Weak solutions to Fokker-Planck equations and Mean Field Games

Alessio Porretta Universita' di Roma Tor Vergata

> LJLL, Paris VI March 6, 2015

Outlines of the talk

- Brief description of the Mean Field Games equilibrium system. Coupling viscous Hamilton-Jacobi & Fokker-Planck.
- Local coupling \rightarrow weak solutions.
- A weak setting for Fokker-Planck (uniqueness, renormalization)
- Uniqueness for mean field games
- The planning problem: an optimal transport for stochastic dynamics
- Vanishing viscosity and first order case

< ∃ >

The Mean Field Games theory was introduced by Lasry-Lions and Huang-Caines-Malhamé since 2006.

Main goal: describe dynamics with large numbers (a continuum) of agents whose strategies depend on the distribution law

Typical features of the model:

- players act according to the same principles (they are indistinguishable and have the same optimization criteria).

- players have individually a minor (infinitesimal) influence, but their strategy takes into account the distribution of co-players.

Idea: introduce a macroscopic description through a mean field approach as the number of players $N \to \infty$.

 \rightarrow Limit of Nash equilibria of symmetric N-players games will satisfy a system of PDEs coupling individual strategies with the distribution law

(E) (E)

The simplest form of the macroscopic model is a coupled system in a time horizon T:

$$\begin{cases} (1) & -u_t - \Delta u + H(t, x, Du) = F(t, x, m) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \\ (2) & m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div}(m H_p(t, x, Du)) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega , \end{cases}$$

where H_p stands for $\frac{\partial H(t,x,p)}{\partial p}$.

- (1) is the Bellman equation for the agents' value function u.
- (2) is the Kolmogorov-Fokker-Planck equation for the distribution of agents. m(t) is the probability density of the state of players at time t.

Typically: $p \mapsto H(t, x, p)$ is convex.

Model ex: $H \simeq \gamma(t, x) |Du|^q$.

E + + E + E

Roughly, each agent controls the dynamics of a N-d Brownian motion

$$dX_t = \frac{\beta_t}{\delta t} dt + \sqrt{2} dB_t \, ,$$

in order to minimize, among controls β_t , some cost:

$$\inf J(\beta) := \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_0^T [L(X_s, \beta_s) + F(X_s, m(s))]ds + G(X_T, m(T))\right\}$$

where m(t) is the probability measure in \mathbb{R}^N induced by the law of X_t . The associated Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation is

 $-u_t - \Delta u + H(x, Du) = F(x, m(t))$

where $H = \sup_{\beta} [-\beta \cdot p - L(x, \beta)]$. The HJB eq. gives

• the best value $\inf_{\beta} J(\beta) = \int u(x,0) dm_0(x)$, where m_0 is the probability distribution of X_0 .

• the optimal control through the feedback law: $\beta_t^* = b(t, X_t)$, where $b(t, x) = -H_p(x, Du(t, x))$.

三下 人王下

Recall: given a drift-diffusion process

 $dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sqrt{2}dB_t$

the probability measure m(t) (distribution law of X_t) satisfies

 $m_t - \Delta m + \operatorname{div}(bm) = 0$

in a weak sense

$$egin{aligned} &\int_\Omega arphi(t,x) \textit{m}(t,x) \textit{d}x \textit{d}t + \int_0^t \int_\Omega \textit{m}(au,x) L^* arphi \, \textit{d}x \textit{d} au &= \int_\Omega arphi(0) \, \textit{m}_0 \ & orall arphi \in C^2 \,, \ orall t > 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $L^* := \partial_t - \Delta - b \cdot D$ and m(0) = initial distribution of X_0 .

Hence, the evolution of the state of the agents is governed by their optimal decisions $b_t^* = -H_p(\cdot, Du(\cdot))$:

$$m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div} (m H_p(x, Du)) = 0$$

(문) (《문) (

This is the Mean Field Games system (with horizon T):

$$\begin{cases} (1) & -u_t - \Delta u + H(x, Du) = F(x, m) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \\ (2) & m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div}(m H_p(x, Du)) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega , \end{cases}$$

usually complemented with initial-terminal conditions:

- $-m(0) = m_0$ (initial distribution of the agents)
- -u(T) = G(x, m(T)) (final pay-off)
- + boundary conditions (here for simplicity assume periodic b.c.)

Main novelties are:

- the backward-forward structure.
- the interaction in the strategy process: the coupling F(x, m)

Rmk 1: This is not the most general structure.

Cost criterion $L(X_t, \alpha_t, m(t)) \rightarrow H(x, m, Du)$.

Rmk 2: In special cases, the system has a variational structure (so-called mean field control systems) \rightarrow optimality system

A =
 A =
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Two coupling regimes are usually considered:

(i) Nonlocal coupling with smoothing effect (ex. convolution): $F, G : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathcal{P}_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ are smoothing on the space of probability measures. Ex: $F(x, m) = \Phi(x, k \star m)$

→ solutions are smooth & Uniqueness of smooth solutions if $m \mapsto F(x, m)$ increasing, $p \mapsto H(x, p)$ convex [Lasry-Lions].

(ii) Local coupling: F = F(x, m(t, x)).

 \rightarrow regularity of sol.'s is very difficult and mostly unknown.

• Existence of smooth solutions if:

(i) the Hamiltonian ${\cal H}$ is globally Lipschitz

(ii) the coupling $m \mapsto F(x, m)$ has a mild growth or $p \mapsto H(x, p)$ has a mild growth (Here Lines 1 (Course Dimension Sensities Means del))

([Lasry-Lions], [Gomes-Pimentel-Sanchez Morgado])

- ([Cardaliaguet-Lasry-Lions-P.]) In the model case (purely quadratic) $H(x, p) = |p|^2$, solutions are smooth for any $F(x, m) \ge 0$.
- ([Lasry-Lions]) Existence of weak solutions under much more general growth conditions (ex: F(x, m) ≥ 0 + any power growth w.r.t. m).

A =
 A =
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Goal: build a complete theory of weak solutions (existence, uniqueness, stability...)

Motivations:

• Convergence of numerical schemes

(cfr. [Achdou-Capuzzo Dolcetta], [Achdou-Camilli-Capuzzo Dolcetta])

• Convergence of long time asymptotics

(cfr. [Cardaliaguet-Lasry-Lions-P.])

Most results were proved assuming to reach smooth solutions. But any stability argument will naturally get at weak solutions....

4 E b

• Characterize solutions to the planning problem (prescribed initial and final densities m(0) and m(T)):

$$\begin{cases} -u_t - \Delta u + H(x, \nabla u) = F(x, m), \\ m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div} (m H_p(x, \nabla u)) = 0, \\ m(0) = m_0, \ m(T) = m_1 \end{cases}$$

Here, no condition is assumed on u at time T.

This is an optimal transport model for the distribution law m of the stochastic flow.

The model case $H(x, Du) = \frac{1}{2}|Du|^2$ was solved by P.L. Lions through a change of unknown using the Hopf-Cole transform. Numerical schemes were studied in [Achdou-Camilli-Dolcetta '12].

In general, solutions (obtained through singular limit of standard MFG systems) can only be proved to be weak.

Main difficulties:

1. The typical setting for well-posedness of

(FP) $m_t - \Delta m + \operatorname{div}(m b) = 0$ $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega, \quad \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$

is

 $b \in L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{N}(\Omega)), \quad \text{or} \quad b \in L^{N+2}((0, T) \times \Omega)$

or in general $b \in L^{r}(0, T; L^{q}(\Omega))$ with $\frac{N}{2q} + \frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ([Aronson-Serrin] see also [Ladysenskaya-Solonnikov-Uraltseva]).

Under those conditions, plenty of results in the literature (linear and nonlinear operators) & rigorous connections between FP equation and stochastic flow (ex. [Krylov-Röckner '07], [Figalli '08]).

Pb. MFGames: $b = H_p(x, Du) \simeq |Du|^{q-1}$ is in the right class only if q is small or Du highly integrable

2. Uniqueness may fail for unbounded solutions of HJB:

$$\exists \ u \in L^{2}(0, T; H^{1}_{0}), \ u \neq 0 \text{ sol. of } \begin{cases} u_{t} - \Delta u + |Du|^{2} = 0\\ u(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Counterexamples are constructed as $u = \log(1 + v)$, v solutions to

$$\begin{cases} v_t - \Delta v = \chi \\ v(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

provided χ is a concentrated measure (ex. $\chi = \delta_{x_0}$) ([Abdellaoui-Dall'Aglio-Peral]).

문에 수준에 가운

Back to MFG system:

$$\begin{cases} -u_t - \Delta u + H(x, Du) = F(x, m), \\ m_t - \Delta m - \text{ div } (m H_p(x, Du)) = 0, \end{cases}$$

Summary:

(i) HJB has no uniqueness of weak solutions(ii) The drift in FP is not known to have the right summabilityDesperate situation ?.....

$$m\left[H_{\rho}(x, Du)Du - H(x, Du)\right] \in L^{1}(Q_{T})$$
(1)

which comes from optimization:

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} L(x, H_p(x, Du)) \, mdxdt \, \simeq \, \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T L(X_t, H_p(X_t, Du(t, X_t)))dt\right] < \infty$$

Ex: (model case) H(x,p) quadratic $\rightarrow H_p(x,Du)Du - H(x,Du) \simeq |Du|^2$

 $(1) \Rightarrow H_p(x, Du) \in L^2(m), \quad \text{ i.e. } m |H_p(x, Du)|^2 \in L^1$

■▶ ▲ ■▶ = = - - - - - - ● < - ●

Uniqueness for Fokker-Planck

Key point: we can consider solutions of Fokker-Planck

$$m_t - \Delta m - ext{ div } (bm) = 0$$

such that $m \ge 0$, $m|b|^2 \in L^1$

In this framework, we can prove:

• Weak (=distributional) solutions of (FP) are unique in this class

Weak solutions are renormalized solutions;

(in the sense of [Di Perna-Lions], extended to second order, see [Boccardo-Diaz-Giachetti-Murat], [Lions-Murat], [Blanchard-Murat]) Moreover, we show that solutions can be regularized and obtained as limit of smooth solutions.

Rmk: The importance of the class $\{m : b \in L^2(m)\}$ was also stressed in [Bogachev-Da Prato-Röckner '11], [Bogachev-Krylov-Röckner]

▲ 国 ▶ | ▲ 国 ▶ | |

The typical statement is the following (adapted to Dirichlet, Neumann, or to entire space \mathbb{R}^N under suitable modifications)

Theorem (P. '14)

Let $b \in L^2(Q_T)^N$ and $m_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then the problem

$$\begin{cases} m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div}(m \, b) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ m(0) = m_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \\ + BC \end{cases}$$
(2)

admits at most one weak sol. $m \in L^1(Q_T)_+$: $m|b|^2 \in L^1(Q_T)$.

Moreover, in this case any weak solution is a renormalized solution, belongs to $C^0([0, T]; L^1)$ and satisfies (for a suitable truncation $T_k(\cdot)$):

$$(T_k(m))_t - \Delta T_k(m) - \operatorname{div}(T'_k(m)m\,b) = \omega_k\,, \quad \text{in } Q_T \qquad (3)$$

where $\omega_k \in L^1(Q_T)$, and $\omega_k \stackrel{k\to\infty}{\to} 0$ in $L^1(Q_T)$.

A nonlinear look at a linear equation

- The equivalence *weak=renormalized* follows from a nonlinear argument.
 - (i) If $m|b|^2 \in L^1$, then

$$m = \lim_{\varepsilon} m^{\varepsilon}$$
,

$$\begin{cases} m_t^{\varepsilon} - \Delta m^{\varepsilon} - \operatorname{div}(\sqrt{m^{\varepsilon}} B^{\varepsilon}) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ m^{\varepsilon}(0) = m_0, & + \mathsf{BC} \end{cases}$$

provided

$$B^{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{L^2} \sqrt{m} b$$

(ii) The sequence m^{ε} converges in $C^{0}([0, T]; L^{1})$ and produces a renormalized solution

프 🖌 🛪 프 🛌

 This is a very general principle for convection-diffusion problems (e.g. nonlinear Am = - div (a(x, m, Dm)))

$$\begin{cases} m_t^{\varepsilon} + Am^{\varepsilon} = \operatorname{div} \left(\phi(t, x, m^{\varepsilon}) \right) & \operatorname{in} Q_T \\ m^{\varepsilon}(0) = m_0^{\varepsilon} \,, \, + \mathsf{BC} \end{cases}$$

we have: if

$$|\phi(t,x,m)| \le c(1+\sqrt{m})\,k(t,x)\,, \qquad k \in L^2(Q_T) \tag{4}$$

then

$$m_0^{\varepsilon} \stackrel{L^1}{\to} m_0 \quad \Rightarrow \begin{cases} m^{\varepsilon} \to m \quad \text{in } C^0([0, T]; L^1) \\ T_k(m^{\varepsilon}) \to T_k(m) \quad \text{in } L^2([0, T]; H^1) \end{cases}$$

and m is renormalized solution relative to m_0 .

< 臣 ▶

• One can apply this idea even in the Di Perna-Lions approach, regularizing *m* by convolution:

where Schwartz's inequality $+ m \ge 0$ imply

$$|(mb)\star\rho_{\varepsilon}|\leq \underbrace{(m\star\rho_{\varepsilon})^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{\sqrt{m^{\varepsilon}}}\underbrace{\left((m|b|^{2})\star\rho_{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{B^{\varepsilon}}$$

with B^{ε} converging in $L^2(Q_T)$.

 \rightarrow for purely second order operators, no need of commutators !

Summary on FP:

 the class of weak solutions m such that m|b|² ∈ L¹ gives: uniqueness, renormalized formulation, solutions obtained by regularization, estimates. Ex:

$$m_0 > 0$$
, $\log m_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega) \Rightarrow \log m(t) \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$,

hence m(t) > 0 a.e.

the class m|b|² ∈ L¹ is consistent with the stochastic flow:
 we are considering only trajectories X_t along which the drift is L²-integrable:

$$\int_0^{ au} [\mathbb{E} | b(X_t) |^2] \, dt < \infty$$

Possible development: one should prove uniqueness in law for (SDE) under this condition and establish rigorously the connection with a stochastic flow

Mean Field Games

$$\begin{cases} -u_t - \Delta u + H(x, \nabla u) = F(x, m), \\ m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div} (m H_p(x, \nabla u)) = 0, \\ u(T) = G(x, m(T)), \ m(0) = m_0 \end{cases}$$

- $F, G \in C^0(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$
- $p \mapsto H(x, p)$ is convex and satisfies structure conditions Ex: $H \simeq \gamma(t, x) |\nabla u|^q$, $q \le 2$.

Def. of weak solutions:

- $u, m \in C^0([0, T]; L^1(\Omega)), m |Du|^q \in L^1$
- $-G(x, m(T)) \in L^1(\Omega), \ H(x, Du) \in L^1, \ F(x, m) \in L^1,$
- the equations hold in the sense of distributions.

運入 不運入 …

Theorem (case q = 2)

Assume that $m \mapsto G(x, m)$ is nondecreasing, and let $m_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)_+$. (i) If F, G are bounded below, then there exists a weak solution. (ii) If in addition $m \mapsto F(x, m)$ is nondecreasing, $p \mapsto H(x, p)$ is strictly convex (at infinity), and $\log m_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, then there is a unique weak solution.

Rmk: The coupling functions F, G have no growth restriction from above

• The case F = F(x) is included !! New viewpoint for

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u + H(x, Du) = F(x) \\ u_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

Uniqueness $\iff m_t - \Delta m - \text{div} (H_p(x, Du) m) = 0$ admits a sol. m with $H_p(Du) \in L^2(m)$.

 \rightarrow new uniqueness results even with $F, u_0 \in L^1$.

Proof requires previous results for Fokker-Planck and the following crucial lemma

Lemma (crossed integrability)

Given any two weak solutions (u_1, m_1) and (u_2, m_2) , we have

 $F(m_i)m_j \in L^1(Q_T), \qquad m_i |Du_j|^2 \in L^1(Q_T), \qquad \forall i, j = 1, 2.$ (5)

Uniqueness is then proved with all the ingredients:

• *m* is a weak solution to FP with drift $H_p(x, Du) \in L^2(m)$ $\rightarrow m$ is unique and is a renormalized sol.

In addition, m > 0 a.e. provided log $m_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$.

- Since $u_t \Delta u \in L^1(Q_T)$, a weak solution u is also renormalized $(L^1 \text{ theory...})$
- Apply the Lasry-Lions argument to the renormalized system
- Pass to the limit thanks to the crossed integrability lemma

물 에 제 물 에 다

Similar result holds for q < 2 with minor variations.

Theorem (case q < 2)

Let $m_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)_+$.

(i) If F, G are bounded below, there exists a weak solution.

(ii) Assume in addition that $m \mapsto F(x, m), G(x, m)$ are nondecreasing and

 $F(x,m)\simeq f(m)\,,\qquad G(x,m)\simeq g(m)\quad \text{as }m
ightarrow\infty$

where f(s)s and g(s)s are convex. If $p \mapsto H(x, p)$ is strictly convex (at infinity), and $\log m_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, then there is a unique weak solution which is bounded below.

• We also have robust stability results on the nonlinearities

(i) $F^{\varepsilon}(x,s) \to F(x,s)$, $G^{\varepsilon} \to G(x,s)$, $H^{\varepsilon}(x,p) \to H(x,p)$ (under uniform structure assumptions)

(ii) $m_{0\varepsilon} \rightarrow m_0$

Then $(u^{\varepsilon}, m^{\varepsilon}) \rightarrow (u, m)$ weak solutions.

(本語) (本語) (二語)

• [joint work with Y. Achdou] Existence of weak solutions can be proved from the convergence of numerical schemes.

We use finite differences approximations of the mean field games system as in [Achdou-Capuzzo Dolcetta]:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{u_{i,j}^{k+1}-u_{i,j}^{k}}{\Delta t} - (\Delta_{h}u^{k})_{i,j} + g(x_{i,j}, \left[\nabla_{h}u^{k}\right]_{i,j}) = F(m_{i,j}^{k+1}), \\ \frac{m_{i,j}^{k+1}-m_{i,j}^{k}}{\Delta t} - (\Delta_{h}m^{k+1})_{i,j} + \mathcal{T}_{i,j}(u^{k}, m^{k+1}) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where g is a monotone approximation of the Hamiltonian H as in upwind schemes:

Ex (1-d): $g = g\left(\frac{u_{i+1}-u_i}{h}, \frac{u_i-u_{i-1}}{h}\right)$ with $g(p_1, p_2)$ increasing in p_2 and decreasing in p_1 , g(q, q) = H(q).

while \mathcal{T} is the discrete adjoint of the associated linearized transport:

$$\mathcal{T}(v,m)\cdot w = m g_p([\nabla_h v]) \cdot [\nabla_h w]$$

Similar structure allows to have discrete estimates and compactness as in the continuous model.

The planning problem

Further application to Mean Field Games: the "(stochastic) optimal transport problem":

$$\begin{cases} -u_t - \Delta u + H(x, \nabla u) = F(x, m), \\ m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div} (m H_p(x, \nabla u)) = 0, \\ m(0) = m_0, \ m(T) = m_1 \end{cases}$$

Here, no condition is assumed on u at time T.

This is an optimal transport model for the distribution law m of the stochastic flow.

Ex: (model case
$$H = \frac{1}{2}|Du|^2$$
, $F = F(m)$):

$$\min_{\alpha \in L^2(m \, dxdt)} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2 m \, dxdt + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \Phi(m) dxdt \,, \qquad [\Phi = \int_0^s F(r) dr]$$
$$\begin{cases} m_t - \Delta m - \text{ div } (\alpha \, m) = 0 \\ m(0) = m_0 \,, \, m(T) = m_1 \end{cases}$$

(compare with deterministic case, $F \equiv 0$ [Benamou-Brenier])

Theorem (P. '13)

Under the above assumptions on F, H. Let $m_0, m_1 \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, $m_0, m_1 > 0$, $\int_{\Omega} m_0 dx = \int_{\Omega} m_1 dx = 1$. Then, there exists a weak solution (u, m) of the planning problem.

If in addition $H(x, \cdot)$ is strictly convex, then the weak solution (u, m) is uniquely characterized: m is unique, u is unique up to a constant.

- Uniqueness follows from the same method as before.
- Smoothness of solutions is open.

• Existence is not easy: this is an exact controllability result (bilinear control) with representation of the optimal control. Ex. is obtained from penalized MFG systems:

$$\begin{cases} -(u_{\varepsilon})_{t} - \Delta u_{\varepsilon} + H(x, Du_{\varepsilon}) = F(x, m_{\varepsilon}) & \text{in } Q_{T} \\ (m_{\varepsilon})_{t} - \Delta m_{\varepsilon} - \operatorname{div}(m_{\varepsilon} H_{p}(x, Du_{\varepsilon})) = 0 & \text{in } Q_{T} \\ m_{\varepsilon}(0) = m_{0}, \quad u_{\varepsilon}(T) = \frac{m_{\varepsilon}(T) - m_{1}}{\varepsilon} \quad \varepsilon \to 0 \end{cases}$$

Here we use the variational structure..

< ≣⇒

1. The structure of Hamiltonian system gives a kind of observability inequality: any solution (u, m) satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} |Du(0)|^2 dx \leq C \left\{ \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} m |Du|^2 dx dt + 1 \right\}$$
(6)

where $C = C(T, H, m_0)$.

2. Coupling the energy estimates of the system with the observability inequality, we end up with a uniform bound

 $\|u_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$ bounded, uniformly in [0, T]

and in particular

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}(T)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|m_{\varepsilon}(T) - m_{1}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C$$

SO

$$m_{\varepsilon}(T) \stackrel{\varepsilon o 0}{\longrightarrow} m_1$$

and the target will be achieved !!

프 🖌 🖉 🖿 👘

Vanishing viscosity and first order case

[joint work with Cardaliaguet, Graber & Tonon]

The vanishing viscosity limit is possible at least for $F(x, m) \simeq m^{\gamma}$ and leads to weak solutions of the first order system in the sense:

(i) *u* is a distributional subsolution:

$$-u_t + H(x, \nabla u) \leq F(x, m)$$

(ii) m is a distributional solution for the continuity equation

$$m_t - \operatorname{div} (m H_p(x, \nabla u)) = 0$$

(iii) $mH(x, Du), F(x, m)m \in L^1$ and the energy equality holds

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} m F(x,m) dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} m \{H_p(x,Du)Du - H(x,Du)\} dx dt$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} m_0 u(0) - \int_{\Omega} u_T m(T)$$

(E) < E) </p>

Theorem (CGPT '15)

Assume that

(i) $p \mapsto H(x, p)$ is strictly convex and $H(x, p) \simeq |p|^q$ at infinity, q > 1. (ii) $m \mapsto F(x, m)$ is increasing and $F(x, m) \simeq m^{\gamma}$ at infinity. Then, for smooth initial-terminal data m_0, u_T the first order system

 $\begin{cases} -u_t + H(x, \nabla u) = F(x, m), \\ m_t - div (m H_p(x, \nabla u)) = 0, \\ m(0) = m_0, u(T) = u_T \end{cases}$

admits a unique weak solution (u, m) in the sense that m is unique and u is unique in $\{m > 0\}$.

Moreover, the solution can be obtained from the vanishing viscosity limit.

Ingredients of proof:

- Integral estimates for sub solutions of HJ (possibly degenerate)
- Characterization of the weak solution (u, m) as the unique minimizer of optimal control problem (as in [Cardaliaguet], [Cardaliaguet-Graber]).

Further directions of research

• Use the PDE results on weak solutions to prove uniqueness in law for the associated trajectories of the SDE:

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sqrt{2}dB_t$$

- More general MFG models, e.x. congestion models: $H = \frac{|Du|^2}{m^{\alpha}}$ Multi-populations interactions, more general stochastic dynamics (Levy processes,...), etc...
- Optimal transport: a bridge with the deterministic case ?

$$\begin{cases} -u_t + H(x, Du) = F(x, m) \\ m_t - \operatorname{div}(m H_p(x, Du)) = 0 \\ m(0) = m_0, \quad m(T) = m_1 \end{cases}$$

 $-F = 0 \rightarrow \text{optimal transport ([Benamou-Brenier], [Villani],...)}.$

-F = F(m) increasing \rightarrow results by P.L. Lions (totally different method).

General results ? Is there some unifying framework ?

References.

- P. Cardaliaguet, J.-M. Lasry, P.-L. Lions, A. Porretta, Long time average of mean field games, Net. Heter. Media 7 (2012).
- A. Porretta, On the planning problem for a class of Mean Field Games. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 351 (2013).
- A. Porretta, On the planning problem for the Mean Field Games system, Dynamic Games and Applications 4 (2014).
- A. Porretta, Weak solutions to Fokker-Planck equations and Mean Field Games, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 216 (2015).
- P. Cardaliaguet, J. Graber, A. Porretta, D. Tonon, Second order mean field games with degenerate diffusion and local coupling, NoDEA, to appear.
- Y. Achdou, A. Porretta, Convergence of a finite difference scheme to weak solutions of the system of partial differential equation arising in mean field games, preprint.

-∢ ⊒ ▶