing multiparameter quantum groups  $U_{q,\varphi}^\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g})$  (cf. [R], [CV-1], [CV-2]). Dually, one constructs a Hopf algebra  $F_q^P[G]$  of matrix coefficients of  $U_q^\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g})$  with a  $k[q, q^{-1}]$ -form  $\mathfrak{F}_P[G]$  which specializes to  $F[G]$ , as a Poisson Hopf algebra, for  $q \rightarrow 1$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{F}_P[G]$  is nothing but the Hopf subalgebra of “functions” in  $F_q^P[G]$  which take values in  $k[q, q^{-1}]$  when “evaluated” on  $\mathfrak{U}_\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g})$ : in a word, the  $k[q, q^{-1}]$ -integer valued functions on  $\mathfrak{U}_\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g})$ . This again extends to general  $\tau$  (cf. [CV-2]).

So far the quantization only dealt with the Poisson group  $G$  (or  $G^\tau$ ); the dual group  $H$  is involved defining a different  $k[q, q^{-1}]$ -form  $\mathcal{U}_P(\mathfrak{g})$  (of a quantum group  $U_q^P(\mathfrak{g})$ ) which specializes to  $F[H]$  (as a Poisson Hopf algebra) for  $q \rightarrow 1$  (cf. [DP]), with generalization to the multiparameter case possible again. Here sort of a “mixing dualities” (Hopf duality — among enveloping and function algebra — and Poisson duality — among dual Poisson groups) occurs, which was described (in a formal setting) by Drinfel’d (cf. [Dr], §7), and by Etingof and Kazhdan (cf. [EK-1], [EK-2]). This leads to consider the following: let  $F_q^\mathcal{Q}[G]$  be the quantum function algebra dual to  $U_q^P(\mathfrak{g})$ , and look at the “dual” to  $\mathcal{U}_P(\mathfrak{g})$  within  $F_q^\mathcal{Q}[G]$ , call it  $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{Q}[G]$ , namely the Hopf algebra of  $k[q, q^{-1}]$ -integer valued functions on  $\mathcal{U}_P(\mathfrak{g})$ ; then this should specialize to  $U(\mathfrak{h})$ , as a Poisson Hopf coalgebra, for  $q \rightarrow 1$ . The same conjecture can be formulated in the multiparameter case too.

Our starting aim was to achieve this goal, i. e. to construct  $F_q^\mathcal{Q}[G]$  and its  $k[q, q^{-1}]$ -form  $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{Q}[G]$ , and to prove that  $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{Q}[G]$  is a deformation of the Poisson Hopf coalgebra  $U(\mathfrak{h})$ . This goal is successfully attained by performing a suitable dualization of the quantum double construction. But by the way, this leads to discover a *new quantum group*, which we call

$U_q^M(\mathfrak{h})$ , which is for  $U(\mathfrak{h})$  what  $U_q^M(\mathfrak{g})$  is for  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ ; in particular it has an integer form  $\mathfrak{U}_Q(\mathfrak{h})$  which is a quantization of  $U(\mathfrak{h})$ , and an integer form  $\mathfrak{U}_P(\mathfrak{h})$  which is a quantization of  $F^\infty[G]$ , the function algebra of the formal Poisson group associated to  $G$ . Furthermore, we exhibit a Hopf pairing between  $U_q^{M'}(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $U_q^M(\mathfrak{h})$  which gives a quantization of the various pairings occurring among the algebras attached to the pair  $(G, H)$ . Once again, all this extends to the multiparameter case. Thus in particular we provide a (infinitesimal) quantization for a wide class of Poisson groups (the  $H^\tau$ 's). Now, in the summer of 1995 (when the present work was already accomplished) a quantization of any Poisson group was presented in [EK-1] and [EK-2], but its greatest generality goes along with some lack of concreteness. In contrast, our construction is extremely concrete; moreover, it allows specialization at roots of 1, construction of quantum Frobenius morphisms, and so on (like for  $\mathfrak{U}_Q(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\mathfrak{U}_P(\mathfrak{g})$ ), which is not possible in the approach of [EK-1], [EK-2].

Finally, a brief sketch of the main ideas of the paper. Our aim is to study the “dual” of a quantum group  $U_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{g})$ , where  $M$  is any lattice of weights.

First, we select as operation of “dualization” the most naïve one, namely taking the *full linear dual* (rather than the usual — restricted — Hopf dual), the latter being a *formal* Hopf algebra (rather than a common Hopf algebra). Second, as  $U_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{g})$  is a quotient of a quantum double  $D_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{g}) := D(U_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{b}_-), U_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{b}_+), \pi_\varphi)$ , its linear dual  $U_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{g})^*$  embeds into  $D_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{g})^*$ . Third, since  $D_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{b}_+) \otimes U_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{b}_-)$  (as coalgebras) we have  $D_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{g})^* \cong U_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{b}_+)^* \widehat{\otimes} U_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{b}_-)^*$  (as algebras), where  $\widehat{\otimes}$  denotes topological tensor product. Fourth, since quantum Borel algebras of opposite sign are perfectly paired, their linear duals are suitable completions of quantum Borel algebras again. Thus we find a presentation of  $U_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{g})^*$  by generators and relations: this leads us to *define*  $U_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{h}) := U_{q,\varphi}^{M'}(\mathfrak{g})^*$  (where  $M'$  depends on  $M$ ), and gives all claimed results. Because of their construction, we call the new objects  $U_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{h})$  (*multiparameter quantum formal groups*).

In contrast, we also present an alternative approach, yielding other *new* objects — denoted by  $F_{q,\varphi}^{M,\infty}[G]$  — which we call (*multiparameter formal quantum groups*). The *similar but different* terminology reveals the fact that  $U_{q,\varphi}^M(\mathfrak{h})$  and  $F_{q,\varphi}^{M,\infty}[G]$  provide two *different* quantizations of the *same* classical objects  $U(\mathfrak{h}^\tau)$  and  $F^\infty[G^\tau]$ , arising from two different ways of realizing  $F^\infty[G^\tau]$ .

— — — — —

## REFERENCES

- [APW] H. H. Andersen, P. Polo, W. Kexin, *Representations of quantum algebras*, Invent. Math. **104** (1991), 1–59.
- [CV-1] M. Costantini, M. Varagnolo, *Quantum double and multiparameter quantum group*, Comm. in Alg. **22** (1994), 6305–6321.
- [CV-2] ———, *Multiparameter Quantum Function Algebra at Roots of 1*, Math. Ann. **306** (1996), 759–780.

- [DD] I. Damiani, C. De Concini, *Quantum groups and Poisson groups*, Representations of Lie groups and quantum groups (Trento, 1993) (W. Baldoni, M. Picardello, eds.), Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. **311**, Longman Scientific & Technical 1994, pp. 1–45.
- [Di] J. Dieudonné, *Introduction to the theory of formal groups*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **20** (1973).
- [DKP] C. De Concini, V. G. Kac, C. Procesi, *Quantum coadjoint action*, Jour. Am. Math. Soc. **5** (1992), 151–189.
- [DL] C. De Concini, V. Lyubashenko, *Quantum function algebra at roots of 1*, Adv. Math. **108** (1994), 205–262.
- [DP] C. De Concini, C. Procesi, *Quantum groups*, D-modules, Representation Theory, and Quantum Groups (Venice, 1992) (L. Boutet de Monvel, C. De Concini, C. Procesi, P. Schapira, M. Vergne, eds.), Lectures Notes in Mathematics **1565**, Springer & Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1993, pp. 31–140.
- [Dr] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proceedings of the ICM (Berkeley, California, 1986) (Andrew M. Gleason, ed.), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 798–820.
- [EK-1] P. Etingof, D. Kazhdan, *Quantization of Lie bialgebras, I*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **2** (1996), 1–41.
- [EK-2] ———, *Quantization of Poisson algebraic groups and Poisson homogeneous spaces*, Symétries quantiques (Les Houches, 1995) (A. Connes, K. Gawedzki and J. Zinn-Justin, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998, pp. 935–946.
- [Ga] F. Gavarini, *Quantum function algebras as quantum enveloping algebras*, Comm. Alg. **26** (1998), 1795–1818.
- [LS] S. Z. Levendorskii, Ya. S. Soibelman, *Algebras of functions on compact quantum groups, Schubert cells and quantum tori*, Comm. Math. Phys. **139** (1991), 141–170.
- [Lu] G. Lusztig, *Quantum groups at roots of 1*, Geom. Dedicata **35** (1990), 89–113.
- [Pa] P. Papi, *A characterization of a good ordering in a root system*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), 661–665.
- [Re] N. Reshetikin, *Multiparameter Quantum Groups and Twisted Quasitriangular Hopf Algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **20** (1990), 331–335.
- [So] Ya. S. Soibelman, *The algebra of functions on a compact quantum group and its representations*, Leningrad Math. J. **2** (1991), 161–178.
- [So] Ya. S. Soibelman, L. L. Vaksman, *Algebra of functions on the quantum group  $SU(2)$* , Functional Anal. Appl. **22** (1988), 170–181.
- 
-