

EAM2 Lecture Notes

Piermarco CANNARSA

aa 2017/2018

Contents

1	Semigroups of bounded linear operators	3
1.1	Uniformly continuous semigroups	3
1.2	Strongly continuous semigroups	5
1.3	The infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup	6
1.4	Closedness of A	8
1.5	Spectral properties of closed operators	10
1.6	Integral representation of $R(\lambda, A)$	12
1.7	Asymptotic behaviour of \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroups	14
1.8	The Hille-Yosida generation theorem	18
1.9	Additional exercises for Chapter 1	24
2	Special classes of semigroups	30
2.1	Dissipative operators	30
2.2	Strongly continuous groups	34
2.3	The adjoint of a linear operator	37
2.4	Additional exercises for Chapter 2	43
3	The inhomogeneous Cauchy problem	44
3.1	Notions of solution	44
3.2	Well posedness in $L^2(0, T; H)$	44
3.3	Regularity	46
3.4	Maximal regularity for dissipative operators	49
4	Appendix A: Riemann integral on $\mathcal{C}([a, b]; X)$	52
5	Appendix B: Lebesgue integral on $L^2(a, b; H)$	55
5.1	The Hilbert space $L^2(a, b; H)$	55
5.2	The Sobolev space $H^1(a, b; H)$	57
	Bibliography	59

Notation

- $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ stands for the real line, \mathbb{R}_+ for $[0, \infty)$, and \mathbb{R}_+^* for $(0, \infty)$.
- $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^* = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$.
- For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re \lambda$ and $\Im \lambda$ denote the real and imaginary parts of λ , respectively.
- $|\cdot|$ stands for the norm of a Banach space X , as well as for the absolute value of a real number or the modulus of a complex number.
- $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is the Banach space of all bounded linear operators $\Lambda : X \rightarrow X$ equipped with norm $\|\Lambda\| = \sup_{|x| \leq 1} |\Lambda x|$.
- For any metric space (X, d) , $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$ denotes the Banach space of all bounded uniformly continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with norm

$$\|f\|_{\infty, X} = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|.$$

- Given a Banach space $(X, |\cdot|)$ and a closed interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ (bounded or unbounded), we denote by $\mathcal{C}_b(I; X)$ the Banach space of all bounded uniformly continuous functions $f : I \rightarrow X$ with norm

$$\|f\|_{\infty, I} = \sup_{s \in I} |f(s)|.$$

- $\Pi_\omega = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \Re \lambda > \omega\}$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$.

1 Semigroups of bounded linear operators

1.1 Uniformly continuous semigroups

Let $(X, |\cdot|)$ be a (real or complex) Banach space. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators $\Lambda : X \rightarrow X$ with norm

$$\|\Lambda\| = \sup_{|x| \leq 1} |\Lambda x|.$$

Definition 1 A semigroup of bounded linear operators on X is a map $S : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ with the following properties:

- (a) $S(0) = I$,
- (b) $S(t+s) = S(t)S(s)$ for all $t, s \geq 0$.

Equivalent notations are $S(\cdot)$, $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, and even the simpler form $S(t)$.

Definition 2 The infinitesimal generator of a semigroup of bounded linear operators $S(t)$ is the map $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = \{x \in X : \exists \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{S(t)x - x}{t}\} \\ Ax = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{S(t)x - x}{t} \end{cases} \quad \forall x \in D(A) \quad (1.1.1)$$

Exercise 1 Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be the infinitesimal generator of a semigroup of bounded linear operators $S(t)$. Prove that

- (a) $D(A)$ is a subspace of X ,
- (b) A is a linear operator.

Definition 3 A semigroup $S(t)$ of bounded linear operators on X is uniformly continuous if

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \|S(t) - I\| = 0.$$

Exercise 2 Let $S(t)$ be a uniformly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators. Prove that for all $\tau \geq 0$ there exists $M_\tau \geq 0$ such that

$$\|S(t)\| \leq M_\tau \quad \forall t \in [0, \tau].$$

Remark 1 A semigroup $S(t)$ is uniformly continuous if and only if

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \|S(s) - S(t)\| = 0 \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Example 1 let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then

$$e^{tA} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} A^n x$$

is a uniformly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators on X . Moreover, A is the infinitesimal generator of e^{tA} . Indeed, the proof of the following properties is left as an exercise.

- (a) $e^{tA} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ because $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} A^n x$ converges for all $t \geq 0$.
- (b) $e^{(t+s)A} = e^{tA} e^{sA}$ for all $s, t \geq 0$.
- (c) $\|e^{tA} - I\| = \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} A^n\| \leq t \|A\| e^{t\|A\|}$ for all $t \geq 0$.
- (d) $\|\frac{e^{tA} - I}{t} - A\| = \|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n-1}}{n!} A^n\| \leq t \|A\|^2 e^{t\|A\|}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Theorem 1 For any linear operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ the following properties are equivalent:

- (a) A is the infinitesimal generator of a uniformly continuous semigroup,
- (b) $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$.

Proposition 1 Let $S(t)$ and $T(t)$ be uniformly continuous semigroups of bounded linear operators on X and let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. If

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{S(t) - I}{t} = A = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{T(t) - I}{t},$$

then $S(t) = T(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Let $T > 0$. For any $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, a solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = Ay(t) & (t \in [0, T]) \\ y(0) = x \in X \end{cases} \quad (1.1.2)$$

is a function $y \in C^1([0, T]; X)$ which satisfies (1.1.2) pointwise.

Proposition 2 Problem (1.1.2) has a unique solution given by $y(t) = e^{tA}x$.

Example 2 Consider the integral equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) = \int_0^1 k(x, y)u(t, y) dy & (t \in [0, T]) \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \end{cases} \quad (1.1.3)$$

where $k \in L^2([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ and $u_0 \in L^2(0, 1)$. Problem (1.1.3) can be seen as an abstract Cauchy problem of the form

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) = Ku(t) & (t \in [0, T]) \\ u(0) = u_0 \in X \end{cases} \quad (1.1.4)$$

where $X = L^2(0, 1)$ and

$$Ku(x) = \int_0^1 k(x, y)u(t, y) dy \quad \forall x \in X$$

is a bounded linear operator on X . Then Proposition 2 insures that (1.1.4) has a unique solution $u \in C^1([0, T]; X)$ given by $u(t) = e^{tK}u_0$.

1.2 Strongly continuous semigroups

Example 3 Let $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R})$ be the Banach space of all bounded uniformly continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the uniform norm

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)|.$$

For any $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ define

$$(S(t)f)(x) = f(x + t) \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}).$$

The reader is invited to check that:

1. $S(t)$ is a semigroup of bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R})$,
2. $S(t)$ fails to be uniformly continuous,
3. for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R})$ we have that $\|S(t)f - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $t \downarrow 0$.

Definition 4 A semigroup $S(t)$ of bounded linear operators on X is called strongly continuous (or of class \mathcal{C}_0) if

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} S(t)x = x \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (1.2.1)$$

Theorem 2 Let $S(t)$ be a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of bounded linear operators on X . Then there exist $\omega \geq 0$ and $M \geq 1$ such that

$$\|S(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t} \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (1.2.2)$$

When $\omega = 0$ in (1.2.2), $S(t)$ is called *uniformly bounded*. If, in addition, $M = 1$, we say that $S(t)$ is a *contraction semigroup*.

Proof. We first prove the following:

$$\exists \tau > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad M \geq 1 \quad \text{such that} \quad \|S(t)\| \leq M \quad \forall t \in [0, \tau]. \quad (1.2.3)$$

We argue by contradiction assuming there exists a sequence $t_n \downarrow 0$ such that $\|S(t_n)\| \geq n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then, the principle of uniform boundedness implies that, for some $x \in X$, $\|S(t_n)x\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, in contrast with (1.2.1).

Now, given $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta \in [0, \tau[$ be such that

$$t = n\tau + \delta.$$

Then, in view of (1.2.3),

$$\|S(t)\| = \|S(\delta)S(\tau)^n\| \leq M \cdot M^n = M \cdot (M^{1/\tau})^{n\tau} \leq M \cdot (M^{1/\tau})^t$$

which yields (1.2.2) with $\omega = \frac{\log M}{\tau}$. □

Corollary 1 *Let $S(t)$ be a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of bounded linear operators on X . Then for every $x \in X$ the map $t \mapsto S(t)x$ is continuous from \mathbb{R}_+ into X .*

1.3 The infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup

Theorem 3 *Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of bounded linear operators on X , denoted by $S(t)$. Then the following properties hold true.*

(a) *For all $t \geq 0$*

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} S(s)x \, ds = S(t)x \quad \forall x \in X.$$

(b) *For all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in X$*

$$\int_0^t S(s)x \, ds \in D(A) \quad \text{and} \quad A\left(\int_0^t S(s)x \, ds\right) = S(t)x - x.$$

(c) *$D(A)$ is dense in X .*

(d) *For all $x \in D(A)$ and $t \geq 0$ we have that $S(t)x \in D(A)$, $t \mapsto S(t)x$ is continuously differentiable, and*

$$\frac{d}{dt} S(t)x = AS(t)x = S(t)Ax.$$

(e) For all $x \in D(A)$ and all $0 \leq s \leq t$ we have that

$$S(t)x - S(s)x = \int_s^t S(\tau)Ax \, d\tau = \int_s^t AS(\tau)x \, d\tau.$$

Proof. All integrals are to be understood in the Riemann sense.

(a) This point is an immediate consequence of the strong continuity of S .

(b) For any $t \geq h > 0$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S(h) - I}{h} \left(\int_0^t S(s)x \, ds \right) &= \frac{1}{h} \int_0^t (S(h+s) - S(s))x \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \left(\int_h^{t+h} S(s)x \, ds - \int_0^t S(s)x \, ds \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \left(\int_t^{t+h} S(s)x \, ds - \int_0^h S(s)x \, ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (a),

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{S(h) - I}{h} \left(\int_0^t S(s)x \, ds \right) = S(t)x - x$$

which proves (b).

(c) This point follows from (a) and (b).

(d) For all $x \in D(A)$, $t \geq 0$, and $h > 0$ we have that

$$\frac{S(h) - I}{h} S(t)x = S(t) \frac{S(h) - I}{h} x \rightarrow S(t)Ax \quad \text{as } h \downarrow 0.$$

Therefore $S(t)x \in D(A)$ and $AS(t)x = S(t)Ax = \frac{d^+}{dt} S(t)x$. In order to prove the existence of the left derivative, observe that for all $0 < h < t$

$$\frac{S(t-h)x - S(t)x}{-h} = S(t-h) \frac{S(h) - I}{h} x.$$

Moreover, by (1.2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| S(t-h) \frac{S(h) - I}{h} x - S(t)Ax \right| &\leq \left| S(t-h) \right| \cdot \left| \frac{S(h) - I}{h} x - S(h)Ax \right| \\ &\leq M e^{\omega t} \left| \frac{S(h) - I}{h} x - S(h)Ax \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \downarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{S(t-h)x - S(t)x}{-h} \rightarrow S(t)Ax = AS(t)x \quad \text{as } h \downarrow 0,$$

showing that the left and right derivatives coincide.

(e) This point follows from (d).

The proof is complete. □

1.4 Closedness of A

We recall that $X \times X$ is a Banach space with norm

$$\|(x, y)\| = |x| + |y| \quad \forall (x, y) \in X \times X.$$

Definition 5 An operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is said to be closed if its graph

$$\text{graph}(A) := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \in D(A), y = Ax\}$$

is a closed subset of $X \times X$.

Exercise 3 Prove that $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is closed if and only if for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset D(A)$

$$\begin{cases} x_n \rightarrow x \\ Ax_n \rightarrow y \end{cases} \implies x \in D(A) \quad \text{and} \quad Ax = y. \quad (1.4.1)$$

Proposition 3 The infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup $S(t)$ is a closed operator.

Proof. Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be the infinitesimal generator of $S(t)$ and let $\{x_n\} \subset D(A)$ be as in (1.4.1). By Theorem 3–(d) we have that, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$S(t)x_n - x_n = \int_0^t S(s)Ax_n ds.$$

Hence, taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and dividing by t , we obtain

$$\frac{S(t)x - x}{t} = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t S(s)y ds.$$

Passing to the limit as $t \downarrow 0$, we conclude that $Ax = y$. □

Remark 2 From Proposition 3 it follows that the domain $D(A)$ of the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup is a Banach space with the *graph norm*

$$|x|_{D(A)} = |x| + |Ax| \quad \forall x \in D(A).$$

Proposition 4 (Cauchy problem) Let S be a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of bounded linear operators on X and $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be its infinitesimal generator. Then for every $x \in D(A)$ the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = Ay(t) \\ y(0) = x \end{cases} \quad (1.4.2)$$

has a unique solution $y \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, \infty); X) \cap \mathcal{C}([0, \infty); D(A))^1$ given by

$$y(t) = S(t)x \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Proof. The fact that $y(t) = S(t)x$ satisfies (1.4.2) is point (d) of Theorem 3. Let us show that this is the unique solution of the problem. Let $z \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, \infty); X) \cap \mathcal{C}([0, \infty); D(A))$ be a solution of (1.4.2), fix $t > 0$, and set

$$u(s) = S(t-s)z(s), \quad \forall s \in [0, t].$$

Then

$$u'(s) = -AS(t-s)z(s) + S(t-s)Az(s) = 0, \quad \forall s \in [0, t].$$

Therefore, $z(t) = u(t) = u(0) = y(t)$. \square

Exercise 4 Let $S(t)$ and $T(t)$ be \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroups with generator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ and $B : D(B) \subset X \rightarrow X$, respectively. Show that

$$A = B \implies S(t) = T(t) \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Exercise 5 Find the infinitesimal generator of the \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of left translations discussed in Exampe 3.

Example 4 (Transport equation in $C_b(\mathbb{R})$) Returning to the left-translation semigroup on $C_b(\mathbb{R})$ of Example 3, by Proposition 4 and Exercise 5 we conclude that for each $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R})$ the unique solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t, x) & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \\ u(0, x) = f(x) & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

is given by $u(t, x) = f(x + t)$.

Exercise 6 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with boundary of class \mathcal{C}^2 . Define

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \\ Au = \Delta u \end{cases} \quad \forall u \in D(A).$$

Prove that A is a closed operator.

¹Here $D(A)$ is regarded as a Banach space with the graph norm.

1.5 Spectral properties of closed operators

Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a closed operator on a complex Banach space X .

Definition 6 The resolvent set of A , $\rho(A)$, is the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda I - A : D(A) \rightarrow X$ is bijective. The set $\sigma(A) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(A)$ is called the spectrum of A . For any $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ the linear operator

$$R(\lambda, A) := (\lambda I - A)^{-1} : X \rightarrow X$$

is called the resolvent of A at λ .

Example 5 On $X = \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ with the uniform norm consider the linear operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = \mathcal{C}^1([0, 1]) \\ Af = f', \quad \forall f \in D(A) \end{cases}$$

is closed (*Exercise*). Then $\sigma(A) = \mathbb{C}$ because for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the function $f_\lambda(x) = e^{\lambda x}$ satisfies

$$\lambda f_\lambda(x) - f'_\lambda(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in [0, 1].$$

On the other hand, for the closed operator A_0 defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(A_0) = \{f \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, 1]) : f(0) = 0\} \\ A_0 f = f', \quad \forall f \in D(A_0), \end{cases}$$

we have that $\sigma(A_0) = \emptyset$. Indeed, for any $g \in X$ the problem

$$\begin{cases} \lambda f(x) - f'(x) = g(x) & x \in [0, 1] \\ f(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

admits the unique solution

$$f(x) = - \int_0^x e^{\lambda(x-s)} g(s) dx \quad (x \in [0, 1])$$

which belongs to $D(A_0)$.

Proposition 5 Any closed operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ on a complex Banach space X has the following properties.

(a) $R(\lambda, A) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ for any $\lambda \in \rho(A)$.

(b) For any $\lambda \in \rho(A)$

$$AR(\lambda, A) = \lambda R(\lambda, A) - I. \tag{1.5.1}$$

(c) The resolvent identity holds:

$$R(\lambda, A) - R(\mu, A) = (\mu - \lambda)R(\lambda, A)R(\mu, A) \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \rho(A). \quad (1.5.2)$$

(d) For any $\lambda, \mu \in \rho(A)$

$$R(\lambda, A)R(\mu, A) = R(\mu, A)R(\lambda, A). \quad (1.5.3)$$

Proof. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \rho(A)$.

(a) Since A is closed, so is $\lambda I - A$ and also $R(\lambda, A) = (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$. So, $R(\lambda, A) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ by the closed graph theorem.

(b) This point follows from the definition of $R(\lambda, A)$.

(c) By (1.5.1) we have that

$$[\lambda R(\lambda, A) - AR(\lambda, A)]R(\mu, A) = R(\mu, A)$$

and

$$R(\lambda, A)[\mu R(\mu, A) - AR(\mu, A)] = R(\lambda, A).$$

Since $AR(\lambda, A) = R(\lambda, A)A$ on $D(A)$, (1.5.2) follows.

(d) Apply (1.5.2) to compute

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda, A) - R(\mu, A) &= (\mu - \lambda)R(\lambda, A)R(\mu, A) \\ R(\mu, A) - R(\lambda, A) &= (\lambda - \mu)R(\mu, A)R(\lambda, A). \end{aligned}$$

Adding the above identities side by side yields the conclusion.

The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4 Let $\lambda_0 \in \rho(A)$. Then, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \frac{1}{\|R(\lambda_0, A)\|}, \quad (1.5.4)$$

the resolvent $R(\lambda, A)$ is given by the (Neumann) series

$$R(\lambda, A) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_0 - \lambda)^n R(\lambda_0, A)^{n+1}. \quad (1.5.5)$$

Consequently, the resolvent set $\rho(A)$ is open in \mathbb{C} , $\lambda \mapsto R(\lambda, A)$ is analytic on $\rho(A)$, and for any $\lambda \in \rho(A)$

$$\frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} R(\lambda, A) = (-1)^n n! R(\lambda, A)^{n+1} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.5.6)$$

Proof. For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda_0 \in \rho(A)$ we have that

$$\lambda I - A = \lambda_0 I - A + (\lambda - \lambda_0)I = [I - (\lambda_0 - \lambda)R(\lambda_0, A)](\lambda_0 I - A).$$

This operator is bijective if and only if $[I - (\lambda_0 - \lambda)R(\lambda_0, A)]$ is invertible, which is the case if λ satisfies (1.5.4). Then

$$R(\lambda, A) = R(\lambda_0, A)[I - (\lambda_0 - \lambda)R(\lambda_0, A)]^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_0 - \lambda)^n R(\lambda_0, A)^{n+1}.$$

The analyticity of $R(\lambda, A)$ and (1.5.6) follows from (1.5.5). □

1.6 Integral representation of $R(\lambda, A)$

Theorem 5 (Integral representation) *Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of bounded linear operators on X , $S(t)$, such that*

$$\|S(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega t} \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{1.6.1}$$

for some constants $M \geq 1$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\rho(A)$ contains the half-plane

$$\Pi_\omega = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \Re \lambda > \omega\} \tag{1.6.2}$$

and

$$R(\lambda, A)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x \, dt \quad \forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \Pi_\omega. \tag{1.6.3}$$

Proof. We must prove that, given any $\lambda \in \Pi_\omega$ and $x \in X$, the equation

$$\lambda u - Au = x \tag{1.6.4}$$

has a unique solution given by (1.6.3).

Existence: observe that $u := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x \, dt \in X$ because $\Re \lambda > \omega$. Moreover, for all $h > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S(h)u - u}{h} &= \frac{1}{h} \left\{ \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t+h)x \, dt - \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x \, dt \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \left\{ e^{\lambda h} \int_h^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x \, dt - \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x \, dt \right\} \\ &= \frac{e^{\lambda h} - 1}{h} u - \frac{e^{\lambda h}}{h} \int_0^h e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{S(h)u - u}{h} = \lambda u - x$$

which in turn yields that $u \in D(A)$ and (1.6.4) holds true.

Uniqueness: let $u \in D(A)$ be a solution of (1.6.4). Then

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)(\lambda u - Au) dt = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)u dt - \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \frac{d}{dt} S(t)u dt = u$$

which implies that u is given by (1.6.3). \square

Proposition 6 *Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ and $B : D(B) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be closed linear operators in X and suppose $B \subset A$, that is,*

$$D(B) \subset D(A) \quad \text{and} \quad Ax = Bx \quad \forall x \in D(B).$$

If $\rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \neq \emptyset$, then $A = B$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $D(A) \subset D(B)$. Let $x \in D(A)$, $\lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B)$, and set

$$y = \lambda x - Ax \quad \text{and} \quad z = R(\lambda, B)y.$$

Then $z \in D(B)$ and $\lambda z - Bz = \lambda x - Ax$. Since $B \subset A$, $\lambda z - Bz = \lambda z - Az$. Thus, $(\lambda I - A)(x - z) = 0$. So, $x = z \in D(B)$. \square

Example 6 (Right-translation semigroup on \mathbb{R}_+) On the real Banach space

$$X = \{f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}_+) : f(0) = 0\}$$

with the uniform norm, consider the right-translation semigroup

$$(S(t)f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x-t) & x > t \\ 0 & x \in [0, t] \end{cases} \quad \forall x, t \geq 0.$$

It is easy to check that S is a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup on X with $\|S(t)\| = 1$ for all $t \geq 0$. In order to characterize its infinitesimal generator A , let us consider the operator $B : D(B) \subset X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(B) = \{f \in X : f' \in X\} \\ Bf = -f', \quad \forall f \in D(B). \end{cases}$$

We claim that:

(i) $B \subset A$

Proof. Let $f \in D(B)$. Then, for all $x, t \geq 0$ we have

$$\frac{(S(t)f)(x) - f(x)}{t} = \begin{cases} -\frac{f(x)}{t} = -f'(x_t), & 0 \leq x \leq t \\ \frac{f(x-t) - f(x)}{t} = -f'(x_t) & x \geq t \end{cases}$$

with $0 \leq x - x_t \leq t$. Therefore

$$\sup_{x \geq 0} \left| \frac{(S(t)f)(x) - f(x)}{t} + f'(x) \right| \leq \sup_{|x-y| \leq t} |f'(x) - f'(y)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0$$

because f' is uniformly continuous. \square

(ii) $1 \in \rho(B)$

Proof. For any $g \in X$ the unique solution f of the problem

$$\begin{cases} f \in D(B) \\ f(x) + f'(x) = g(x) \quad \forall x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

is given by

$$f(x) = \int_0^x e^{s-x} g(s) ds \quad (x \geq 0). \quad \square$$

Since $1 \in \rho(A)$ by Proposition 5, Proposition 6 yields that $A = B$.

1.7 Asymptotic behaviour of \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroups

Let $S(t)$ be a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of bounded linear operators on X .

Definition 7 *The number*

$$\omega_0(S) = \inf_{t > 0} \frac{\log \|S(t)\|}{t} \quad (1.7.1)$$

is called the type or growth bound of $S(t)$.

Proposition 7 *The growth bound of S satisfies*

$$\omega_0(S) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \|S(t)\|}{t} < \infty. \quad (1.7.2)$$

Moreover, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $M_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|S(t)\| \leq M_\varepsilon e^{(\omega_0(S) + \varepsilon)t} \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (1.7.3)$$

Proof. The fact that $\omega_0(S) < \infty$ is a direct consequence of (1.7.1). In order to prove (1.7.2) it suffices to show that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \|S(t)\|}{t} \leq \omega_0(S). \quad (1.7.4)$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ let $t_\varepsilon > 0$ be such that

$$\frac{\log \|S(t_\varepsilon)\|}{t_\varepsilon} < \omega_0(S) + \varepsilon. \quad (1.7.5)$$

Let us write any $t \geq t_\varepsilon$ as $t = nt_\varepsilon + \delta$ with $n0n(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) \in [0, t_\varepsilon[$. Then, by (1.2.2) and (1.7.5),

$$\|S(t)\| \leq \|S(\delta)\| \|S(t_\varepsilon)\|^n \leq M e^{\omega\delta} e^{nt_\varepsilon(\omega_0(S)+\varepsilon)} = M e^{(\omega-\omega_0(S)-\varepsilon)\delta} e^{(\omega_0(S)+\varepsilon)t}$$

which proves (1.7.3) with $M_\varepsilon = M e^{(\omega-\omega_0(S)-\varepsilon)\delta}$. Moreover, taking the logarithm of both sides of the above inequality we get

$$\frac{\log \|S(t)\|}{t} \leq \omega_0(S) + \varepsilon + \frac{\log M + (\omega - \omega_0(S) - \varepsilon)\delta}{t}$$

and (1.7.4) follows as $t \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Definition 8 For any operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ we define the spectral bound of A as

$$s(A) = \sup\{\Re \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}.$$

Corollary 2 Let $S(t)$ be a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup on X with infinitesimal generator A . Then

$$-\infty \leq s(A) \leq \omega_0(S) < +\infty.$$

Proof. By combining Theorem 5 and (1.7.3) we conclude that

$$\Pi_{\omega_0(S)+\varepsilon} \subset \rho(A) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Therefore, $s(A) \leq \omega_0(S) + \varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. The conclusion follows. \square

Example 7 For fixed $T > 0$ and $p \geq 1$ let $X = L^p(0, T)$ and

$$(S(t)f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x-t) & x \in [t, T] \\ 0 & x \in [0, t) \end{cases} \quad \forall x \in [0, T], \forall t \geq 0.$$

Then S is a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of bounded linear operators on X which satisfies $\|S(t)\| \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, observe that S is *nilpotent*, that is, we have $S(t) \equiv 0$, $\forall t \geq T$. Deduce that $\omega_0(S) = -\infty$. So, the spectral bound of the infinitesimal generator of $S(t)$ also equals $-\infty$.

Example 8 ($-\infty < s(A) = \omega_0(S)$) In the Banach space

$$X = \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{C}),$$

with the uniform norm, the left-translation semigroup

$$(S(t)f)(x) = f(x+t) \quad \forall x, t \geq 0$$

is a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of contractions on X which satisfies $\|S(t)\| = 1$ (*Exercise*). Therefore

$$\omega_0(S) = 0.$$

The infinitesimal generator of $S(t)$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = \mathcal{C}_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{C}) \\ Af = f' \end{cases} \quad \forall f \in D(A).$$

By Theorem 5 we have that

$$\rho(A) \supset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \Re \lambda > 0\}.$$

We claim that

$$\sigma(A) \supset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \Re \lambda \leq 0\}.$$

Indeed, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the function $f_\lambda(x) := e^{\lambda x}$ satisfies $\lambda f - f' = 0$. Moreover, $f_\lambda \in D(A)$ for $\Re \lambda \leq 0$. Therefore

$$s(A) = 0.$$

Example 9 ($s(A) < \omega_0(S)$) Let us denote by $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{C})$ the Banach space of all continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$$

with the uniform norm. We define X to be the Banach space (*Exercise*) of all functions $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\|f\| := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} |f(x)| + \int_0^\infty |f(x)| e^x dx < \infty.$$

Once again, the left-translation semigroup

$$(S(t)f)(x) = f(x+t) \quad \forall x, t \geq 0$$

is a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of contractions on X . Indeed, for all $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(t)f\| &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} |f(x+t)| + \int_0^\infty |f(x+t)| e^x dx \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} |f(x)| + e^{-t} \int_0^\infty |f(x)| e^x dx. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\|S(t)\| = 1$ (*Exercise*). Therefore

$$\omega_0(S) = 0.$$

The infinitesimal generator of $S(t)$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = \{f \in X : f' \in X\} \\ Af = f' \end{cases} \quad \forall f \in D(A).$$

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the function $f_\lambda(x) := e^{\lambda x}$ satisfies $\lambda f - f' = 0$ and $f_\lambda \in D(A)$ for $\Re \lambda < -1$. So,

$$s(A) \geq -1. \quad (1.7.6)$$

We claim that

$$\rho(A) \supset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \Re \lambda > -1\}. \quad (1.7.7)$$

Indeed, a direct calculation shows that, for any $g \in X$, the function

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} (S(t)g)(x) dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} g(x+t) dt \quad (x \geq 0)$$

satisfies $\lambda f - f' = g$. Consequently, if we show that $f \in X$, then $f \in D(A)$ follows and so $\lambda \in \rho(A)$. In order to check $f \in X$, observe that, for all $x \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq \int_0^\infty |e^{-\lambda t} g(x+t)| dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-t\Re \lambda} |g(x+t)| e^{x+t} e^{-x-t} dt \\ &= e^{-x} \int_0^\infty e^{-t(1+\Re \lambda)} e^{x+t} |g(x+t)| dt \\ &\leq e^{-x} \int_x^\infty e^s |g(s)| ds \end{aligned} \quad (1.7.8)$$

which insures that $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, by (1.7.8) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |f(x)| e^x dx &\leq \int_0^\infty dx \int_0^\infty e^{-t(1+\Re \lambda)} e^{x+t} |g(x+t)| dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-t(1+\Re \lambda)} dt \int_0^\infty e^{x+t} |g(x+t)| dx \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty e^{-t(1+\Re \lambda)} dt \int_0^\infty e^\tau |g(\tau)| d\tau < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.7.6) and (1.7.7) it follows that $s(A) = -1 < 0 = \omega_0(S)$.

Exercise 7 Let $S(t)$ be a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of bounded linear operators on X . Prove that $\omega_0(S) < 0$ if and only if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|S(t)\| = 0. \quad (1.7.9)$$

Solution. One only needs to show that (1.7.9) implies that $\omega_0(S) < 0$. Let $t_0 > 0$ be such that $\|S(t_0)\| < 1/e$. For any $t > 0$ let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the unique integer such that

$$nt_0 \leq t < (n+1)t_0. \quad (1.7.10)$$

Then

$$\|S(t)\| = \|S(nt_0)S(t-nt_0)\| \leq \frac{Me^{\omega(t-nt_0)}}{e^n} \leq \frac{Me^{\omega t_0}}{e^n}.$$

Therefore, on account of (1.7.9), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log \|S(t)\|}{t} &\leq \frac{\log (M e^{\omega t_0})}{t} - \frac{n}{t} \\ &\leq \frac{\log (M e^{\omega t_0})}{t} - \left(\frac{1}{t_0} - \frac{1}{t}\right) \quad \forall t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ we conclude that $\omega_0(S) < 0$. \square

1.8 The Hille-Yosida generation theorem

Theorem 6 *Let $M \geq 1$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. For a linear operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ the following properties are equivalent:*

(a) *A is closed, $D(A)$ is dense in X , and*

$$\rho(A) \supseteq \Pi_\omega = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \Re \lambda > \omega\} \quad (1.8.1)$$

$$\|R(\lambda, A)^k\| \leq \frac{M}{(\Re \lambda - \omega)^k} \quad \forall k \geq 1, \forall \lambda \in \Pi_\omega \quad (1.8.2)$$

(b) *A is the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup, $S(t)$, such that*

$$\|S(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega t} \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (1.8.3)$$

Proof of (b) \Rightarrow (a) The fact that A is closed, $D(A)$ is dense in X , and (1.8.1) holds true has already been proved, see Theorem 3-(c), Proposition 3, and Theorem 5. In order to prove (1.8.2) observe that, by using (1.6.3) to compute the k -th derivative of the resolvent of A , we obtain

$$\frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k} R(\lambda, A)x = (-1)^k \int_0^\infty t^k e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x dt \quad \forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \Pi_\omega.$$

Therefore,

$$\left\| \frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k} R(\lambda, A) \right\| \leq M \int_0^\infty t^k e^{-(\Re \lambda - \omega)t} dt = \frac{M k!}{(\Re \lambda - \omega)^{k+1}}$$

where the integral is easily computed by induction. The conclusion follows recalling (1.5.6). \square

Lemma 1 *Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be as in (a) of Theorem 6. Then:*

(i) *For all $x \in X$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nR(n, A)x = x. \quad (1.8.4)$$

(ii) The Yosida Approximation A_n of A , defined as

$$A_n = nAR(n, A) \quad (n \geq 1) \quad (1.8.5)$$

is a sequence of bounded operator on X which satisfies

$$A_n A_m = A_m A_n \quad \forall n, m \geq 1 \quad (1.8.6)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n x = Ax \quad \forall x \in D(A). \quad (1.8.7)$$

(iii) For all $m, n > 2\omega$, $x \in D(A)$, $t \geq 0$ we have that

$$\|e^{tA_n}\| \leq M e^{\frac{n\omega t}{n-\omega}} \leq M e^{2\omega t} \quad (1.8.8)$$

$$|e^{tA_n} x - e^{tA_m} x| \leq M^2 t e^{2\omega t} |A_n x - A_m x|. \quad (1.8.9)$$

Consequently, for all $x \in D(A)$ the sequence $u_n(t) := e^{tA_n} x$ is Cauchy in $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; X)$ for any $T > 0$.

Proof of (i): owing to (1.5.1), for any $x \in D(A)$ we have that

$$|nR(n, A)x - x| = |AR(n, A)x| = |R(n, A)Ax| \leq \frac{M|Ax|}{n-\omega} \xrightarrow{(n \rightarrow \infty)} 0,$$

where we have used (1.8.2) with $k = 1$. Moreover, again by (1.8.2),

$$\|nR(n, A)\| \leq \frac{Mn}{n-\omega} \leq 2M \quad \forall n > 2\omega.$$

The last two inequalities yield the conclusion because $D(A)$ is dense in X . Indeed, let $x \in X$ and fix any $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $x_\varepsilon \in D(A)$ be such that $|x_\varepsilon - x| < \varepsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |nR(n, A)x - x| &\leq |nR(n, A)(x - x_\varepsilon)| + |nR(n, A)x_\varepsilon - x_\varepsilon| + |x_\varepsilon - x| \\ &< (2M + 1)\varepsilon + \frac{M|Ax_\varepsilon|}{n-\omega} \xrightarrow{(n \rightarrow \infty)} (2M + 1)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, (1.8.4) follows.

Proof of (ii): observe that $A_n \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ because

$$A_n = n^2 R(n, A) - nI \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (1.8.10)$$

Moreover, in view of (1.5.3) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} A_n A_m &= [n^2 R(n, A) - nI] [m^2 R(m, A) - mI] \\ &= [m^2 R(m, A) - mI] [n^2 R(n, A) - nI] = A_m A_n. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, owing to (1.8.4), for all $x \in D(A)$ we have that

$$A_n x = nAR(n, A)x = nR(n, A)Ax \xrightarrow{(n \rightarrow \infty)} Ax.$$

Proof of (iii): recalling (1.8.10) we have that

$$e^{tA_n} = e^{-nt} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2k} t^k R(n, A)^k}{k!}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Therefore, in view of (1.8.2),

$$\|e^{tA_n}\| \leq M e^{-nt} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2k} t^k}{k!(n-\omega)^k} = M e^{\frac{n\omega t}{n-\omega}} \leq M e^{2\omega t}$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and $n > 2\omega$. This proves (1.8.8).

Next, observe that for any $x \in D(A)$ we have that

$$\begin{cases} (u_n - u_m)'(t) = A_n(u_n - u_m)(t) + (A_n - A_m)u_m(t) & \forall t \geq 0 \\ (u_n - u_m)(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

where we have set $u_n(t) = e^{tA_n}x$. Therefore, for all $t \geq 0$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{tA_n}x - e^{tA_m}x &= \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A_n} (A_n - A_m) e^{sA_m} x ds \\ &= \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A_n} e^{sA_m} (A_n - A_m) x ds \end{aligned} \quad (1.8.11)$$

because A_n and $e^{sA_m}x$ commute in view of (1.8.6). Thus, by combining (1.8.11) and (1.8.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{tA_n}x - e^{tA_m}x| &\leq M^2 \int_0^t e^{2\omega(t-s)} e^{2\omega s} |A_n x - A_m x| ds \\ &\leq M^2 t e^{2\omega t} |A_n x - A_m x|. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (1.8.7), the last inequality shows that $e^{tA_n}x$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; X)$ for any $T > 0$, thus completing the proof. \square

Exercise 8 Use a density argument to prove that $e^{tA_n}x$ is a Cauchy sequence on all compact subsets of \mathbb{R}_+ for all $x \in X$.

Proof of (a) \Rightarrow (b) On account of Lemma 1 and Exercise 8, we have that $e^{tA_n}x$ is a Cauchy sequence on all compact subsets of \mathbb{R}_+ for all $x \in X$. Consequently, the limit (uniform on all $[0, T] \subset \mathbb{R}_+$)

$$S(t)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{tA_n}x, \quad \forall x \in X, \quad (1.8.12)$$

defines a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of bounded linear operators on X . Moreover, passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.8.8), we conclude that $\|S(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$, $\forall t \geq 0$.

Let us identify the infinitesimal generator of $S(t)$. By (1.8.8), for $x \in D(A)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dt} e^{tA_n} x - S(t)Ax \right| &\leq |e^{tA_n} A_n x - e^{tA_n} Ax| + |e^{tA_n} Ax - S(t)Ax| \\ &\leq Me^{2\omega t} |A_n x - Ax| + |e^{tA_n} Ax - S(t)Ax| \xrightarrow{(n \rightarrow \infty)} 0 \end{aligned}$$

uniformly on all compact subsets of \mathbb{R}_+ by (1.8.12). Therefore, for all $T > 0$ and $x \in D(A)$ we have that

$$\begin{cases} e^{tA_n} x \xrightarrow{(n \rightarrow \infty)} S(t)x \\ \frac{d}{dt} e^{tA_n} x \xrightarrow{(n \rightarrow \infty)} S(t)Ax \end{cases} \quad \text{uniformly on } [0, T].$$

This implies that

$$S'(t)x = S(t)Ax, \quad \forall x \in D(A), \forall t \geq 0. \quad (1.8.13)$$

Now, let $B : D(B) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be the infinitesimal generator of $S(t)$. Then $A \subset B$ in view of (1.8.13). Moreover, $\Pi_\omega \subset \rho(A)$ by assumption (a) and $\Pi_\omega \subset \rho(B)$ by Proposition 5. So, on account of Proposition 6, $A = B$. \square

Remark 3 The above proof shows that condition (a) in Theorem 6 can be relaxed as follows:

(a') A is closed, $D(A)$ is dense in X , and

$$\rho(A) \supseteq]\omega, \infty[\quad (1.8.14)$$

$$\|R(n, A)^k\| \leq \frac{M}{(n - \omega)^k} \quad \forall k \geq 1, \forall n > \omega. \quad (1.8.15)$$

Remark 4 When $M = 1$, the countably many bounds in condition (a) follow from (1.8.2) for $k = 1$, that is,

$$\|R(\lambda, A)\| \leq \frac{1}{\Re \lambda - \omega} \quad \forall k \geq 1, \forall \lambda \in \Pi_\omega.$$

Example 10 (Second order parabolic equations in $L^2(\Omega)$) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with boundary of class \mathcal{C}^2 . Define

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \\ Au = \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_j(a_{ij}D_j)u + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i D_i u + cu \quad \forall u \in D(A). \end{cases}$$

where

(H1) $a_{ij} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfies $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \xi_j \xi_i \geq \theta |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \in \Omega$$

(H2) $b_i \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.

In order to apply the Hille-Yosida theorem to show that A is the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup $S(t)$ on $L^2(\Omega)$, one can check that the following assumptions are satisfied.

1. $D(A)$ is dense in $L^2(\Omega)$.

[This is a known property of Sobolev spaces.]

2. A is a closed operator.

Proof. Let $u_k \in D(A)$ be such that

$$u_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} u \quad \text{and} \quad Au_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} f.$$

Then, for all $h, k \geq 1$ we have that $v_{hk} := u_h - u_k$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_j(a_{ij} D_j) v_{hk} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i D_i v_{hk} + c v_{hk} =: f_{hk} & \text{in } \Omega \\ v_{hk} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

So, elliptic regularity insures that

$$\|v_{hk}\|_{2,\Omega} \leq C(\|f_{hk}\|_{0,\Omega} + \|v_{hk}\|_{0,\Omega})$$

for some constant $C > 0$. The above inequality implies that $\{u_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $D(A)$ and this yields $f = Au$. \square

3. $\exists \omega \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\rho(A) \supset]\omega, \infty[$.

[This follows from elliptic theory.]

4. $\|R(\lambda, A)\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda - \omega}$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $\lambda > \omega$.

[This follows from elliptic theory.]

Then, for any $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, the function $u(t, x) = (S(t)u_0)(x)$ is the unique solution of the initial-boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_j(a_{ij} D_j)u + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i D_i u + cu & \text{in }]0, \infty[\times \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on }]0, \infty[\times \partial\Omega \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

in the class

$$C^1([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{C}([0, \infty); H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)).$$

Exercise 9 Let $S(t)$ be the \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup on $L^2(\Omega)$ associated with the initial-boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u & \text{in }]0, \infty[\times \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on }]0, \infty[\times \partial\Omega \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & x \in \Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.8.16)$$

Show that $\omega_0(S) < 0$.

Solution. We know from Example 10 that the infinitesimal generator of $S(t)$ is the operator A defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \\ Au = \Delta u \end{cases} \quad \forall u \in D(A).$$

For $u_0 \in D(A)$, let $u(t, x) = (S(t)u_0)(x)$. Then u satisfies (1.8.16). So

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |Du(t, x)|^2 dx \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Moreover, by Poincaré's inequality we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \leq c(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} |Du(t, x)|^2 dx.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt} |u(t)|^2 \leq -\frac{2}{c(\Omega)} |u(t)|^2$$

which ensures, by Gronwall's lemma, that

$$|u(t)| \leq e^{-t/c(\Omega)} |u_0| \quad \forall t > 0.$$

By a density argument, one concludes that the above inequality holds true for any $x \in L^2(\Omega)$, so that $\omega_0(S) \leq -1/c(\Omega)$. \square

1.9 Additional exercises for Chapter 1

Exercise 10 Let X be a Banach space and let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of bounded linear operators on X . Prove that, for every $n \geq 1$,

$$D(A^n) := \{x \in D(A^{n-1}) : Ax \in D(A)\}$$

is dense in X .

Solution. For $n = 1$ the conclusion follows from Theorem 3. Let the conclusion be true for some $n \geq 1$ and fix any $y \in X$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $x_\varepsilon \in D(A^n)$ such that $|x_\varepsilon - y| < \varepsilon$. Moreover,

$$A^n \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t S(s)x_\varepsilon ds \right) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t S(s)A^n x_\varepsilon ds$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t S(s)x_\varepsilon ds \in D(A) \quad \forall t > 0$$

we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t S(s)x_\varepsilon ds \in D(A^{n+1}) \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Moreover, there exists $t_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{t_\varepsilon} \int_0^{t_\varepsilon} S(s)x_\varepsilon ds - y \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{t_\varepsilon} \int_0^{t_\varepsilon} S(s)x_\varepsilon ds - x_\varepsilon \right| + |x_\varepsilon - y| < 2\varepsilon. \quad \square$$

Exercise 11 Given a uniformly bounded \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup, $\|S(t)\| \leq M$, define

$$|x|_S = \sup_{t \geq 0} |S(t)x|, \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (1.9.1)$$

Show that:

1. $|\cdot|_S$ is a norm on X ,
2. $|x| \leq |x|_S \leq M|x|$ for all $x \in X$, and
3. S is a contraction semigroup with respect to $|\cdot|_S$.

Exercise 12 Let S be \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of bounded linear operators on X and let $K \subset X$ be compact. Prove that for every $t_0 \geq 0$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \sup_{x \in K} |S(t)x - S(t_0)x| = 0. \quad (1.9.2)$$

Solution. We may assume $S \in \mathcal{G}(M, 0)$ for some $M > 0$ without loss of generality. Let $t_0 > 0$ and fix any $\varepsilon > 0$. Since K is totally bounded, there exist $x_1, \dots, x_{N_\varepsilon} \in X$ such that

$$K \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_\varepsilon} B\left(x_n, \frac{\varepsilon}{M}\right).$$

Moreover, there exists $\tau > 0$ such that

$$|t - t_0| < \tau \implies |S(t)x_n - S(t_0)x_n| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n = 1, \dots, N_\varepsilon.$$

Thus, for all $|t - t_0| < \tau$ we have that, if $x \in K$ is such that $x \in B(x_n, \frac{\varepsilon}{M})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |S(t)x - S(t_0)x| &\leq |S(t)x - S(t)x_n| + |S(t)x_n - S(t_0)x_n| + |S(t_0)x_n - S(t_0)x| \\ &\leq 2M|x - x_n| + \varepsilon < 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So, the limit of $|S(t)x - S(t_0)x|$ as $t \rightarrow t_0$ is uniform on K . \square

Exercise 13 Prove that if $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is a closed operator and $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, then $A + B : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is also closed.

Exercise 14 Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a closed operator satisfying (1.8.2) but suppose $D(A)$ fails to be dense in X . In the Banach space $Y := \overline{D(A)}$, define the operator B , called the *part of A in Y* , by

$$\begin{cases} D(B) = \{x \in D(A) : Ax \in Y\} \\ Bx = Ax \quad \forall x \in D(B). \end{cases}$$

Prove that B is the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup on Y .

Solution. $R(\lambda, A)(Y) \subset D(B)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Re \lambda > \omega$. Indeed, owing to (1.5.1) for all $x \in D(A)$ we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nR(n, A)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{R(n, A)Ax + x\} = x. \quad (1.9.3)$$

Since $\|nR(n, A)\|$ is bounded, (1.9.3) holds true for all $x \in Y$. Hence, $D(B)$ is dense in Y . Consequently, B satisfies in Y all the assumptions of Theorem 6. \square

Exercise 15 For any fixed $p \geq 1$, let $X = L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and define, $\forall f \in X$,

$$(S(t)f)(x) = f(x + t) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall t \geq 0. \quad (1.9.4)$$

Prove that S is \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup which fails to be uniformly continuous.

(Observe that (1.9.4) makes sense for $t < 0$ as well. On the other hand, if one takes $X = L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then (1.9.4) makes sense only for $t \geq 0$.)

Solution. Suppose S is uniformly continuous and let $\tau > 0$ be such that $\|S(t) - I\| < 1/2$ for all $t \in [0, \tau]$. Then by taking $f_n(x) = n^{1/p} \chi_{[0, 1/n]}(x)$ for $p < \infty$ and $n > 1/\tau$ we have that $|f_n| = 1$ and

$$|S(\tau)f_n - f_n| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} n |\chi_{[0, 1/n]}(x + \tau) - \chi_{[0, 1/n]}(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} = 2^{1/p}.$$

Exercise 16 Denoting by $|f|_p$ the norm of f in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and by $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R})$ the Banach space of all locally absolutely continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|f|_{1,p} := |f|_p + |f'|_p < \infty, \quad (1.9.5)$$

show that the infinitesimal generator of the left-translation semigroup $S(t)$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ defined in (1.9.4) is given by

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}) \\ Af(x) = f'(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ a.e.}) \quad \forall f \in D(A). \end{cases} \quad (1.9.6)$$

Exercise 17 Let $p \geq 2$. On $X = L^p(0, \pi)$ consider the operator defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = W^{2,p}(0, \pi) \cap W_0^{1,p}(0, \pi) \\ Af(x) = f''(x) \quad x \in (0, \pi) \text{ a.e.} \end{cases} \quad (1.9.7)$$

where

$$W_0^{1,p}(0, \pi) = \{f \in W^{1,p}(0, \pi) : f(0) = 0 = f(\pi)\}.$$

Since $C_c^\infty(0, \pi) \subset D(A)$, we have that $D(A)$ is dense in X . Show that A is closed and satisfies condition (a') of Remark 3 with $M = 1$ and $\omega = 0$. Theorem 6 will imply that A generates a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of contractions on X .

Solution. Step 1: $\sigma(A) = \{-n^2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Fix any $g \in X$. We will show that, for all $\lambda \neq n^2 (n \geq 1)$, the Sturm-Liouville system

$$\begin{cases} \lambda f(x) - f''(x) = g(x), \quad 0 < x < \pi \\ f(0) = 0 = f(\pi) \end{cases} \quad (1.9.8)$$

admits a unique solution $f \in D(A)$. Denoting by

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \sin(nx) \quad (x \in [0, \pi])$$

the Fourier series of g , we seek a candidate solution f of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \sin(nx) \quad (x \in [0, \pi]).$$

In order to satisfy (1.9.8) one must have

$$(\lambda + n^2)f_n = g_n \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

So, for any $\lambda \neq -n^2$, (1.9.8) has a unique solution given by

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_n}{\lambda + n^2} \sin(nx) \quad (x \in [0, \pi]).$$

From the above representation it follows that $f \in H^2(0, \pi) \cap H_0^1(0, \pi)$. In fact, returning to the equation in (1.9.8) one concludes that $f \in D(A)$.

Step 2: resolvent estimate.

By multiplying both members of the equation in (1.9.8) by $|f|^{p-2}f$ and integrating over $(0, \pi)$ one obtains, for all $\lambda > 0$,

$$\lambda \int_0^\pi |f(x)|^p dx + (p-1) \int_0^\pi |f(x)|^{p-2} |f'(x)|^2 dx = \int_0^\pi g(x) |f(x)|^{p-2} f(x) dx$$

which yields

$$|f|_p \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} |g|_p \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

Step 3: conclusion.

By Proposition 4 we conclude that for each $f \in W^{2,p}(0, \pi) \cap W_0^{1,p}(0, \pi)$ the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(t, x) & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (0, \pi) \\ u(t, 0) = 0 = u(t, \pi) & t \geq 0 \\ u(0, x) = f(x) & x \in (0, \pi) \end{cases}$$

is given by $u(t, x) = (S(t)f)(x)$. □

Exercise 18 Let $S(t)$ be the \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup generated by operator A in (1.9.7). Prove that, for any $f \in L^p(0, \pi)$,

$$(S(t)f)(x) = \int_0^\pi K(t, x, y) f(y) dy, \quad \forall t \geq 0, x \in (0, \pi) \text{ a.e.}$$

where

$$K(t, x, y) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-k^2 t} \sin(kx) \sin(ky).$$

Exercise 19 Let $f \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R})$ with $p \geq 2$. Solve the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(t, x) & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \\ u(0, x) = f(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Solution. The operator defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}) \\ Af(x) = f''(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ a.e.} \end{cases}$$

is densely defined and closed. Let us begin by studying the problem

$$\begin{cases} f \in D(A) \\ \lambda f - f'' = g \in X \end{cases} \quad (1.9.9)$$

in the special case $p = 2$. Taking the Fourier transform of both members of the above equation we find

$$(\lambda + \xi^2)\widehat{f}(\xi) = \widehat{g}(\xi) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

So, for any $\lambda > 0$ we have that the solution to problem (1.9.9) is given by

$$f(x) = (g * \phi_\lambda)(x) \quad \text{with} \quad \phi_\lambda(x) = \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x|}}{2\sqrt{\lambda}},$$

that is,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^x g(y)e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}(x-y)} dy + \int_x^{\infty} g(y)e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}(y-x)} dy \right\}.$$

Moreover, the above representation formula holds true for any $p \geq 2$. We have thus proved that $(0, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$. Finally, by multiplying both members of the equation in (1.9.8) by $|f|^{p-2}f$ and integrating over \mathbb{R} we obtain as in Exercise 17

$$\lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f|^p dx + (p-1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f|^{p-2} |f'|^2 dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g |f|^{p-2} f dx$$

which yields

$$|f|_p \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} |g|_p.$$

Therefore, A satisfies condition (a') of Remark 3 and generates a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of bounded linear operators on X which gives the solution of our problem. \square

Exercise 20 On $X = \{f \in \mathcal{C}([0, \pi]) : f(0) = 0 = f(\pi)\}$ with the uniform norm, consider the linear operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = \{f \in \mathcal{C}^2([0, 1]) : f(0) = f(\pi) = 0 = f''(0) = f''(\pi)\} \\ Af = f'', \quad \forall f \in D(A). \end{cases}$$

Show that A generates a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of contractions on X and derive the initial-boundary value problem which is solved by such semigroup.

Solution. We only prove that $\|R(\lambda, A)\| \leq 1/\lambda$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Fix any $g \in X$ and let $f = R(\lambda, A)g$. Let $x_0 \in [0, \pi]$ be such that $|f(x_0)| = |f|_\infty$. If $f(x_0) > 0$, then $x_0 \in (0, \pi)$ is a maximum point of f . So, $f''(x_0) \leq 0$ and we have that

$$\lambda|f|_\infty = \lambda f(x_0) \leq \lambda f(x_0) - f''(x_0) = g(x_0) \leq |g|_\infty.$$

On the other hand, if $f(x_0) < 0$, then $x_0 \in (0, \pi)$ once again and x_0 is a minimum point of f . Thus, $f''(x_0) \geq 0$ and

$$\lambda|f|_\infty = -\lambda f(x_0) \leq -\lambda f(x_0) + f''(x_0) = -g(x_0) \leq |g|_\infty.$$

In any case, we have that $\lambda|f|_\infty \leq |g|_\infty$. \square

Exercise 21 Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be the infinitesimal generator of a uniformly bounded semigroup $\|S(t)\| \leq M$. Prove the *Landau-Kolmogorov inequality*:

$$|Ax|^2 \leq 4M^2|x||A^2x| \quad \forall x \in D(A^2), \quad (1.9.10)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} D(A^2) = \{x \in D(A) : Ax \in D(A)\} \\ A^2x = A(Ax), \quad \forall x \in D(A^2). \end{cases} \quad (1.9.11)$$

Solution. Assume $M = 1$. For any $x \in D(A^2)$ and all $t \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (t-s)S(s)A^2x \, ds &= [(t-s)S(s)Ax]_{s=0}^{s=t} + \int_0^t S(s)Ax \, ds \\ &= -tAx + [S(s)x]_{s=0}^{s=t} = -tAx + S(t)x - x. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |Ax| &\leq \frac{1}{t}|S(t)x - x| + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (t-s)|S(s)A^2x| \, ds \\ &\leq \frac{2}{t}|x| + \frac{t}{2}|A^2x|. \end{aligned} \quad (1.9.12)$$

If $A^2x = 0$, then the above inequality yields $Ax = 0$ by letting $t \rightarrow \infty$. So, (1.9.10) is true in this case. On the other hand, for $A^2x \neq 0$ the function of t on the right-hand side of (1.9.12) attains its minimum at

$$t_0 = \frac{2|x|^{1/2}}{|A^2x|^{1/2}}.$$

By taking $t = t_0$ in (1.9.12) we obtain (1.9.10) once again. \square

Exercise 22 Use the Landau-Kolmogorov inequality to deduce the interpolation inequality

$$|f'|_p \leq 2 \sqrt{|f|_p |f''|_p} \quad \forall f \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}).$$

2 Special classes of semigroups

2.1 Dissipative operators

Let H be a Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Definition 9 We say that an operator $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ is dissipative if

$$\Re \langle Ax, x \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall x \in D(A). \quad (2.1.1)$$

Example 11 In $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{C})$ consider the operator

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = H^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{C}) \\ Af(x) = f'(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ a.e.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$2\Re \langle Af, f \rangle = 2\Re \left(\int_0^\infty f'(x) \overline{f(x)} dx \right) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dx} |f(x)|^2 dx = -|f(0)|^2 \leq 0.$$

So, A is dissipative.

Proposition 8 An operator $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ is dissipative if and only if

$$|(\lambda I - A)x| \geq \lambda|x| \quad \forall x \in D(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \quad (2.1.2)$$

Proof. Let A be dissipative. Then for every $\lambda > 0$

$$|(\lambda I - A)x|^2 = \lambda^2|x|^2 - 2\lambda\Re \langle Ax, x \rangle + |Ax|^2 \geq \lambda^2|x|^2 \quad \forall x \in D(A).$$

Conversely, suppose A satisfies (2.1.2). Then for every $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in D(A)$

$$\lambda^2|x|^2 - 2\lambda\Re \langle Ax, x \rangle + |Ax|^2 = |(\lambda I - A)x|^2 \geq \lambda^2|x|^2$$

So, $2\lambda\Re \langle Ax, x \rangle \leq |Ax|^2$ which in turn yields (2.1.1) as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. \square

The above characterization can be used to extend the notion of dissipative operators to a Banach space X .

Definition 10 We say that an operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is dissipative if

$$|(\lambda I - A)x| \geq \lambda|x| \quad \forall x \in D(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \quad (2.1.3)$$

Remark 5 It follows from (2.1.3) that, if A is dissipative then

$$\lambda I - A : D(A) \rightarrow X$$

is one-to-one for all $\lambda > 0$.

Proposition 9 *Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be dissipative. If*

$$\exists \lambda_0 > 0 \quad \text{such that} \quad (\lambda_0 I - A)D(A) = X, \quad (2.1.4)$$

then the following properties hold:

- (a) $\lambda_0 \in \rho(A)$ and $\|R(\lambda_0, A)\| \leq 1/\lambda_0$,
- (b) A is closed,
- (c) $(\lambda I - A)D(A) = X$ and $\|R(\lambda, A)\| \leq 1/\lambda$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

We observe that point (a) follows from Remark 5 and inequality (2.1.3). As for point (b), we note that, since $R(\lambda_0, A)$ is closed, $\lambda_0 I - A$ is also closed, and therefore A is closed.

Proof of (c). By point (a) the set

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda \in]0, \infty[: (\lambda I - A)D(A) = X\}$$

is contained in $\rho(A)$ which is open in \mathbb{C} . This implies that Λ is also open. Let us show that Λ is closed: let $\Lambda \ni \lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda > 0$ and fix any $y \in X$. There exists $x_n \in D(A)$ such that

$$\lambda_n x_n - Ax_n = y. \quad (2.1.5)$$

From (2.1.2) it follows that $|x_n| \leq |y|/\lambda_n \leq C$ for some $C > 0$. Again by (2.1.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_m |x_n - x_m| &\leq |\lambda_m(x_n - x_m) - A(x_n - x_m)| \\ &\leq |\lambda_m - \lambda_n| |x_n| + |\lambda_n x_n - Ax_n - (\lambda_m x_m - Ax_m)| \\ &\leq C |\lambda_m - \lambda_n|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $x_n \rightarrow x$. Then $Ax_n \rightarrow \lambda x - y$ by (2.1.5). Since A is closed by point (b), $x \in D(A)$ and $\lambda x - Ax = y$. This shows that $\lambda I - A$ is surjective and implies that $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Thus, Λ is both open and closed in $(0, \infty)$. Moreover, $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ because $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$. So, $\Lambda = (0, \infty)$. The inequality $\|R(\lambda, A)\| \leq 1/\lambda$ is a consequence of dissipativity. \square

Definition 11 *A dissipative operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is called maximal dissipative if (2.1.4) holds true.*

Theorem 7 *Let X be a reflexive Banach space. If $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is a maximal dissipative operator, then $D(A)$ is dense in X .*

We give the proof assuming that X is a Hilbert space. The case of a reflexive Banach space is treated in exercises 24 to 27.

Proof. Let $z \in X$ be such that $\langle z, x \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in D(A)$. We will show that $z = 0$, or

$$\langle z, y \rangle = 0 \quad \forall y \in X.$$

Since $(I - A)$ is surjective, the above is equivalent to

$$0 = \langle z, x - Ax \rangle \quad \forall x \in D(A).$$

Finally, what we need to prove is that

$$\langle z, x \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x \in D(A) \implies \langle z, Ax \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x \in D(A). \quad (2.1.6)$$

Let $x \in D(A)$. Since $nI - A$ is onto, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset D(A)$ such that

$$nx = nx_n - Ax_n \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (2.1.7)$$

Since $Ax_n = n(x_n - x) \in D(A)$, we have that $x_n \in D(A^2)$ and

$$Ax = Ax_n - \frac{1}{n} A^2 x_n \quad \text{or} \quad Ax_n = \left(I - \frac{1}{n} A\right)^{-1} Ax.$$

Since $\|(I - \frac{1}{n} A)^{-1}\| \leq 1$ by (2.1.2), the above identity yields $|Ax_n| \leq |Ax|$. So, by (2.1.7) we obtain

$$|x_n - x| \leq \frac{1}{n} |Ax|.$$

Therefore, $x_n \rightarrow x$. Moreover, since $\{Ax_n\}$ is bounded, there is a subsequence $Ax_{n_k} \rightarrow y$. Since A is closed, we have that $y = Ax$. Therefore,

$$\langle z, Ax \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle z, Ax_{n_k} \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n_k \langle z, x_{n_k} - x \rangle$$

and (2.1.6) follows from the vanishing of the rightmost term above. \square

Example 12 We now show that the above density may be fail in a general Banach space. On $X = \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ with the uniform norm consider the linear operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = \{u \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, 1]) : u(0) = 0\} \\ Au(x) = -u'(x) \end{cases} \quad \forall x \in [0, 1].$$

Then, for all $\lambda > 0$ and $f \in X$ we have that the equation $\lambda u - Au = f$ has the unique solution $u \in D(A)$ given by

$$u(x) = \int_0^x e^{\lambda(y-x)} f(y) dy \quad (x \in [0, 1])$$

Therefore, $\lambda I - A$ is onto. Moreover,

$$\lambda |u(x)| \leq \int_0^x \lambda e^{\lambda(y-x)} \|f\|_\infty dy = (1 - e^{-\lambda x}) \|f\|_\infty \leq \|\lambda u - Au\|_\infty.$$

So, A is dissipative. On the other hand, $D(A)$ is not dense in X because all functions in $D(A)$ vanish at $x = 0$.

Theorem 8 (Lumer-Phillips 1) Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a densely defined linear operator. Then the following properties are equivalent:

- (a) A is the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of contractions,
- (b) A is maximal dissipative.

Proof of (a) \Rightarrow (b) In view of Theorem 5, we have that $]0, \infty[\subset \rho(A)$. So, $(\lambda I - A)D(A) = X$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Moreover, by the Hille-Yosida theorem for all $\lambda > 0$ and $y \in X$ we have that $\lambda|R(\lambda, A)y| \leq |y|$ or, setting $x = R(\lambda, A)y$,

$$\lambda|x| \leq |(\lambda I - A)x| \quad \forall x \in D(A).$$

So, A is maximal dissipative. \square

Proof of (b) \Rightarrow (a) We have that:

- (i) $D(A)$ is dense by hypothesis,
- (ii) A is closed by Proposition 9-(b),
- (iii) $]0, \infty[\subset \rho(A)$ and $\|R(\lambda, A)\| \leq 1/\lambda$ for all $\lambda > 0$ by Proposition 9-(c).

The conclusion follows by the Hille-Yosida theorem. \square

Example 13 (Wave equation in $L^2(\Omega)$) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with boundary of class \mathcal{C}^2 . For any given $f \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $g \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(t, x) = \Delta u & \text{in }]0, \infty[\times \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on }]0, \infty[\times \partial\Omega \\ u(0, x) = f(x), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0, x) = g(x) & x \in \Omega \end{cases} \quad (2.1.8)$$

Let H be the Hilbert space $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ with the scalar product

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u} \\ \bar{v} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \int_{\Omega} (Du(x) \cdot D\bar{u}(x) + v(x)\bar{v}(x))dx.$$

Define $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ by

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \\ A \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ \Delta u \end{pmatrix} \end{cases} \quad (2.1.9)$$

We will show that A is the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of contractions on H by checking that A is maximal dissipative.

Let $\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in D(\mathcal{A})$. Then, integrating by parts we obtain

$$\left\langle A \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \int_{\Omega} (Du(x) \cdot Dv(x) + v(x)\Delta u(x)) dx = 0. \quad (2.1.10)$$

So, A is dissipative.

Now, consider the resolvent equation

$$\begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in D(\mathcal{A}) \\ (I - A) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \in H \end{cases} \quad (2.1.11)$$

which is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega), & v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ u - v = f \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ v - \Delta u = g \in L^2(\Omega). \end{cases} \quad (2.1.12)$$

Using elliptic theory one can show that the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u - \Delta u = f + g \in L^2(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution. Then, taking $v = u - f \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ we obtain the unique solution of problem (2.1.12). So, A is maximal dissipative and therefore A is the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of contractions, $S(t)$, thanks to Theorem 8.

For any $f \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, $g \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, let $u(t)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+$) be the first component of

$$S(t) \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$$

Then u is the unique solution of problem (2.1.8) in the space

$$\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)).$$

2.2 Strongly continuous groups

Definition 12 A \mathcal{C}_0 -group of bounded linear operators on X is a map $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ with the following properties:

- (a) $G(0) = I$ and $G(t + s) = G(t)G(s)$ for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (b) for all $x \in X$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} G(t)x = x. \quad (2.2.1)$$

Definition 13 The infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -group of bounded linear operators on X , $G(t)$, is the map $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = \{x \in X : \exists \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(t)x - x}{t}\} \\ Ax = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(t)x - x}{t} \quad \forall x \in D(A) \end{cases}$$

Theorem 9 Let $M \geq 1$ and $\omega \geq 0$. For a linear operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ the following properties are equivalent:

(a) A is the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -group, $G(t)$, such that

$$\|G(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega|t|} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.2.2)$$

(b) A and $-A$ are the infinitesimal generators of \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroups, $S_+(t)$ and $S_-(t)$ respectively, satisfying

$$\|S_{\pm}(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t} \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (2.2.3)$$

(c) A is closed, $D(A)$ is dense in X , and

$$\rho(A) \supseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\Re \lambda| > \omega\} \quad (2.2.4)$$

$$\|R(\lambda, A)^k\| \leq \frac{M}{(|\Re \lambda| - \omega)^k} \quad \forall k \geq 1, \forall |\Re \lambda| > \omega \quad (2.2.5)$$

Remark 6 Let A and $S_{\pm}(t)$ be as in point (b) above. We claim that

- (i) $S_+(t)S_-(s) = S_-(s)S_+(t)$ for all $s, t \geq 0$,
- (ii) $S_+(t)^{-1} = S_-(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Indeed, $S_+(t)$ and $S_-(t)$ commute because

$$S_{\pm}(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{\pm t A_n},$$

where $e^{t A_n}$ and $e^{-t A_m}$ commute since A_n and A_m do so. Hence, (i) holds true.

Consequently,

$$S(t) := S_+(t)S_-(t) \quad (t \geq 0)$$

is also a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup and, for all $x \in D(A) = D(-A)$, we have that

$$\frac{S(t)x - x}{t} = S_+(t) \frac{S_-(t)x - x}{t} + \frac{S_+(t)x - x}{t} \xrightarrow{t \downarrow 0} -Ax + Ax = 0.$$

So, $\frac{d}{dt} S(t)x = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Hence, $S(t)x = x$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in D(A)$. By density, $S(t)x = x$ for all $x \in X$, which yields $S_+(t)^{-1} = S_-(t)$.

Proof of (a) \Rightarrow (b) Define, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$S_+(t) = G(t) \quad \text{and} \quad S_-(t) = G(-t).$$

Then it can be checked that $S_{\pm}(t)$ is \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup satisfying (2.2.3). Moreover, observing that

$$\frac{S_-(t)x - x}{t} = \frac{G(-t)x - x}{t} = -G(-t)\frac{G(t)x - x}{t},$$

it is easy to show that $\pm A$ is the infinitesimal generator of $S_{\pm}(t)$. □

Proof of (b) \Rightarrow (c) By the Hille-Yosida theorem we conclude that A is closed, $D(A)$ is dense in X , and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(A) &\supseteq \Pi_{\omega} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \Re \lambda > \omega\} \\ \|R(\lambda, A)^k\| &\leq \frac{M}{(\Re \lambda - \omega)^k} \quad \forall k \geq 1, \forall \lambda \in \Pi_{\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(\lambda I + A)^{-1} = -(-\lambda I - A)^{-1}, \tag{2.2.6}$$

we have that $-\rho(A) = \rho(-A) \supseteq \Pi_{\omega}$, or

$$\rho(A) \supseteq -\Pi_{\omega} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \Re \lambda < -\omega\},$$

and

$$\|R(\lambda, A)^k\| = \|R(-\lambda, -A)^k\| \leq \frac{M}{(-\Re \lambda - \omega)^k} \quad \forall k \geq 1, \forall \lambda \in -\Pi_{\omega}. \quad \square$$

Proof of (c) \Rightarrow (a) Recalling (2.2.6), by the Hille-Yosida theorem it follows that $\pm A$ is the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup, $S_{\pm}(t)$, satisfying (2.2.3). For all $x \in X$ define

$$G(t)x = \begin{cases} S_+(t)x & (t \geq 0) \\ S_-(-t)x & (t < 0). \end{cases}$$

Then, it follows that (2.2.1) and (2.2.2) hold true, and A is the infinitesimal generator of $G(t)$. Let us check that $G(t+s) = G(t)G(s)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and all $s \leq 0$ such that $t+s \geq 0$. We have that

$$G(t)G(s) = S_+(t)S_-(-s) = S_+(t+s)S_+(-s)S_+(-s)^{-1} = G(t+s). \quad \square$$

Corollary 3 *Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a densely defined linear operator. If both A and $-A$ are maximal dissipative, the A is the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -group, $G(t)$, which satisfies $\|G(t)\| = 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. By the Lumer-Phillips theorem, A and $-A$ are infinitesimal generators of \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroups of contractions, $S_+(t)$ and $S_-(t)$ respectively. Therefore, Theorem 9 ensures that A is the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -group, $G(t)$. Moreover, $1 = \|S_+(t)S_-(t)\| \leq \|S_+(t)\| \|S_-(t)\| \leq 1$. Hence, $\|G(t)\| = 1$. \square

Example 14 (Wave equation continued) We return to the wave equation that was studied in Example 13. We proved that operator A , defined in (2.1.9), is maximal dissipative. We claim that $-A$ is maximal dissipative as well. Indeed, equation (2.1.10) implies that $-A$ is dissipative. Moreover, the resolvent equation for $-A$ takes the form

$$\begin{cases} u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega), & v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ u + v = f \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ v + \Delta u = g \in L^2(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

which can be uniquely solved arguing exactly as we did for system (2.1.12).

Then, by Corollary 3, A is the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -group, $G(t)$, which satisfies $\|G(t)\| = 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. So, for any $f \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, $g \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, the first component $u(t)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+$) of

$$G(t) \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$$

is the unique solution of problem (2.1.8) in the space

$$\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)).$$

2.3 The adjoint of a linear operator

In this section, we consider the special case when $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a Hilbert space. We denote by $j_X : X^* \rightarrow X$ the Riesz isomorphism, which associates with any $\phi \in X^*$ the unique element $j_X(\phi) \in X$ such that

$$\phi(x) = \langle x, j_X(\phi) \rangle \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a densely defined linear operator.

Remark 7 The set

$$D(A^*) = \left\{ y \in X \mid \exists C \geq 0 : x \in D(A) \implies |\langle Ax, y \rangle| \leq C|x| \right\} \quad (2.3.1)$$

is a subspace of X and, for any $y \in D(A^*)$, the linear map $x \mapsto \langle Ax, y \rangle$ can be uniquely extended to a bounded linear functional $\phi_y \in X^*$.

Definition 14 The adjoint of A is the map $A^* : D(A^*) \subset X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$A^*y = j_X(\phi_y) \quad \forall y \in D(A^*)$$

where $D(A^*)$ and ϕ_y are defined in Remark 7.

Proposition 10 Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a densely defined linear operator. Then $A^* : D(A^*) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is a closed linear operator satisfying the identity

$$\langle Ax, y \rangle = \langle x, A^*y \rangle \quad \forall x \in D(A), \forall y \in D(A^*). \quad (2.3.2)$$

Proof. We only prove that A^* is closed, leaving the remaining item for the reader to check. Let $\{y_n\} \subset D(A^*)$ and $y, z \in X$ be such that

$$\begin{cases} y_n \rightarrow y \\ A^*y_n \rightarrow z \end{cases} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Then $\{A^*y_n\}$ is bounded, say $|A^*y_n| \leq C$. So, recalling (2.3.2), we have that

$$|\langle Ax, y_n \rangle| = |\langle x, A^*y_n \rangle| \leq C|x| \quad \forall x \in D(A)$$

This yields

$$|\langle Ax, y \rangle| \leq C|x| \quad \forall x \in D(A)$$

which in turn implies that $y \in D(A^*)$. Moreover

$$\langle Ax, y \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Ax, y_n \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle \quad \forall x \in D(A).$$

Thus, $\langle x, A^*y - z \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in D(A)$. Since $D(A)$ is dense, $A^*y = z$. \square

Theorem 10 (Lumer-Phillips 2) Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a densely defined closed linear operator. If A and A^* are dissipative, then A is the infinitesimal generator of a contraction semigroup on X .

Proof. In view of Theorem 8 it suffices to show that $]0, \infty[\subset \rho(A)$. For this purpose, since $\lambda I - A$ is one-to-one for any $\lambda > 0$, one just has to check that

$$(\lambda I - A)D(A) = X \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

Step 1: $(\lambda I - A)D(A)$ is dense in X for every $\lambda > 0$.

Let $y \in X$ be such that

$$\langle \lambda x - Ax, y \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x \in D(A).$$

The identity $\langle Ax, y \rangle = \lambda \langle x, y \rangle$ yields $y \in D(A^*)$ and the fact that

$$\langle x, \lambda y - A^*y \rangle = 0,$$

first for all $x \in D(A)$ and then, by density, for all $x \in X$. So, $\lambda y - A^*y = 0$. Since, being dissipative, $\lambda I - A^*$ is also one-to-one, we conclude that $y = 0$.

Step 2: $\lambda I - A$ is onto for every $\lambda > 0$.

Fix any $y \in X$. By Step 1, there exists $\{x_n\} \subset D(A)$ such that

$$\lambda x_n - Ax_n =: y_n \rightarrow y \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (2.1.2) we deduce that, for all $n, m \geq 1$,

$$|x_n - x_m| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} |y_n - y_m|$$

which insures that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Therefore, there exists $x \in X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} x_n \rightarrow x \\ Ax_n = \lambda x_n - y_n \rightarrow \lambda x - y \end{cases} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Since A is closed, $x \in D(A)$ and $\lambda x - Ax = y$. □

Definition 15 A densely defined linear operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is called:

(a) symmetric if $A \subset A^*$, that is,

$$D(A) \subset D(A^*) \quad \text{and} \quad Ax = A^*x \quad \forall x \in D(A).$$

(b) self-adjoint if $A = A^*$.

Remark 8 Observe that a symmetric operator A is self-adjoint if and only if $D(A) \subseteq D(A^*)$. Moreover, in view of Proposition 10, any self-adjoint operator is closed.

Corollary 4 (Lumer-Phillips 3) Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a densely defined closed linear operator. If A is self-adjoint and dissipative, then A is the infinitesimal generator of a contraction semigroup on X .

Example 15 In $X = L^2(0, 1; \mathbb{C})$, consider the linear operator

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = H_0^1(0, 1; \mathbb{C}) \\ Au(x) = i u'(x) \quad x \in [0, 1] \text{ a.e.} \end{cases}$$

Then, A is densely defined and symmetric. Indeed, for all $u, v \in D(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Au, v \rangle &= i \int_0^1 u'(x) \overline{v(x)} dx \\ &= [i u(x) \overline{v(x)}]_{x=0}^{x=1} - i \int_0^1 u(x) \overline{v'(x)} dx = \langle u, Av \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.3)$$

On the other hand, A fails to be self-adjoint because, as we show next,

$$D(A^*) \supseteq H^1(0, 1; \mathbb{C}),$$

so that $D(A) \subsetneq D(A^*)$. Indeed, integrating by parts as in (2.3.3), for all $v \in H^1(0, 1; \mathbb{C})$ and $u \in H_0^1(0, 1; \mathbb{C})$ we have that

$$|\langle Au, v \rangle| = \left| -i \int_0^1 u(x) \overline{v'(x)} dx \right| \leq \|u\|_2 \|v'\|_2. \quad \square$$

Proposition 11 *Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a densely defined closed linear operator such that $\rho(A) \cap \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$. If A is symmetric, then A is self-adjoint.*

Proof. We prove that $D(A^*) \subset D(A)$ in two steps. Fix any $\lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \mathbb{R}$.

Step 1: $\boxed{R(\lambda, A) = R(\lambda, A)^*}$

Since $R(\lambda, A) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, in view of Exercise 23 it suffices to show that

$$\langle R(\lambda, A)x, y \rangle = \langle x, R(\lambda, A)y \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Fix any $x, y \in X$ and set

$$u = R(\lambda, A)x \quad \text{and} \quad v = R(\lambda, A)y$$

so that $u, v \in D(A)$ and

$$\lambda u - Au = x \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda v - Av = y.$$

Since A is symmetric, we have that

$$\langle R(\lambda, A)x, y \rangle = \langle u, y \rangle = \langle u, \lambda v - Av \rangle = \langle \lambda u - Au, v \rangle = \langle x, R(\lambda, A)y \rangle.$$

Step 2: $\boxed{D(A^*) \subset D(A)}$

Let $u \in D(A^*)$ and set $x = \lambda u - A^*u$. Observe that, for all $v \in D(A)$,

$$\langle x, v \rangle = \langle \lambda u - A^*u, v \rangle = \langle u, \lambda v - Av \rangle.$$

Now, take any $y \in X$ and let $v = R(\lambda, A)y$. Then the above identity yields

$$\langle x, R(\lambda, A)y \rangle = \langle u, y \rangle \quad \forall y \in X.$$

So, by Step 1 we conclude that $u = R(\lambda, A)^*x = R(\lambda, A)x \in D(A)$. \square

Example 16 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with boundary of class \mathcal{C}^2 . Define

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = H^2 \cap H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \\ Au(x) = \Delta u(x) - V(x)u(x) \quad x \in \Omega \text{ a.e.} \end{cases} \quad (2.3.4)$$

where we assume $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Let us check that A is self-adjoint in $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$. Indeed, integration by parts insures that A is symmetric. So, by Proposition 11, it suffices to check that $\rho(A) \cap \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$. We claim that, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ large enough, for any $h \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ the problem

$$\begin{cases} w \in H^2 \cap H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \\ (\lambda + V)w - \Delta w = h \quad x \in \Omega \end{cases} \quad (2.3.5)$$

has a unique solution. Equivalently, by setting $f = \Re h$, $g = \Im h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $u = \Re w$, $v = \Im w$, we have to prove solvability for the boundary value problems

$$\begin{cases} u \in H^2 \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \\ (\lambda + V)u - \Delta u = f \quad x \in \Omega \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} v \in H^2 \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \\ (\lambda + V)v - \Delta v = g \quad x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

The latter is a well-established fact in elliptic theory.

The following property of self-adjoint operators is very useful. We recall that an operator $U \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is unitary if $UU^* = U^*U = I$.

Theorem 11 (Stone) *Let X be a complex Hilbert space. For any densely defined linear operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ the following properties are equivalent:*

- (a) A is self-adjoint,
- (b) iA is the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -group of unitary operators.

Proof of (a) \Rightarrow (b) Since A is self-adjoint, A is closed and we have that

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle = \langle x, A^*x \rangle = \langle x, Ax \rangle = \overline{\langle Ax, x \rangle} \quad \forall x \in D(A).$$

Thus, $\langle Ax, x \rangle$ is real so that

$$\Re \langle iAx, x \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x \in D(A).$$

The above identity implies that $\pm iA$ is dissipative. Since

$$\langle iAx, y \rangle = i \langle x, Ay \rangle = \langle x, -iAy \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in D(A),$$

we have that $(iA)^* = -iA$. So, by Theorem 10 we deduce that $\pm iA$ is the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of contractions. Then, by Theorem 9, iA generates a \mathcal{C}_0 group $G(t)$. Such a group is unitary because

$$1 = \|G(t)G(-t)\| = \|G(t)G(t)^*\| \leq 1. \quad \square$$

Proof of (b) \Rightarrow (a) Let iA be the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -group of unitary operators on X , say $G(t)$. Then, for all $x \in D(A)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} iAx &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{G(t)x - x}{t} = -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{G(-t)x - x}{t} = -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{G(t)^*x - x}{t} = \\ &= -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{G(t) - I}{t} \right)^* x = -(iA)^*x = iA^*x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $x \in D(A^*)$ and $Ax = A^*x$. By running the above computation backwards, we conclude that $D(A^*) \subseteq D(A)$. Therefore, A is self-adjoint. \square

Example 17 (Schrödinger equation in a bounded domain) Let us consider the initial-boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) = \Delta u(t, x) - V(x)u(t, x) & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \\ u(t, x) = 0 & t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \partial\Omega \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & x \in \Omega \end{cases} \quad (2.3.6)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain with boundary of class \mathcal{C}^2 and $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. In Example 16, we have already checked that the operator A , defined in (2.3.4), is self-adjoint on $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$. Therefore, by Theorem 11 we conclude that, for any $u_0 \in H^2 \cap H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$, problem (2.3.6) has a unique solution

$$u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C})) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^2 \cap H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C})). \quad \square$$

2.4 Additional exercises for Chapter 2

Exercise 23 Prove that, if $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, then A^* is also bounded.

Exercise 24 We recall that the duality set of a point $x \in X$ is defined as

$$\Phi(x) = \{\phi \in X^* : \langle x, \phi \rangle = |x|^2 = \|\phi\|^2\}. \quad (2.4.1)$$

Observe that the Hahn-Banach theorem ensures $\Phi(x) \neq \emptyset$.

We also recall that, for all $x \in X$,

$$\partial|x| = \{\phi \in X^* : |x+h| - |x| \geq \langle h, \phi \rangle, \forall x, h \in X\}. \quad (2.4.2)$$

Prove that

$$\Phi(x) = x\partial|x| = \{\psi \in X^* : \psi = |x|\phi, \phi \in \partial|x|\}.$$

Exercise 25 Prove that, for any operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ the following properties are equivalent:

- (a) A is dissipative,
- (b) for all $x \in D(A)$ there exists $\phi \in \Phi(x)$ such that $\Re \langle Ax, \phi \rangle \leq 0$.

Exercise 26 Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup of contractions. Prove that, for all $x \in D(A)$,

$$\Re \langle Ax, \phi \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi(x).$$

Exercise 27 Mimic the proof of Theorem 7 in the case of a Hilbert space to treat the general case of a reflexive Banach space.

3 The inhomogeneous Cauchy problem

In this chapter, we assume that $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a separable Hilbert space and denote by $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ a complete orthonormal system in X .

We study the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t) \\ u(0) = x, \end{cases} \quad (3.0.1)$$

where $f \in L^2(0, T; X)$ and $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup on X , $S(t)$, which satisfies the growth condition (1.8.3). For the extension of this theory to a general Banach space, we refer the reader to the classic monograph by Pazy [3] or the more recent text [2].

3.1 Notions of solution

Definition 16 Let $x \in X$ and $f \in L^2(0, T; X)$.

(I) We say that $u \in H^1(0, T; X) \cap L^2(0, T; D(A))$ is a strict solution of (3.0.1) if $u(0) = x$ and

$$u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t) \quad (t \in [0, T] \text{ a.e.})$$

(II) We say that $u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; X)$ is a strong solution of (3.0.1) if there exists a sequence $u_n \in H^1(0, T; X) \cap L^2(0, T; D(A))$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightarrow u & \text{in } \mathcal{C}([0, T]; X) \\ u'_n - Au_n \rightarrow f & \text{in } L^2(0, T; X) \\ u_n(0) \rightarrow x & \text{in } X \end{cases} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (3.1.1)$$

3.2 Well posedness in $L^2(0, T; H)$

Theorem 12 (Existence and uniqueness of strong solutions) For any $x \in X$ and $f \in L^2(0, T; X)$ there exists a unique strong solution u of (3.0.1), which is given by the variation-of-constants formula

$$u(t) = S(t)x + \int_0^t S(t-s)f(s) ds \quad (3.2.1)$$

Moreover, $u_n := nR(n, A)u$ satisfies

$$u_n \in H^1(0, T; X) \cap L^2(0, T; D(A)) \quad \text{and} \quad u_n \xrightarrow{(n \rightarrow \infty)} u \text{ in } \mathcal{C}([0, T]; X).$$

Observe that u in (3.2.1) is well defined in view of Proposition 17.

Proof. Step 1: existence. Let u be given by (3.2.1) and define

$$\begin{cases} u_n(t) = nR(n, A)u(t) \\ f_n(t) = nR(n, A)f(t) \\ x_n = nR(n, A)x \end{cases} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > \omega$$

where $\omega \geq 0$ is such that (1.8.3) holds true. Then

$$u_n(t) = S(t)x_n + \int_0^t S(t-s)f_n(s) ds \quad (t \in [0, T]). \quad (3.2.2)$$

Since $x_n \in D(A)$ and $f_n \in L^2(0, T; D(A))$, by propositions 16 and 17 we conclude that

$$u_n \in H^1(0, T; X) \cap L^2(0, T; D(A)) \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} u_n' - Au_n = f_n \\ u_n(0) = x_n. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, invoking Lemma 1 we conclude that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ while

$$f_n(t) \xrightarrow{(n \rightarrow \infty)} f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad |f_n(t)| \leq \frac{Mn}{n-\omega} |f(t)| \quad (\text{a.e. } t \in [0, T])$$

Therefore, $f_n \xrightarrow{(n \rightarrow \infty)} f$ in $L^2(0, T; X)$.

Finally, we have that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |u_n(t) - u(t)| \leq Me^{\omega T} \left(|x_n - x| + \int_0^T |f_n(s) - f(s)| ds \right) \xrightarrow{(n \rightarrow \infty)} 0.$$

So, u is a strong solution of (3.0.1).

Step 1: uniqueness.

Let v be a strong solution of (3.0.1) and let $\{v_n\}$ be a sequence satisfying (3.1.1). Setting $f_n = v_n' - Av_n$, for any fixed $t \in]0, T]$ we have that

$$\frac{d}{ds} (S(t-s)v_n(s)) = S(t-s)f_n(s) \quad (\text{a.e. } s \in [0, t]).$$

By integrating over $[0, t]$ we deduce that v_n satisfies (3.2.2). Then, passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that v is given by (3.2.1). \square

The following result provides a useful approximation of strong solutions.

Proposition 12 *Let $\{x_n\} \subset X$ and $\{f_n\} \subset L^2(0, T; X)$ be such that*

$$x_n \xrightarrow{X} x \quad \text{and} \quad f_n \xrightarrow{L^2(0, T; X)} f \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Let u_n satisfy

$$\begin{cases} u_n'(t) = A_n u_n(t) + f_n(t), & t \in (0, T) \\ u_n(0) = x_n \end{cases} \quad (3.2.3)$$

where $A_n = n^2 R(n, A) - n$ ($n > \omega$) is the Yosida approximation of A . Then $\{u_n\}_n$ is bounded in $C([0, T]; X)$ and

$$u_n(t) \xrightarrow{(n \rightarrow \infty)} u(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

where u is the strong solution of (3.0.1).

Proof. Since $A_n \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ we have that

$$u_n(t) = e^{tA_n} x_n + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A_n} f_n(s) ds \quad (t \in [0, T]).$$

Thus, recalling (1.8.8) and (1.8.12), we obtain

$$|e^{tA_n} x_n - S(t)x| \leq M e^{2\omega t} |x_n - x| + |e^{tA_n} x - S(t)x| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

uniformly on $[0, T]$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^t \left(e^{(t-s)A_n} f_n(s) - S(t-s)f(s) \right) ds \right| \\ & \leq M \int_0^t e^{2\omega(t-s)} |f_n(s) - f(s)| ds \xrightarrow{C([0, T]; X)} 0. \\ & \quad + \int_0^t |e^{(t-s)A_n} f(s) - S(t-s)f(s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, for any $t \in [0, T]$ we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t |e^{(t-s)A_n} f(s) - S(t-s)f(s)| ds = 0.$$

The conclusion follows. □

3.3 Regularity

Our first result guarantees that the strong solution of (3.0.1) is strict when f has better "space regularity".

Theorem 13 *Let $x \in D(A)$ and let $f \in L^2(0, T; D(A))$. Then the strong solution u of problem (3.0.1) is strict.*

Proof. Let u be the strong solution of problem (3.0.1) and let u_n be the solution of (3.2.3) with $f_n \equiv f$. Then

$$v_n(t) := A_n u_n(t) \quad (t \in [0, T])$$

satisfies

$$\begin{cases} v_n'(t) = A_n v_n(t) + A_n f(t), & t \in (0, T) \\ v_n(0) = A_n x \end{cases}$$

where

$$A_n x \xrightarrow{(n \rightarrow \infty)} Ax \quad \text{and} \quad A_n f \xrightarrow{(n \rightarrow \infty)} Af \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; X).$$

So, Proposition 12 ensures that v_n is bounded in $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; X)$ and converges point-wise to the strong solution of

$$\begin{cases} v'(t) = Av(t) + Af(t), & t \in (0, T) \\ v(0) = Ax \end{cases}$$

which is given by

$$v(t) = S(t)Ax + \int_0^t S(t-s)Af(s) ds = Au(t) \quad (t \in [0, T] \text{ a.e.})$$

Moreover, owing to Proposition 16 we have that $v = Au$. This shows that $u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; D(A))$. Furthermore,

$$u_n' = A_n u_n + f = v_n + f \xrightarrow{L^2(0, T; X)} Au + f \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

because v_n is bounded in $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; X)$ and converges point-wise. Therefore, $u \in H^1(0, T; X)$ and $u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t)$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. \square

We will now show a similar result if f has better “time regularity”. In this case, one can prove that strong solutions are classical in the following sense. Let $x \in D(A)$ and let $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; X)$.

Definition 17 *We say that $u \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T]; X) \cap \mathcal{C}([0, T]; D(A))$ is a classical solution of (3.0.1) if $u(0) = x$ and*

$$u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Theorem 14 *Let $x \in D(A)$ and let $f \in H^1(0, T; X)$. Then the strong solution u of problem (3.0.1) is classical.*

We begin by studying the case of $x = 0$.

Lemma 2 For any $f \in H^1(0, T; X)$ define

$$F_A(t) = \int_a^t S(t-s)f(s) ds \quad (t \in [0, T]). \quad (3.3.1)$$

Then $F_A \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T]; X) \cap \mathcal{C}([0, T]; D(A))$ and

$$F'_A(t) = AF_A(t) + f(t) = S(t)f(0) + \int_0^t S(t-s)f'(s)ds \quad (t \in [0, T]).$$

Proof. Since F_A can be rewritten as

$$F_A(t) = \int_0^t S(s)f(t-s)ds \quad (t \in [0, T]),$$

by differentiating the integral we conclude that

$$F'_A(t) = S(t)f(0) + \int_0^t S(t-s)f'(s)ds \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

In view of Proposition 17, this implies that $F_A \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T]; X)$.

Moreover, returning to (3.3.1), for all $t \in [0, T]$ we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} F'_A(t) &= \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left\{ \int_0^{t+h} S(t+h-s)f(s) ds - \int_0^t S(t-s)f(s) ds \right\} \\ &= \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{S(h) - I}{h} \int_0^t S(t-s)f(s) ds + \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} S(t+h-s)f(s) ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} S(t+h-s)f(s) ds = f(t),$$

the above identity implies that $F_A(t) \in D(A)$ and

$$F_A(t) = F'_A(t) - f(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Consequently, $F_A \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; D(A))$ and the proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 14. Let u be the strong solution of problem (3.0.1). Then

$$u(t) = S(t)x + F_A(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

where F_A is defined in (3.3.1). The conclusion follows from Theorem 3 and Lemma 2. \square

Example 18 In general, the strong solution of (3.0.1) fails to be classical, or even strict, assuming just $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; X)$. Indeed, let $y \in X \setminus D(A)$ and take $f(t) = S(t)y$. Then the strong solution of (3.0.1) with $x = 0$ is given by

$$u(t) = tS(t)y \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

which fails to be differentiable for $t > 0$.

3.4 Maximal regularity for dissipative operators

For special classes of generators the strong solution of (3.0.1) enjoys additional regularity properties, as we show in this section.

Theorem 15 *Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a densely defined self-adjoint dissipative operator and let $f \in L^2(0, T; X)$. Define*

$$F_A(t) = \int_a^t S(t-s)f(s) ds \quad (t \in [0, T]).$$

Then F_A is the strict solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t) \\ u(0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.4.1)$$

Moreover, $t \mapsto \langle AF_A(t), F_A(t) \rangle$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle AF_A(t), F_A(t) \rangle = 2\Re \langle F'_A(t), AF_A(t) \rangle \quad (\text{a.e. } t \in [0, T]), \quad (3.4.2)$$

and

$$\|AF_A\|_2 \leq \|f\|_2. \quad (3.4.3)$$

Lemma 3 *Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a densely defined self-adjoint dissipative operator and let $v \in H^1(0, T; X) \cap L^2(0, T; D(A))$ be such that $v(0) = 0$. Then $t \mapsto \langle Av(t), v(t) \rangle$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$ and*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle Av(t), v(t) \rangle = 2\Re \langle v'(t), Av(t) \rangle \quad (\text{a.e. } t \in [0, T]). \quad (3.4.4)$$

Proof. Define $v_n(t) = \langle A_n v(t), v(t) \rangle$ ($t \in [0, T]$), where $A_n = nAR(n, A)$ is the Yosida approximation of A . Then v_n is absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle A_n v(t), v(t) \rangle = 2\Re \langle v'(t), A_n v(t) \rangle \quad (\text{a.e. } t \in [0, T])$$

or

$$\langle A_n v(t), v(t) \rangle = 2\Re \int_0^t \langle v'(s), A_n v(s) \rangle ds \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.4.5)$$

Now, since for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} A_n v(t) &= nR(n, A)Av(t) \xrightarrow{(n \rightarrow \infty)} Av(t) \\ |A_n v(t)| &\leq |Av(t)|, \end{aligned}$$

we can pass to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.4.5) to obtain

$$\langle Av(t), v(t) \rangle = 2\Re \int_0^t \langle v'(s), Av(s) \rangle ds \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

So, $t \mapsto \langle Av(t), v(t) \rangle$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$ and satisfies (3.4.4). \square

Proof of Theorem 15. Define

$$f_n(t) = nR(n, A)f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad F_n(t) = nR(n, A)F_A(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

and observe that

$$F_n(t) = \int_a^t S(t-s)f_n(s) ds \quad (t \in [0, T]).$$

Owing to Theorem 13, we have that $F_n \in H^1(0, T; X) \cap L^2(0, T; D(A))$ satisfies $F_n(0) = 0$ and

$$F_n'(t) = AF_n(t) + f_n(t) \quad (\text{a.e. } t \in [0, T]). \quad (3.4.6)$$

Moreover, by (3.4.2) we have that

$$2 \int_0^t \Re \langle F_n'(s), AF_n(s) \rangle ds = \langle AF_n(t), F_n(t) \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

because A is dissipative. Therefore, by multiplying each member of (3.4.6) by $2AF_n(t)$, taking real parts, and integrating over $[0, T]$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_0^T |AF_n(t)|^2 dt &\leq -2 \int_0^T \Re \langle f_n(t), AF_n(t) \rangle dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T (|f_n(t)|^2 + |AF_n(t)|^2) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^T |AF_n(t)|^2 dt \leq \int_0^T |f_n(t)|^2 dt \leq \int_0^T |f(t)|^2 dt.$$

Thus, $\{F_n\}_n$ is bounded in $H^1(0, T; X) \cap L^2(0, T; D(A))$. Therefore, there exists a subsequence $\{F_{n_k}\}_k$ and a function F_∞ such that

$$F_{n_k} \xrightarrow{(n \rightarrow \infty)} F_\infty \quad \text{in } H^1(0, T; X) \cap L^2(0, T; D(A)).$$

Recalling that $F_{n_k} \xrightarrow{(n \rightarrow \infty)} F$ in $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; X)$ by Theorem 12, we conclude that $F \in H^1(0, T; X) \cap L^2(0, T; D(A))$.

Now, fix any $g \in L^2(0, T; X)$. Then, taking the product of each member of (3.4.6) with g we have that

$$\int_0^T \langle F_n'(t), g(t) \rangle dt = \int_0^T \langle AF_n(t) + f_n(t), g(t) \rangle dt.$$

So, in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\int_0^T \langle F'(t) - AF(t) - f(t), g(t) \rangle dt = 0 \quad \forall g \in L^2(0, T; X)$$

which in turn yields $F'(t) = AF(t) + f(t)$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. \square

Since the strong solution of (3.0.1) is given by (3.2.1), by Theorem 15 we obtain the following.

Corollary 5 *Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a densely defined self-adjoint dissipative operator and let $x \in D(A)$. Then, for any $f \in L^2(0, T; X)$ the strong solution of (3.0.1) is strict.*

Remark 9 The above result can be refined by introducing an intermediate subspace between X and $D(A)$, namely the interpolation space $[X, D(A)]_{1/2}$, which is such that $t \mapsto S(t)x$ belongs to $H^1(0, T; X) \cap L^2(0, T; D(A))$ for any $x \in [X, D(A)]_{1/2}$. The reader is referred to [1] for such an extension.

4 Appendix A: Riemann integral on $\mathcal{C}([a, b]; X)$

We recall the construction of the Riemann integral for a continuous function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$, where X is a Banach space and $-\infty < a < b < \infty$.

Let us consider the family of partitions of $[a, b]$

$$\Pi(a, b) = \left\{ \pi = \{t_i\}_{i=0}^n : n \geq 1, a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b \right\}$$

and define

$$\text{diam}(\pi) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (t_i - t_{i-1}) \quad (\pi \in \Pi(a, b)).$$

For any $\pi \in \Pi(a, b)$, $\pi = \{t_i\}_{i=0}^n$, we set

$$\Sigma(\pi) = \left\{ \sigma = (s_1, \dots, s_n) : s_i \in [t_{i-1}, t_i], 1 \leq i \leq n = b \right\}.$$

Finally, for any $\pi \in \Pi(a, b)$, $\pi = \{t_i\}_{i=0}^n$, and $\sigma \in \Sigma(\pi)$, $\sigma = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$, we define

$$S_\pi^\sigma(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(s_i)(t_i - t_{i-1}).$$

Theorem 16 *The limit*

$$\lim_{\text{diam}(\pi) \downarrow 0} S_\pi^\sigma(f) =: \int_a^b f(t) dt$$

exists uniformly for $\sigma \in \Sigma(\pi)$.

Lemma 4 *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\pi, \pi' \in \Pi(a, b)$ with $\pi \subseteq \pi'$ we have that*

$$\text{diam}(\pi) < \delta \implies |S_\pi^\sigma(f) - S_{\pi'}^{\sigma'}(f)| < \varepsilon$$

for all $\sigma \in \Sigma(\pi)$ and $\sigma' \in \Sigma(\pi')$.

Proof. Since f is uniformly continuous, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t, s \in [a, b]$

$$|t - s| < \delta \implies |f(t) - f(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{b - a}. \quad (4.0.1)$$

Let

$$\begin{cases} \pi = \{t_i\}_{i=0}^n, & \sigma = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \\ \pi' = \{t'_j\}_{j=0}^m, & \sigma' = (s'_1, \dots, s'_m) \end{cases}$$

be such that $\pi \subseteq \pi'$ and $\text{diam}(\pi) < \delta$. Then there exist positive integers

$$0 = j_0 < j_1 < \cdots < j_n = m$$

such that $t'_{j_i} = t_i$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$. For any such i , it holds that

$$t_1 - t_{i-1} = t'_{j_i} - t'_{j_{i-1}} = \sum_{j=j_{i-1}+1}^{j_i} (t'_j - t'_{j-1}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_\pi^\sigma(f) - S_{\pi'}^{\sigma'}(f) &= \sum_{i=1}^n f(s_i)(t_1 - t_{i-1}) - \sum_{j=1}^m f(s'_j)(t'_j - t'_{j-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=j_{i-1}+1}^{j_i} (f(s_i) - f(s'_j))(t'_j - t'_{j-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Since for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have that

$$s_i, s'_j \in [t_{i-1}, t_i] \quad \forall j_{i-1} + 1 \leq j \leq j_i,$$

from (4.0.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |S_\pi^\sigma(f) - S_{\pi'}^{\sigma'}(f)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=j_{i-1}+1}^{j_i} |f(s_i) - f(s'_j)|(t'_j - t'_{j-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a} \sum_{i=1}^n (t_1 - t_{i-1}) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 16. For any given $\varepsilon > 0$ let δ be as in Lemma 4. Let $\pi, \pi' \in \Pi(a, b)$ be such that $\text{diam}(\pi) < \delta$ and $\text{diam}(\pi') < \delta$. Finally, let $\sigma \in \Sigma(\pi)$ and $\sigma' \in \Sigma(\pi')$. Define $\pi'' = \pi \cup \pi'$ and fix any $\sigma'' \in \Sigma(\pi'')$. Then

$$|S_\pi^\sigma(f) - S_{\pi'}^{\sigma'}(f)| \leq |S_\pi^\sigma(f) - S_{\pi''}^{\sigma''}(f)| + |S_{\pi''}^{\sigma''}(f) - S_{\pi'}^{\sigma'}(f)| < 2\varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof since ε is arbitrary. □

Proposition 13 For any $f, g \in \mathcal{C}([a, b]; X)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b (f(t) + g(t))dt &= \int_a^b f(t)dt + \int_a^b g(t)dt \\ \int_a^b \lambda f(t)dt &= \lambda \int_a^b f(t)dt \\ \left| \int_a^b f(t)dt \right| &\leq \int_a^b |f(t)|dt. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ we have that

$$\Lambda\left(\int_a^b f(t)dt\right) = \int_a^b \Lambda f(t)dt.$$

Furthermore, if $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is a closed operator and $f \in \mathcal{C}([a, b]; D(A))$, then

$$A\left(\int_a^b f(t)dt\right) = \int_a^b Af(t)dt.$$

5 Appendix B: Lebesgue integral on $L^2(a, b; H)$

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a separable Hilbert space and let $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a complete orthonormal system in H .

5.1 The Hilbert space $L^2(a, b; H)$

Definition 18 A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow H$ is said to be Borel (resp. Lebesgue) measurable if so is the scalar function $t \mapsto \langle f(t), x \rangle$ for every $x \in H$.

Remark 10 Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow H$.

1. Since, for any $x \in H$,

$$\langle f(t), x \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f(t), e_j \rangle \overline{\langle x, e_j \rangle} \quad (t \in [a, b]),$$

we conclude that f is Borel (resp. Lebesgue) measurable if and only if so is the scalar function $t \mapsto \langle f(t), e_j \rangle$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. Since

$$|f(t)|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle f(t), e_j \rangle|^2 \quad (t \in [a, b]),$$

we have that, if f is Borel (resp. Lebesgue) measurable, then so is the scalar function $t \mapsto \|f(t)\|$.

Definition 19 We denote by $L^2(a, b; H)$ the space of all Lebesgue measurable functions $f : [a, b] \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\|f\|_2 := \left(\int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

where two functions f and g are identified if $f(t) = g(t)$ for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$.

Proposition 14 $L^2(a, b; H)$ is a Hilbert space with the hermitian product

$$(f|g)_0 = \int_a^b \langle f(t), g(t) \rangle dt \quad (f, g \in L^2(a, b; H)).$$

Proof. We only prove completeness. □

Remark 11 For any $f \in L^2(a, b; H)$ we have that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_a^b \langle f(t), e_j \rangle dt \right|^2 \leq (b-a) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_a^b |\langle f(t), e_j \rangle|^2 dt < \infty.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e_j \int_a^b \langle f(t), e_j \rangle dt \in H.$$

Definition 20 For any $f \in L^2(a, b; H)$ we define

$$\int_a^b f(t) dt = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e_j \int_a^b \langle f(t), e_j \rangle dt.$$

Proposition 15 For any $f \in L^2(a, b; H)$ the following properties hold true.

(a) For any $x \in H$ we have that

$$\left\langle x, \int_a^b f(t) dt \right\rangle = \int_a^b \langle x, f(t) \rangle dt$$

(b)
$$\left| \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq \int_a^b |f(t)| dt$$

(c) For any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ we have that

$$\Lambda \left(\int_a^b f(t) dt \right) = \int_a^b \Lambda f(t) dt.$$

Proposition 16 Let $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ be a closed linear operator. Then for any $f \in L^2(a, b; D(A))$ we have that

$$\int_a^b f(t) dt \in D(A) \quad \text{and} \quad A \left(\int_a^b f(t) dt \right) = \int_a^b Af(t) dt.$$

Proposition 17 Let $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ be the infinitesimal generator of a \mathcal{C}_0 -semigroup on H , $S(t)$, which satisfies the growth condition (1.8.3). Then, for any $f \in L^2(a, b; H)$,

(a) for any $t \in [a, b]$ the function $s \mapsto S(t-s)f(s)$ belongs to $L^2(a, t; H)$, and

(b) the function

$$F_A(t) = \int_a^t S(t-s)f(s) ds \quad (t \in [a, b])$$

belongs to $\mathcal{C}([a, b]; H)$.

Proof. In order to check measurability for $s \mapsto S(t-s)f(s)$ it suffices to observe that, for all $x \in H$ and a.e. $s \in [0, t]$,

$$\langle S(t-s)f(s), x \rangle = \langle f(s), S(t-s)^*x \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f(s), e_j \rangle \overline{\langle S(t-s)^*x, e_j \rangle}.$$

Since $s \mapsto \langle S(t-s)^*x, e_j \rangle$ is continuous and $s \mapsto \langle f(s), e_j \rangle$ is measurable for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the measurability of $s \mapsto S(t-s)f(s)$ follows. Moreover, by (1.8.3) we have that

$$|S(t-s)f(s)| \leq M e^{\omega(t-s)} |f(s)| \quad (s \in [a, t] \text{ a.e.}),$$

which completes the proof of (a).

In order to prove point (b), fix $t \in]a, b[$ and let $t_n \rightarrow t$. Fix $\delta \in]0, t-a[$ and let $n_\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $t_n > t - \delta$ for all $n \geq n_\delta$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & |F_A(t_n) - F_A(t)| \\ & \leq \int_a^{t-\delta} |[S(t_n-s)f(s) - S(t-s)]f(s)| ds \\ & \quad + \int_{t-\delta}^{t_n} |S(t_n-s)f(s)| ds + \int_{t-\delta}^t |S(t-s)f(s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof it suffices to observe that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^{t-\delta} |[S(t_n-s)f(s) - S(t-s)]f(s)| ds = 0$$

by the dominated convergence theorem, while the remaining terms on the right-hand side of the above inequality are small with δ . \square

5.2 The Sobolev space $H^1(a, b; H)$

Definition 21 We define $H^1(a, b; H)$ to be the subspace of $L^2(a, b; H)$ which consists of all (equivalence classes of) functions $u \in L^2(a, b; H)$ such that

$$u(t) - u(a) = \int_a^t f(s) ds \quad t \in [a, b] \text{ a.e.} \quad (5.2.1)$$

for some $f \in L^2(a, b; H)$.

Remark 12 The proof of the following facts is left to the reader.

1. The function f in (5.2.1) is uniquely determined up to sets of measure zero. We call such a function the *weak derivative* of u and set $u' = f$.

2. $H^1(a, b; H)$ is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$(u|v)_1 = \int_a^b [\langle u(t), v(t) \rangle + \langle u'(t), v'(t) \rangle] dt \quad (u, v \in H^1(a, b; H)).$$

3. All the elements of $H^1(a, b; H)$ have an absolutely continuous representative.

Bibliography

- [1] Alain Bensoussan, Giuseppe Da Prato, Michel C. Delfour, and Sanjoy K. Mitter. *Representation and control of infinite dimensional systems. 2nd ed.* Boston, MA: Birkhäuser, 2nd ed. edition, 2007.
- [2] Klaus-Jochen Engel and Rainer Nagel. *One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations*, volume 194 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. With contributions by S. Brendle, M. Campiti, T. Hahn, G. Metafune, G. Nickel, D. Pallara, C. Perazzoli, A. Rhandi, S. Romanelli and R. Schnaubelt.
- [3] A. Pazy. *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 44. New York etc.: Springer-Verlag. VIII, 279 p. DM 88.00; \$ 34.20 (1983)., 1983.